

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_129232
Title of the Manuscript:	Value Delivery Practices in the Educational Perspective Based on Miyazawa Kenji and Jiddu Krishnamurti - A Systematic Literature Review
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has dwelt on the issue of values education which is apt in our contemporary world. The modern society is being bedevilled with moral decadence that needs urgent attention through the process of education. The study has explored two worldviews – one from India and the other from Japan. This attempt has drawn attention to what Philosophy from the two worlds has to offer concerning ethics and values education. Adopting a systematic literature review approach is a plus for the manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is good but it appears to be clumsy. I suggest an alternative title as follows: 'Miyazawa Kenji and Jiddu Krishnamurti Ethical Views as Frameworks for Value Education- A Systematic Literature Review.'	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I think the abstract can be improved. An abstract is not supposed to be an introduction. It is supposed to summarize the entire study by capturing the background to the study, purpose of the study, methodology used in the study and presentation of the major finding. The authors should provide a highlight of the basic ideas of the two major thinkers examined in the study in the abstract. Since the ideas of the two thinkers are the main thrust of the paper, they should feature prominently in the abstract. The author mention the basic assumptions of the two philosophies and how they could inform values education in the contemporary times.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript has done a systematic literature review which is okay for a study of this nature.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are too many cited works that are not found in the references. Also, there are many works that are in the references but not cited in the work. For example, the following were cited without year and not referenced: Bloom Piaget Geesell Stewart Adley, Dewey, Sullivan, Vedatta Wundiantian Skinner Eckhardts Chakma Pulver, 2008 Larson, 2021 (Akegarasu & Fukada, 2021 A lot of works are found in the references but not cited in the work. For example,	

Review Form 3

	<p>Ananad, V.(2020). J. Krishnamurti's Philosophy of Education. <i>International Journal of Research in all Subjects in Multi Languages</i>,8(8).</p> <p>Bamkin, S. (2018)</p> <p>Col Moritoki Škof, N. (2013).</p> <p>Mori, E. S. (2018).</p> <p>Mundy, J. (2018).</p> <p>Patela, J. Exploring Teachers' Pedagogical Strategies for Learning to Live Together Harmoniously.</p> <p>Real, T. (2022).</p> <p>Rodrigue, B. H. (2019).</p> <p>ligan-Taylor, K. (1994)</p> <p>Flexer, J. (2019).</p> <p>Gade, S. (2022).</p> <p>.Indira Gandhi National Open University.(n.d). <i>Contribution of Indian Philosophers</i>.</p> <p>Ito, E. (2021).</p> <p>There are inconsistencies in the references. For example, Groviaus was dated 2024 in – text but not dated (n.d) in the references. Again, APA referencing style which the author seems to adopt does not require numbering the references. What is required is to arrange the references alphabetically. There are also many formatting issues in the references. I suggest that the author rewrite the references in line with the 7th edition of APA</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are many typographical errors in the paper. For example, 'individuality' instead of 'individualistic', 'this kind of these theories', instead of 'this kind of theories'. To overcome this weakness, the paper requires a comprehensive technical editing. Some sections of the paper were made bold without justification.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper is a systematic discourse of value-based educational models and practices in India and Japan based on the philosophy of Miyazawa Kenji and Jiddu Krishnamurti. Many relevant works on the subject were reviewed. However, the organization of the ideas could be improved in the following ways: discussion of the meaning of values education should be presented by way of robust discussion rather than using bullets. The same should apply to the section on 'policy and philosophical perspectives on value-based educational models and practices in India and Japan'. Use of bullets could impede flow of thought and exhaustive discussion of the issues. Paragraphs in a work of this kind should be well developed. One sentence paragraphs should be avoided. Again, a lot submission from notable thinkers in ethics and psychology were discussed in a seemingly disjointed manner. It would be more academic to discuss their ideas in relation to how they align or differ from the two main philosophies that are the focus of the study. There are major issues to be resolved in the references. All cited works should be in references and only cited works should be referenced. In academic writing, accuracy of references with respect to the authors' name and dates of publication is key.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Saheed Olanrewaju Jabaar</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Yusuf Maitam Sule University, Nigeria</p>