

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Advances in Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AIR_128976 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Dual Control: Ethylene and ABA Crosstalk in Fruit Ripening and Development |
| Type of the Article | Suggested Title 'Coordinated Regulation: Interplay between Ethylene and ABA in Fruit Ripening and Development' |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The title is a researchable title in which it communicates the scientific communities about fruit ripening and development. As it is a systematic review article it should have full information on different researcher inputs. In my opinion, the paper lacks reference materials. For instance, there is no reference material that supports the study. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | It is better if the author reconsiders the title. For instance, I suggest a title 'Coordinated Regulation: Interplay between Ethylene and ABA in Fruit Ripening and Development' | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Abstract it is somewhat good. However, it was not well articulated as a single summary that included all the information. The abstract should have a single paragraph containing, The background, objectives, materials, methods, results, discussion, summary, and conclusions. Therefore, this part lacks some of them. Again, the names of genes mentioned in the whole document should be italicized. Keywords should be italicized and inappropriate place of commas for instance | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Not at all, it lacks information | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Not sufficient to understand the area information, It needs additional reference material with recent ones. How do those genes play roles in controlling ripening and development? Is that those genes are the same in all fruits? | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | It is good | |
| Optional/General comments | The manuscript lacks references at all, there are no references in the introductory part at all. The statements seem like phrases, not paragraphs. The figures are simply copied and pasted, there are many technologies to reshape such figures to attract a reader. Again, some of them do not give us full information. It is better to add the function of each gene in a table format. Resketch the pathways also another issue if you are going to publish this review article. Some titles and subtitles are very short, and some modifications may be needed in my concerns. We are in 2025 after a couple of days or weeks, but the reference material mentioned in the review article is very old and reconsideration might be important. In general, the author should reconsider the above comments and suggestions. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|---|-------------------------------|
| Name: | Duguma Dibbisa Itana |
| Department, University & Country | Haramaya University, Ethiopia |