

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_128839
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of foliar application of water soluble fertilizer and humic acid on physico-chemical properties and fertility status of soil after harvest of rose
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract need serious improvements, no need to right methodology steps in abstract mention purpose, and conclusion in abstract as well	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Data need to be statistically analysed no any proof is available that data was statistically checked.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References need to be according to recommended journal format this is not according to recommended format	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Is quality is ok but please checked Line 86 Page 3: humic acid will be done; should be was done Line 94 Page 3: five plants will be recorded; should be was recorded. Improve grammatical mistakes	
Optional/General comments	Discussion and conclusion needs some improvements	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Taqqi Abbas
Department, University & Country	University of the Punjab, Pakistan