

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_129365
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of foliar supplementation of nitrogenous fertilizer on yield attributes and yield of wheat ( <i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Globally wheat is cultivated in an area about 217 million hectares with a record production of 731 million tonnes. Maximum area under wheat is in India (14%), followed by Russia (12.43%), China (11.14%) and the USA (6.90%) which altogether accounts for about 45% of global area. Due to various factors such as growing population, soil nutrient depletion, limited land resource, and climate change, there is a need of input having greater efficiency and environment friendly. Additionally, the use efficiency of nutrients for traditional fertilizers is abysmally low. It has been reported that around 40–70% of nitrogen, content of applied fertilizers are lost in the environment and could not reach the plant which causes significant economic losses". To combat this, utilize new inputs in our agriculture which have more output and minimal damage. One such inputs which can be used is Nano-fertilizers.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes, the title is suitable in terms of issue that have been founded in this research.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. Try to reduce it to within 300 words	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes, based on the founding data in this research.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	References which are quoted those are sufficient and need to add some more references.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	I think it's already sufficient enough.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Minor corrections are there in the manuscript, reduce the Abstract within 300 words and align the sentences wherever is missing. In results section add some more reasons and references. Need to align the tables in a proper way.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Manjunath Madhukar Mopagar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, India</b>