

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_128839
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of foliar application of water soluble fertilizer and humic acid on physico-chemical properties and fertility status of soil after harvest of rose
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study highlights their potential to improve soil fertility and nutrient management in agricultural systems. The findings contribute to the growing body of knowledge on sustainable soil management practices, supporting efforts to enhance crop productivity while minimizing environmental impacts. Additionally, this research serves as a reference for further studies on optimizing fertilizer use and humic acid application in various agro-climatic conditions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title is informative and suitable to the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Consider adding a concluding sentence on how these findings can influence nutrient management in rose cultivation.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears scientifically correct in its overall methodology and findings, it requires improvements in data presentation, clarity, and scientific explanation to meet the standards of a peer-reviewed journal.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add more recent and relevant references to strengthen the manuscript.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Revise the manuscript for grammatical errors, such as missing articles and improper sentence structure.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Double-check adherence to the journal's formatting and submission guidelines. PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bathinidi Ramya
Department, University & Country	Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, India