

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_129685
Title of the Manuscript:	A study to assess the knowledge regarding menstrual hygiene among the adolescent girls in selected secondary and higher secondary schools of Nadiad city
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Reproductive health is a burning issue at this present time and menstruation system is a vital part of a woman's reproductive health. This article clearly addresses the scenario of knowledge about hygiene management of menstruation period. This type of research work is very effective for creating awareness among the girls as well as the society.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable, however at the title before the city name author may use the preposition 'in' Nadiad city instead of 'of' Nadiad city.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract section is alright.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, I think so.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient; however, author may add some references at current period.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall this work is very good and earn novelty to the research era.	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Most. Ummay Hani Kulsum</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bangamata Sheikh Fojilatunnesa Mujib Science and Technology University, Bangladesh</b>