

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	MS_AJARR_128392
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Noise Pollution Level of Selected Orthodox Church in Khana Metropolis of Rivers States, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As the noise pollution is the very big and less noticeable threat to the community so this is very important to study the level of noise pollution at various places.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes the abstract is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	Yes but there is some type mistakes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references is sufficient and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality is good but there is some typing mistakes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is relevant and study is important for the people who attend the church for there health well being	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanjay Singh
Department, University & Country	F.H. Medical College, India