

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_129637
Title of the Manuscript:	Economics of Seed Spices in Gujarat: Analyzing Parity of Cost, Price, and Income
Type of the Article	Research Article

### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Clarity of presentation is fair, references missing in rest of the article.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Okay	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	no abbreviations in abstract; A single line about Methodology will be good. Can be increased upto 300words	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Okay, Cost concepts defined by Commission of Agricultural Cost and Prices (CACP) should have been mentioned	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Already published results should be mentioned with references. Citations should be given in support of the findings. Not sufficient, <b>references to be arranged in alphabetic order or in order specified as per journal guidelines.</b>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Fair	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	If references are quoted wherever needed, it will strengthen the paper. Already published results should be mentioned with references. Citations should be given in support of the findings. Conclusions should be in the past tense, only as per the objectives.  Minor revisions are required.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	B Raja Madhushekar
Department, University & Country	Professor Jayashankar Telangana Agricultural University, India