

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128989
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Stakeholders Views on Factors Influencing Female Participation in Sporting Activities: a Case Study at Bia West District in the Western North Region of Ghana.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Below I have provided my comments to further enhance the authenticity of this document and make it more conducive for publication.</p> <p>1. Abstract The abstract is concise and presents the study's purpose, methods, results, and recommendations. However, you need to Specify the key factors influencing female participation mentioned in the findings. This provides a clearer overview for readers. Moreover, avoid vague phrases like "there exist differences in views." Instead, highlight specific stakeholders or factors.</p> <p>2. Introduction The introduction effectively situates the research within global and African contexts. It highlights the importance of addressing gender disparities in sports. However, you must Clarify the research gap by explicitly stating what previous studies lack regarding the Ghanaian context. Try to Reduce redundancy by citing multiple similar studies. Prioritize the most relevant ones. Besides, Provide stronger justification for focusing on the Bia West District.</p> <p>3. Literature Review Group similar studies under thematic headings (e.g., "Cultural Barriers," "Parental Influence," "Psychological Factors") for easier navigation. It's always better to use updated references. You can Add more recent references (post-2018) to ensure contemporary relevance. Moreover, please Balance international studies with more Ghanaian or West African studies for contextual alignment.</p> <p>4. Methodology The section on methodology is the most critical section of the paper. You need to Justify the purposive sampling technique and discuss its potential biases. You can Elaborate on why a 5-point Likert scale was chosen and whether any alternative instruments were considered. Provide more detail on the data analysis process (e.g., why one-way ANOVA and 3x3 factorial ANOVA were used over other methods).</p> <p>5. Results Include a brief explanation for each table's significance instead of relying solely on statistical values. For factors like "psychological" or "biological," provide specific examples or stakeholder comments for better context. Clarify the practical implications of findings (e.g., how academic stress specifically affects participation).</p> <p>6. Discussion Deepen the discussion by identifying potential interventions based on findings. Address contradictions between stakeholders' views more comprehensively. Discuss limitations explicitly (e.g., reliance on self-reported data, regional focus) and how they may affect generalizability.</p> <p>7. Conclusion and Recommendations Add a roadmap for implementing these recommendations, especially on combating misconceptions and improving facilities. Summarize key findings in the conclusion to reinforce their importance.</p> <p>8. Writing Style and Format The paper is structured logically and uses clear language. However, it is better to Proofread for grammatical errors (e.g., subject-verb agreement issues). Use consistent formatting for citations and references (e.g., standardize the APA format). Avoid repetitive phrases, particularly in the results and discussion sections.</p> <p>9. General Suggestions</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Incorporate visuals like bar graphs or charts for quantitative results to enhance comprehension. 2. Add a section on the implications for policy and practice, emphasizing actionable strategies for stakeholders. 3. Discuss future research directions, such as exploring interventions to address identified barriers or expanding the study to other regions. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Fraz Arshed Butt</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Iqra University, Pakistan</p>