

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_126959
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of pmpage associated or not with Photobiomodulation on pain, range of motion, and quality of life in patients with neck pain: a controlled, randomized and double blinded study protocol
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is basically adding variations in protocol for the patient with neck pain. Thus, this manuscript is adding option of modality to choose. Additionally not only impairment this protocol also targeting functional outcome.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think minor change can be done, i.e., Effects of pompage treatment associated or not with versus Photobiomodulation on pain, range of motion, and quality of life in patients with neck pain: a controlled, randomized and double blinded study protocol	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, 1. please go through the first four line which stating spine whole, here in manuscript we are only focusing over cervical spine 2. Distinguish the introduction, methodology etc Introduction: Chronic neck pain is a persistent condition in the spinal region , causing pain and restricted mobility. Its management often includes manual therapies, with passive and active approaches aimed at pain relief, functional improvement, enhanced mobility, motor control, and reduced inflammation.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is found to be appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. What are the justifications for selecting photobiomodulation for patient with neck pain? 2. How this study is going to benefit the healthcare professional and patients? 3. Protocol which has been described in the manuscript, differentiate it from conventional protocol 4. Focus on components of WHOQOL-100 which are directly being affected by chronic neck pain	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	All references are taken is sufficient, few of them are taken more than decade ago. But if no other research done regarding cross reference mentioned then older reference can be considered	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the grammatical quality and language of manuscript is suitable and providing direct message/information.	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hardik Suthar
Department, University & Country	K M Patel Institute of Physiotherapy, Bhaikaka University, India