

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEB_A_124298
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the Effect of Decision Support System on Strategic Business decisions: Insight from Selected Manufacturing Companies in Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is peculiar in the sense that it has been conducted in the very frame of Nigeria; the implementation and implication of DSS on strategic business decisions in selected manufacturing companies is the area explored by the respective author for the very first time. I must appreciate to undertake such topics in Nigeria; even though DSS is a celebrated topic but this paper adds value for under developed economies	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The overall construction of paper is very interesting as respective writer undertakes, literature review, conceptual review, empirical review and theoretical review coupled with theoretical framework. The data has been analysed in a precise fashion that furthers the connectivity with literature review. The holistic design of the paper is really worthy to be studied and reviewed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It is a good attempt	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Rizwan Junaid
Department, University & Country	Pakistan Institute of Management, Pakistan