

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_129349
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Impact of the Current Pharmacy Education in the Attitudes of Early Career Pharmacists towards Leadership and Professional Identity in Nigeria
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a critical gap in pharmacy education research by evaluating its impact on early-career pharmacists' leadership development and professional identity in Nigeria. Its findings have the potential to influence curriculum development and the implementation of mentorship programs, which are essential for preparing pharmacists to meet the evolving demands of patient-oriented care and advancements in healthcare technologies. This study also adds to the limited body of work on pharmacy education and leadership in Africa, making it highly valuable for global education policymakers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable and accurately reflects the focus of the manuscript. No changes are suggested.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract The abstract is comprehensive, but the addition of specific quantitative findings (e.g., "42.3% of respondents felt pharmacy education prepared them well for leadership roles") would enhance its clarity and impact. It is recommended to succinctly mention key barriers identified, such as limited mentorship and workload/time constraints, to provide a holistic summary.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound and provides a clear methodology and robust data analysis. However, the following improvements could be considered: 1. Provide more details on how the questionnaire was validated to strengthen its credibility. 2. Discuss the limitations of self-reported data in greater detail, as this could introduce bias.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate and relevant. However, adding citations from more recent global studies on leadership in pharmacy education would strengthen the manuscript's context and comparative analysis.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and comprehensible, but there are minor grammatical errors and awkward phrasing that need revision for smoother readability. For example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> "Thus, this emphasizes the need..." could be simplified to "This emphasizes the need..." "Also, a good number of respondents noted..." could be revised for conciseness. 	
Optional/General comments	<input type="checkbox"/> Highlight the practical implications of the findings more prominently in the discussion section. <input type="checkbox"/> Consider discussing how this study's findings align or differ from pharmacy education systems in other developing countries.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Karunrat Tewthanom
Department, University & Country	Silpakorn University, Thailand