

Micronutrient status in Rice growing Alluvial soils of delta area of Krishna district, Andhra Pradesh

Status of Micronutrients in Rice growing Alluvial soils of Delta area of Krishna district, Andhra Pradesh (India). (You can also write this.)

Please make the paper grammatically correct. You can help from any grammar checker app.

Abstract:

An investigation was carried out to study the micronutrient status of rice-growing soils of the delta area of Krishna district in Andhra Pradesh to know the potential of these soils to supply micronutrients to rice crops. For this, six profiles viz., Vemulamada (P1), Kothapalli (P2), Velivelu (P3), Nangegadda (P4), Penamaluru (P5), and Ganguru (P6) were studied covering the major cereal-maize/ cereal-pulse growing areas. The soils were near neutral to strongly alkaline in reaction, non-saline, and low in organic carbon content. The weighted average of DTPA extractable zinc, copper, iron, and manganese were ranged from 0.71 mg kg⁻¹ (P6) – 1.65 mg kg⁻¹ (P2), 1.50 mg kg⁻¹ (P2) – 3.38 mg kg⁻¹ (P3), 4.35 mg kg⁻¹ (P1)– 5.62 mg kg⁻¹ (P5) and 5.6 mg kg⁻¹ (P4) to 11.95 mg kg⁻¹ (P6) respectively. A mixed trend is observed across horizons in all profiles. DTPA-Fe exhibited low variability while the other micronutrients displayed higher variability. The DTPA extractable Zn was found to be deficient whereas DTPA extractable Cu and Mn were found to be sufficient. The available Mn was decreased with increasing depth in most of the profiles. All the micronutrient are positive correlation with organic carbon content.

Key words: ~~Micronutrients, cereal, correlation, descriptive statistical analysis. Etc~~ (Write in alphabetical order)

Introduction

Alluvial soils are a crucial element in river, lake, and coastal-related ecosystems. Due to their specific genesis, they can occur in all climatic zones of the world (Driessen *et al.*, 2001). Despite some common features (e.g. stratification of the material, the influence of groundwater), the high differentiation of the pedogenic properties of these

soils makes them a very diverse group (e.g. Holmes and Hearn, 1942; Sheremet, 2006; Schaetzl and Anderson, 2005). Nevertheless, these soils are still often treated by many researchers as homogenous clusters in which lithogenesis plays a major role. Such a lithogenetic approach, which is treating alluvial soils as a one unit without taking into account pedogenic transformations, can be found in many publications on various study aspects, e.g.: clay minerals (Cieśła *et al.*, 1988), water retention (Hewelke *et al.*, 2018), soil contamination (Cappuyns and Swennen, 2007), anthropogenic changes (Kanno *et al.*, 1965), and identification of geotechnical parameters (Yilmaz and Karacan, 1997; Młynarek *et al.*, 2012). One of the reasons for this is because that in many older versions of classification systems – including international ones – most of the pedons developed from alluvial materials were put to the one main unit.

The vast agricultural potential of alluvial soils, combined with the appealing landscapes of river valleys, has led to extensive transformations in these areas. This often includes river canalization and soil drainage efforts. Such regulation projects have successfully reduced flooding and stabilized groundwater levels, resulting in notable environmental shifts. Consequently, there is an increasing need for precise, scientific, and landscape scale soil data in agricultural regions. This data is crucial for addressing emerging challenges and ensuring sustainable land use for both current and future generations. Soil information plays a vital role in research and decision-making across various fields. It aids farmers in making informed choices about fertilizer application rates, supports biophysical modeling of the global carbon cycle, and informs high-level policy decisions (Tagore *et al.*, 2014). Understanding the variability of soil properties at the pedon scale is essential for environmental assessment and is a key factor in determining agricultural productivity. It also influences the efficiency of farm inputs, crop yields, and the effectiveness of field research trials (Rizvi *et al.*, 2015). However, many areas have implemented blanket soil management recommendations that overlook the complexities and variability of soils, rendering them inadequate for farmers' advisory services. This highlights the necessity for soil testing to ensure balanced nutrition and maintain soil health.

Many researchers have extensively studied the relationship of trace elements to problems of soil fertility and plant nutrients. It has also been shown that However, DTPA extractable microelements are in exchange sites are important in reducing the sufficient levels for plant growth (Martens and Lindsay, 1980 and Mengel and Kirkby, 1979). These concerns make it necessary to know their levels in soils, and to do this sensitive, precise, accurate and easy to use analytical methods must be developed. The aim of this research was to determine background levels of DTPA extractable Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu with some important properties of rice growing soils of Krishna delta area in Andhra Pradesh.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study area is the Krishna River which is a key river basin in Peninsular India, commonly known as the "rice bowl" of Andhra Pradesh. It lies in between longitudes of 80° 35' and 81° 05' East, and latitudes of 15° 40' and 16° 30' North, covering a drainage area of about 2,59,000 square kilometers. The river has an average yearly water flow of 78 billion cubic meters. The area is at risk of frequent cyclones that can cause higher waves and occasional storm surges, sometimes traveling several kilometers inland on a very gentle slope of about less than one percent. The geology of the Krishna basin mainly consists of marine sand and alluvium. The landforms in this study area include gently sloping alluvial plains, flatlands and coastal regions that experience little erosion and have generally well-drained soils. The sea plains have quick runoff, while the flat fluvial areas show very slow runoff. The flat lands are often used for double cropping. In the Krishna delta, the cropping patterns include combinations of cereal-cereal, cereal-pulse and cereal-cereal-fallow with smaller portions reserved for vegetables, bananas and turmeric. Hence, the area qualifies for 'iso-hyperthermic' temperature regime. The soil moisture control section is dry for more than 90 cumulative days or 45 consecutive days in four months following summer solstice. So it qualifies for ustic soil moisture regime. The natural vegetation includes grasses, *Prosopis juliflora*, *Parthenium* sp., *Tridax* sp., mango (*Mangifera indica*) and neem (*Azadirachta indica*) etc., The soils were developed from basaltic alluvium parent materials. The soil texture varied from clay to sandy clay loam. The pH of soils is slight

alkaline innature, non-saline in electrical conductivity and low in organic carbon content.

A total of six soil profile samples were collected from five mandals in Krishna district: Movva, Ghatasala, Challapalli, Nagayalanka, and Penamaluru. The sample locations were situated 27 to 50 km from the Machilipatnam sea coast and at elevations of 6 to 27 meters. The profiles were selected according to drainage evident from the textural classes. The initial on field inspection of texture was done through feel method. The soil samples collected horizon-wise were tested for available micronutrients and were estimated using standard procedures as described by Lindsay and Norvell (1978).

DTPA – Zinc (Zn)

In six profiles were assessed for zinc levels. The weighted mean for Zn is 1.65 mg kg⁻¹ in P2 and 0.69 mg kg⁻¹ in P6. The top layers have zinc values below the critical limit of 0.4 mg kg⁻¹ but show gradual increases in the endogenetic slickensided horizons of P1. P2 has an irregular distribution of values, ranging from 1.53 mg kg⁻¹ in the C horizon to 1.71 mg kg⁻¹ in the B horizons (Table 2). In the Velivelu soil (P3), DTPA Zn levels drop significantly below 0.8 mg/kg in the lithologically inconsistent layers of the Bss horizon at depths of 0.8 to 1.5 m. For P4, DTPA-Zn increases with depth, ranging from 0.14 mg kg⁻¹ to 1.33 mg kg⁻¹ in the Bss horizons. This soil maintains a weighted mean of 0.96 mg kg⁻¹, which is below the critical value of up to 0.8 m. The Penamaluru soil (P5) exhibits an irregular DTPA-Zn distribution, with a weighted mean of 0.87 mg kg⁻¹. The endogenic layers Bw2, Bw4, and Bw5 have less than 0.29 mg kg⁻¹ of DTPA-Zn. The Ganguru soil (P6) has a weighted mean of 0.67 mg kg⁻¹, which is also below the critical level. The cambic B horizons, between 0.20 and 1.10 m, show zinc values from 0.71 to 1.48 mg kg⁻¹, but the BW4 horizon has a very low level of 0.09 mg kg⁻¹. The results also are in conformity (confirm) with the results of the Singh, (1998) (Singh, 1998). The amount of zinc varied irregularly with depth, indicating that zinc does not move easily in soils and tends to stick to clay particles. There was a strong positive correlation between zinc and organic carbon,

suggesting that organic carbon is a key factor in predicting zinc availability (Aseefa Meena, 2022).

$$\text{DTPA available Zn (mg kg}^{-1}\text{)} = 5.7567 + 19.9517 \text{ OC (\%)}$$

The Available zinc (mg kg^{-1}) value is 5.7567 ± 19.95 (Organic carbon %) with an R^2 of 0.36 and F value of 20.48. $R^2 = 0.36$ indicates that 36.3% of the variability of DTPA Zn (mg kg^{-1}) is explained by organic carbon percentage (Fig. 1) depicted in Fig. 1. Correlation (R) equals 0.60 indicates that a strong direct relationship between OC% and DTPA Zn (mg kg^{-1}).

The parameter needs details and more discussion with other findings. Thus, add other research output.




Fig.1, The simple linear regression model between DTPA available Zinc (mg kg^{-1}) and Organic Carbon (%)

DTPA -Copper (Cu):

The weighted mean concentration of DTPA-Cu is 3.38 mg kg^{-1} at P2, which is higher than 2.87 mg kg^{-1} at P6, 2.45 mg kg^{-1} at P4, 2.28 mg kg^{-1} at P5, 2.20 mg kg^{-1} at P1, and 1.5 mg/kg at P3. The DTPA-Cu levels decrease from 9.58 mg kg^{-1} in the Ap horizon to 0.3 mg kg^{-1} in Bss4, and from 7.35 mg kg^{-1} to 0.20 mg kg^{-1} in P2. Similar trends in soil depth are observed, but the levels remain above critical limits (Table 1). As noted by Barona, *et al.*, (1999), the significant correlation of copper with organic carbon indicates its

enhanced extractability from soils rich in organic carbon, while a negative correlation with pH was also observed.

$$\text{DTPA available Cu (mg kg}^{-1} \text{)} = 0.6919 + 7.5209 \text{ OC } 9\%$$

The Available copper (mg kg⁻¹) value is 0.6919 ± 7.5209 with R² of 0.44 and $F(F)$ value of 28.57 for degrees of freedom 1 and 36. R- square value of 0.44 indicates that 44.4% of the variability(Fig.2) of DTPA Cu (mg kg⁻¹) is explained by OC percentage, depicted in Fig. 2. Correlation 'R' value 0.6664 means there is a strong direct relationship between OC% and DTPA Cu (mg kg⁻¹).

The parameter needs details and more discussion with other findings. Thus, add other research output.




Fig. 2. The simple linear regression model between DTPA available Copper (mg kg⁻¹) and Organic Carbon (%)

DTPA- Iron (Fe)

The weighted mean for DTPA-Fe is classified as marginal, as noted by Singh in 1998. Values range from 5.62 mg kg⁻¹ at P5 to 4.35 mg kg⁻¹ at P1. A noticeable decline in DTPA-Fe with depth occurs at P1, dropping from 5.39 mg kg⁻¹ to 3.31 mg kg⁻¹, and in

the Bw horizons of P6, which decrease from 5.70 mg kg⁻¹ to 3.77 mg kg⁻¹. Other soil types display lower DTPA-Fe levels in cambic horizons, exhibiting irregular patterns. Conversely, the moderate correlation between Fe and organic carbon (OC) indicates a significant bonding effect between Fe and organic matter (OM), likely due to the formation of chelates known as siderophores, which enhance Fe solubility. Najafi-Ghiriet *al.*, (2013) linked this relationship to the exchange capacity of OM for Fe, the chelating properties of organic compounds, and the acidifying effects of OM. A positive correlation between Fe and OC may suggest that OC serves as a vital source of this nutrient in cultivated soils (Fig. 3).

The parameter needs details and more discussion with other findings. Thus, add other research output.

Where is its Simple linear regression description?




Fig. 3. Simple linear regression model between actual Ln DTPA Fe and predicted Ln DTPA Fe.

DTPA-Manganese (Mn)

The DTPA-Mn profile at Vemulamanda (P1) shows uneven trends but maintains levels above 4 mg kg⁻¹ at most depths, except in Bss4, where it drops below 4 mg kg⁻¹, indicating marginal levels (Singh 1998). DTPA-Mn concentrations range from 9.95 mg/kg in Bss1 to 10.91 mg/kg in the Ap horizon, with a weighted average of 7.91 mg kg⁻¹. The weighted means for all profiles are as follows: 11.95 mg kg⁻¹(P6), 10.96 mg

kg⁻¹(P3-Velivelu), 8.83 mg kg⁻¹(P5), 8.14 mg kg⁻¹(P2), 7.91 mg kg⁻¹(P1), and 5.57 mg kg⁻¹(P4). A gradual decline in DTPA-Mn is observed in P2, from 12.0 mg kg⁻¹ in the Ap horizon to 4.56 mg/kg in the C horizon. Similar patterns occur in P3, P4, and P5, with slight variations in depth for P6. The moderate correlation of DTPA-Mn with OC is due to higher adsorption and retention of Mn by finer fractions and conversion of Mn to its water-insoluble oxide forms in alluvial plains where flooding frequency is high under alkaline pH conditions. This result is ~~in~~ contrary to the findings of Sharma *et al.*, (2005) but in agreement with the findings of the hydric soils of Majuli Island (Bhaskar *et al.*, 2017).

$$\text{DTPA available Mn (mg kg}^{-1} \text{)} = 5.5712 + 12.1795 (\text{OC } \%)$$

The Available manganese mg kg⁻¹ value is 5.5712 ± 12.1795 with R² value is 0.42 indicating (Fig.4) that the variability of DTPA Mn (mg kg⁻¹) is explained by OC%, depicted in fig. 4. Correlation (R) value 0.6486 means there is a strong positive relationship between OC (%) and DTPA Mn (mg kg⁻¹).

The parameter needs details and more discussion with other findings. Thus, add other research output.




Fig. 4. The simple linear regression model between DTPA available Manganese (mg kg⁻¹) and Organic Carbon (%).

Descriptive statistics

The data collected and pooled analysis underwent descriptive statistical evaluation, with the findings summarized in Table 3. The mean concentrations were recorded as $1.14 \pm 0.58 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ for DTPA-Zn, $2.67 \pm 1.66 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ for DTPA-Cu, $5.04 \pm 0.83 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ for DTPA-Fe, and $8.96 \pm 3.34 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ for DTPA-Mn. These figures suggest sufficient levels ~~in accordance with~~by/following the critical thresholds established by Katyal and Rattan (2003). DTPA-Fe exhibited low variability, reflected by a coefficient of variation (CV) of 16.46%, while the other micronutrients displayed higher variability. The normality test indicated significance for DTPA-Zn, with a D value of and a p-value of 0.001, characterized by negative skewness and mesokurtic distribution.

Table. 1.
Critical level of deficiency of micronutrients in soils usually adopted in India for delimitation purposes

Nutrient	Extractant	Critical level (mg kg^{-1})
Zn	DTPA	0.4-1.2(0.6)
Fe	DTPA	2.5-4.5
	Ammonium acetate	2.0
Cu	DTPA or Ammonium acetate	0.2
Mn	DTPA	2.0
B	Hot water	0.5
Mo	Ammonium oxalate	0.2
S	0.15% $\text{CaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	10

Katyal and Rattan, 2003; Tandon, 1999

Table .2. Horizon wise descriptive statistics for DTPA extractable micronutrients (mg kg^{-1}) in soils of Krishna Basin

Statistical parameters	DTPA extractable micronutrients (mg kg^{-1})			
	Zn	Cu	Fe	Mn

Mean	1.14	2.67	5.04	8.96
SD	0.58	1.66	0.83	3.34
CV	50.08	62.17	16.46	37.27
Kurtosis	-1.20	1.85	-0.74	-0.73
Skewness	-1.48	1.40	-0.36	0.44
p-Value	0.001	0.00	0.068	0.09
D- Value	0.00014	0.00	0.08	0.11

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table.3. DTPA extractable micronutrients (mg kg⁻¹)in soils of Krishna Basin

Profile & horizon	Depth(m)	Zn	Cu	Fe	Mn
Window I					
P1 Vemulamanda					
Ap	0.00 - 0.18	0.11	9.58	5.39	10.91
Bss1	0.18 - 0.40	0.40	3.03	5.15	9.95
Bss2	0.40 - 0.70	1.88	2.29	5.10	10.51
1Bss1	0.70 - 1.00	1.87	2.02	4.73	10.07
1Bss2	1.00 - 1.30	1.81	1.51	4.23	8.67
Bss3	1.30 - 1.50	1.80	0.55	3.61	5.20
Bss4	1.50 - 2.00	1.78	0.30	3.31	3.72
	W	1.51	2.20	4.35	7.91
P2 Kothapalli					
Ap	0.00 - 0.25	1.70	7.35	5.12	12.00
Bss1	0.25 - 0.50	1.69	2.62	6.03	11.99
Bss2	0.50 - 0.90	1.71	2.16	5.98	10.45
Bss3	0.90 - 1.30	1.67	2.25	6.00	8.19
Bss4	1.30 - 1.70	1.64	1.65	5.60	5.60
Bss5	1.70 - 2.10	1.53	0.20	4.62	4.56
	W	1.65	1.50	5.56	8.14
P3 Velivelu					
Ap	0.00 - 0.20	1.51	6.57	4.57	14.23
Bss1	0.20 - 0.50	1.51	4.16	6.05	12.12
Bss2	0.50 - 0.80	1.01	4.09	6.07	11.89
1Bss1	0.80 - 1.20	0.72	3.33	5.79	10.57
1Bss2	1.20 - 1.50	0.69	2.46	4.98	9.61
Bss3	1.50 - 2.00+	1.41	1.81	4.28	9.51
	W	1.13	3.38	5.25	10.96
P4 Nangagadda					
Ap	0.00 - 0.25	0.14	6.85	5.15	10.83
Bss1	0.25 - 0.50	0.68	3.33	5.31	6.62
Bss2	0.50 - 0.80	0.67	1.88	6.18	5.71
1Bss1	0.80 - 1.05	1.33	1.70	4.50	5.06
1Bss2	1.05 - 1.20	1.31	1.44	4.03	4.72
2 Bss1	1.20 - 1.40	1.33	1.30	3.35	3.80
2Bss2	1.40 - 1.80	1.29	1.00	4.80	3.03
	W	0.96	2.45	4.88	5.57
P5 Penamaluru					
Ap	0.00 - 0.20	1.30	2.18	5.44	7.23
Bw1	0.20 - 0.60	1.45	3.65	5.13	9.40
Bw2	0.60 - 0.90	0.29	1.97	6.25	5.98
Bw3	0.90 - 1.22	1.86	1.92	6.21	5.75

Bw4	1.22 - 1.55	0.23	1.79	5.81	6.04
Bw5	1.55 - 1.90+	0.18	1.85	5.04	16.97
P6 Ganguru	W	0.87	2.28	5.62	8.83
Ap	0.00 - 0.20	0.23	5.81	4.90	12.59
Bw1	0.20 - 0.50	0.97	3.26	5.70	11.14
Bw2	0.50 - 0.82	0.71	2.64	5.23	12.60
Bw3	0.82 - 1.10	1.48	2.18	4.18	12.12
Bw4	1.10 - 1.40	0.09	2.27	4.06	10.87
Bw5	1.40 - 1.80	0.59	2.20	3.77	12.39
	W	0.69	2.87	4.59	11.95

References: (Follow APA style of Citation writing in all.) Follow the same pattern.

Example:

Cappuyns, A. and Swennen, R. (2007). Classification of alluvial soils according to their potential environmental risk: a case study for Belgian catch ments. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, 9, 319–328. <https://doi.org/10.1039/B616959G>

~~Assefa Menna. (2022). Profile Distribution of Micronutrients and Their Interrelationships with Related Soil Properties in Typical Cultivated Lands. *American Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, 10 (6): 210–219. doi: 10.11648/j.ajaf.20221006.11~~

~~Barona, A., Aranguiz, I., and Elias, A., 1999. Zinc and copper distribution in soils and their removal by chelating extraction. *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology*, 74 (7): 700–708.~~

~~Bhaskar, B.P., Tiwari, G. and Jagdish Prasad. 2017. Pedogenic influence on profile distribution of total and DTPA extractable micro nutrients in rice growing hydric soils of Majuli river island, Assam, India. *Spanish Journal of Soil Science*.7(1): 59–85.~~

~~Cappuyns, A. and Swennen, R., 2007. Classification of alluvial soils according to their potential environmental risk: a case study for Belgian catch ments. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring* 9, 319–328. <https://doi.org/10.1039/B616959G>~~

- Cieśla, W., Dąbkowska-Naskręt, H., Dymińska, M. and Owedyk, J., 1988. Preliminary results of investigations of the mineralogic composition of clay fraction of selected Vistula alluvial soils. *Roczniki Gleboznawcze—Soil Science Annual* 39(3), 209–212. (in Polish with English abstract)
- Driessen, P.M., Deckers, J., Spaargaren, O., 2001. Lecture notes on the major soils of the world. (World Soil Resources Reports: FAO; Vol. 94). Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).
- Hewelke, P., Hewelke, E., Oleszczuk, R., Kwas, M., 2018. The application of pedotransfer functions in the estimation of water retention in alluvial soils in Żuławy Wiślane, northern Poland. *Soil Science Annual* 69(1), 3–10. <https://doi.org/10.2478/ssa-2018-0001>
- Holmes, R.S., Hearn, W.E., 1942. Chemical and Physical Properties of Some of the Important Alluvial Soils of the Mississippi Drainage Basin. *Technical Bulletin* 833, 1–82. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3232/SJSS.2017.V7.N1.05>
- Najafi Ghiri M., Ghasemi Fasaei, R., and Farrokhnejad, E., 2013. Factors affecting micronutrient availability in calcareous soils of Southern Iran. *Arid Land Research and Management*, 27 (3): 203–215.
- Kanno, I., Honjo, Y., Arimura, S. and Kuwano, Y., 1965. Characteristics and classification of an unirrigated anthropogenic alluvial soil found in the Kumamoto Plain, *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 11(1), 14–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.1965.10431147>
- Katyal, J.C. and Rattan, R.K. (2003) Secondary and micronutrients: Research gaps and future needs. *Fertilizer News*. 48(4): 9–14
- Lindsay, W. L., and Norvell, W. A., 1978. Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. *SSSA Journal*. 42: 421–428.

- Martens, D.C., and Lindsay, W.L. 1980. Testing soils of copper, iron, manganese and zinc. *In Soil Testing and Plant Analysis*, 3rd Ed.; Westerman, R. L., Eds.; Soil Science Society of America: Madison, WI, pp. 229-260.
- Mengel, K. and Kirkby, E. 1979. *Principle of Plant Nutrition*, 2nd Ed.; International Potash Institute: Bern, Switzerland.
- Młynarek, Z., Stefaniak, K., Wierzbicki, J., 2012. Geotechnical Parameters of Alluvial Soils from in situ Tests. *Archives of Hydro Engineering and Environmental Mechanics* 59(1-2), 63-81. <https://doi.org/10.2478/v10203-012-0005-1>
- Rizvi R.H., Yadav R.S., Singh Ramesh, Pandey S.B., Wani S.P., Dhyan S.K. *Spatial analysis of soil parameters in Domagor Pahuj watershed using Geostatistical methods of GIS. Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 2015;85:576-580. [[Google Scholar](#)]
- Schaetzl, R., Anderson, S., 2005. *Soils. Genesis and Geomorphology*. Cambridge University Press.
- Sheremet, B.V., 2006. Soil horizons as the basis for classification of alluvial soils. *Eurasian Soil Science* 39, 127-133. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1064229306020025>
- Singh, M.V. 1998. 28th progress report of AICRP on Micro and Secondary Nutrients and Pollutant Elements in Soil and Plants. *Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal* :102.
- Tagore G.S., Bairagi G.D., Sharmab R., Vermab P.K. 2014. Spatial variability of soil nutrients using geospatial techniques: a case study in soils of sanwer tehsil of indore district of Madhya Pradesh. *Int. Arch. Photogram. Rem. Sens. Spatial Inf. Sci.* 8 ;1353-1363
- Tandon, H.L.S. 1999. *Methods of analysis of soils, plants, water and fertilizers. FDCO, New Delhi*, Pp.143.
- Yilmaz, I., Karacan, E., 1997. Geotechnical properties of alluvial soils: An example from South of Sivas (Turkey). *Bulletin of the International Association of Engineering Geology* 55, 159-165. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02635418>

All references written are wrong. Please revise them and follow the APA pattern. You can help google for this. (Free APA citation generator)

UNDER PEER REVIEW