

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_128734
Title of the Manuscript:	Radiofrequency Neurotomy in non-surgical Patients with chronic Hip Pain
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Radiofrequency rhizotomy is a technique with very narrow indications. It can be vital when used for correct indication and with correct precision. This manuscript can be used as preliminary study for a better case control study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Radiofrequency neurotomy as treatment option for non-surgical chronic hip pain	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Introduction heading is missing. Study design cannot be quasi-experimental as it has control group. Quasi-experimental study is preliminary type of study without control group. Study design, setting and duration of study to be included in methodology section. Please change keywords to Radiofrequency ablation, radiofrequency rhizotomy, chronic hip pain, Hip osteoarthritis, WOMAC.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Study design mentioned is incorrect. Sample size calculation is lacking. Headings are grossly correct. Discussion is very brief. It really needs elaboration.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Acceptable	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is acceptable but contains many grammatical errors.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> In Table 1, Demographic profile should be represented as per age, gender, diagnosis and comorbidity profile so that we can interpret study population objectively. Please specify conservative treatment used for control group in methodology. How did the authors ensure accuracy of nerve location? Did they ensure the temporary pain relief with local anaesthetic ? If yes, How ? Please mention local anaesthetic used. Were dye used to locate the sensory nerve? Results and discussion cannot be clubbed. Mention WOMAC scores at 3,6,9 and 12 months also. It is not marked in figure also. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rupesh
Department, University & Country	Command Hospital Chandimandir, India