

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_128839
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of foliar application of water soluble fertilizer and humic acid on physico-chemical properties and fertility status of soil after harvest of rose
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper has very basics information and knowledge. The results are not up to the scientific level.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract needs to be more concentrated and rewritten.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript has some critical issues which have to be considered such as the language (it has very wake language)	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is better if the author/s has used the latest references regarding the paper.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The paper language is weak specially in the abstract and the results section.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This paper cannot be published as it is.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahmudul Hasan Chowdhury
Department, University & Country	Soil Resource Development Institute, Bangladesh