

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_129240
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Corruption on Public Investment Outcomes in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I have just evaluated the paper entitled "Impact of Corruption on Public Investment Outcomes in Nigeria" This is an interesting topic of relevance and would potentially contribute to the related literature. This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses the burning issue of Impact of Corruption on Public Investment in Nigeria, an area often overlooked in previous research. The findings offer valuable insights that can inform policymakers and government seeking to improve corruption.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable and eye-catching. It clearly conveys the central themes of the article. The title effectively encapsulates the study's aim and the microeconomic perspective it takes, making it informative for potential readers.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive and attractive. It includes the necessary parts; objective, methodology, result, recommendation and overview of the paper.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, this paper is scientifically robust especially the direct effect of corruption on public investments and its interactions with macroeconomic variables pay great role for scientific contribution. Because the public sector investments have lack of transparency and accountability.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, the references are not sufficient and recent especially in Introduction part.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The authors need to incorporate the academic language of the paper, as there are a few issues with sentence structure. Some sentences in the manuscript are not concise. Therefore, I recommend proofreading this paper from an English language expert. The paper clearly lacks the sense of paragraphing; some paragraphs are too short and consist of only a few lines. Kindly rearrange your paragraphs and provide arguments about one main theme in each paragraph.	
Optional/General comments	There is no any discussion in all section of results part, the discussion is highly important. The author will discuss the similarity and contradictory thoughts with his results by reviewing relevant literatures.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tale Geddafa
Department, University & Country	Ambo University, Ethiopia