

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129353
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of recommended and graded doses of Biochar with and without ZMB biofertilizer and Zinc on the Growth attributes of Rice ( <i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Manuscript

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript have potential to improve knowledge about biochar application. Biochar application is need of the hours and need to be promoted for adoption at farmers field and this manuscript have highlighted the importance of supplementing nutrients through use of biochar.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is self-explanatory and written well, no need to addition or deletion.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is scientifically correct but need some correction as I mentioned in the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is good but have some typographical errors in text.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	If some methodologies are added in M and M section related to calculation of LAI, CGR it would be better. Results and discussion are written with the same paragraph throughout all the parameters looks very monotonous, need to be restructured.  <b>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</b>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Shiv Poojan Yadav
Department, University & Country	ANDUAT, India