

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129626
Title of the Manuscript:	Bacterial Removal Efficiency of Crude Oil Polluted Water using Corn Husk and Charcoal as Filter Material.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Removal of Pathogenic bacteria is a needed topic and that too in places where water scarcity is there. But the reason/justification for choosing crude oil polluted water is not given anywhere in the manuscript..	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	With some justification added for the hosing crude oil polluted water, the title stands good.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Except some grammatical errors, abstract is good.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yeah, scientifically the techniques is correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Mostly from 2021, only one from 2023. Its upto the editor's decision regarding latest publications	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Complete language editing / proof reading is needed as there is lot of grammatical, errors, typographical mistakes, punctuation errors	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Complete rewriting with respect to language is needed. Include plate photos. There is only tables and graphs...	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Gopal Samy
Department, University & Country	KIT-Kalaignarkarunanidhi Institute of Technology, India