

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_129290
Title of the Manuscript:	Regional Variations and Adaptive Morphology of Apiscerana Forelegs Across India
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript outlines the variation of Asian honeybee foreleg sizes correlated with geographic location within India, also comparing these variations to climatic differences between these regions. This provides important baseline information on how climate can affect the morphology of Asian honeybees, which will be extremely important for a future with increasing climate uncertainty, as honeybees are incredibly important for the natural world. Therefore, this paper can be a tool to identify potential regional climate changes, utilising Asian honeybees as a proxy for this.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is very suitable, it clearly and accurately describes the main topic of the article, including geographic region, species, and subject area, without being too specific about the contents.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I think the abstract is good overall in describing the main aims and results of the paper. However, perhaps something could be added about where these regional variations are found (i.e. that longer forelegs are usually associated with cooler climates, and vice versa).	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	To the best of my knowledge, the information within the manuscript is scientifically accurate and sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are recent as far as possible, and they are sufficient for the length of this manuscript. I have suggested to add another at one point, which I have highlighted within the manuscript.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality is mostly good; I have made some suggestions of where the grammar could be improved in the manuscript (such as adding some words/phrases, or rewording sentences to avoid repeating yourself).	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thomas Smith
Department, University & Country	United Kingdom