

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_129088
Title of the Manuscript:	THE IMPACT OF THE TOURISM INDUSTRY ON ECONOMIC GROWTH IN AFGHANISTAN
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study investigated the impact of the tourism industry on economic growth in Afghanistan using the time series data method (ARDL model) from 2008 to 2020 and reported that tourism expenditure and revenue significantly affect Afghanistan's economic growth, in both long and short periods. a unit rise in international tourism expenditure leads to a 0.692 unit increase in GDP, meanwhile, a unit rise in global tourism revenue leads to a 0.125 unit increase in GDP. The importance of this article originates from the novelty of the work, and it was not duplicating old works. However, the study is simple and direct. Perhaps, little innovation in the approach can make the study interesting. Also, the manuscript does not adhere to the bibliographic requirement of the journal. The authors did not use of APA style.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. However, the title can also indicate the time coverage (2008-2020).	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract can be improved by adding vital information such as the policy recommendations. Can the authors make it specific and based on the study's findings?	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	I can observe some mistakes that are scientifically incorrect. I explain as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction can be supported with data visualization of the main variables. 2. Literature review should include theoretical framework discussion on the variable selected in the model. 3. The model is misspecified and it can be improved by adding some control variables that reflect one economic growth theory such as the production function theory $Y = F(L, K)$. 4. The author did not mention the data source for the variables (ITR) and (ITE). 5. The author mentioned in the summary of the result the variables Democratization and Foreign direct investment, but they are not included in the model and no data has been collected for these two variables. 6. The author can also include robustness checking analysis. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient but not recent. I suggest the author can add the most recent references and follow the reference guideline for the journal.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Osaid N A Abdaljawwad
Department, University & Country	Albukhary International University, Malaysia