

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_128976
Title of the Manuscript:	Dual Control: Ethylene and ABA Crosstalk in Fruit Ripening and Development
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The understanding of the relation between ethylene and ABA in fruits maturation is very important in postharvest research aimed at reducing postharvest losses, maintenance and assurance of product quality, safety and traceability, highlighting postharvest innovation and knowledge of technology and processing into useful fresh products for the industry. This is the importance of the review	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, is correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient, but there are many with more than 20-year-old	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, is suitable	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jesus Aguayo Rojas
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autonoma de Zacatecas, Mexico