

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_129431
Title of the Manuscript:	E-Monep Policy Implementation In The Regional Secretariat of North Gorontalo Regency
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is of significant importance to the scientific community as it studied deeply into the challenges and effectiveness of e-Monep policy implementation within the context of regional governance. By examining key dimensions such as organizational structure, interpretation, and application, the study sheds light on critical factors that influence the success or failure of electronic monitoring and evaluation systems. This research not only highlights the gaps and inefficiencies in current practices but also provides a robust framework for understanding how such systems can be optimized to enhance governance transparency, accountability, and efficiency. The authors have made commendable efforts in meticulously analyzing the complexities of e-Monep implementation and presenting their findings in a structured and insightful manner. Their dedication to addressing real-world issues with a rigorous methodological approach exemplifies the practical relevance of this study. Furthermore, the study's findings offer valuable insights that can inform policymakers, administrators, and researchers in designing and implementing more effective monitoring and evaluation mechanisms, ultimately contributing to better policy outcomes and more sustainable regional development. This research stands as a meaningful contribution to the field, bridging the gap between theoretical concepts and practical applications in governance and policy implementation.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The current title, "<i>E-Monep Policy Implementation in the Regional Secretariat of North Gorontalo Regency</i>," is highly suitable for the scientific community as it clearly identifies the focus and context of the study. It succinctly captures the essence of the manuscript, ensuring that potential readers can immediately grasp the study's relevance and scope. The authors are to be commended for selecting a title that is both precise and contextually relevant, reflecting the study's core objectives. However, to further enhance its appeal and specificity, three alternative titles could be considered:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. "<i>Evaluating the Challenges and Outcomes of E-Monep Policy Implementation in North Gorontalo's Regional Secretariat</i>" – This title emphasizes both the assessment of challenges and the results of the implementation. 2. "<i>Optimizing Electronic Monitoring and Evaluation: Insights from North Gorontalo Regency's E-Monep Policy Implementation</i>" – This alternative highlights the optimization and practical insights offered by the study, appealing to a broader audience interested in governance systems. 3. "<i>The Role of E-Monep in Enhancing Governance: A Case Study of North Gorontalo's Regional Secretariat</i>" – This version underscores the broader impact of the e-Monep system on governance and positions the study as a case example. <p>These alternatives maintain the original focus while providing slightly varied emphases, which could attract diverse audiences depending on their interests in governance, policy implementation, or technological integration. The current title remains a strong choice, but these suggestions could be explored to align with the manuscript's intended impact and readership.</p>	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is fairly comprehensive, effectively summarizing the key aspects of the study, including its purpose, methodology, and findings. It provides a clear overview of the research objectives, the dimensions explored—organization, interpretation, and application—and the challenges identified in the implementation of the e-Monep policy. The structured presentation of the research scope and methodology ensures that readers understand the study's rigor and focus. However, while the abstract succeeds in providing an informative synopsis, there is room for improvement to make it more engaging and appealing.</p> <p>One way to enhance the abstract is by including a brief summary of the study's implications and recommendations. Highlighting how the findings can inform future improvements in the e-Monep system would make the abstract more actionable and relevant for policymakers, administrators, and practitioners. For instance, a statement on how the research identifies key areas for optimizing organizational discipline, improving operator competence, and enhancing resource allocation could underline its practical applications. Additionally, mentioning specific recommendations, such as introducing regular training programs or improving infrastructure for system access, would strengthen the abstract's appeal.</p> <p>An alternative approach to the abstract could also involve emphasizing the broader significance of the study. By framing the research as contributing to the advancement of electronic monitoring systems in governance, it can attract a wider audience interested in policy implementation and public administration. Including language that conveys the potential for scalability and replication of the e-Monep framework in other regions or contexts would further elevate its scholarly and practical value.</p> <p>Overall, while the abstract is strong in its current form, incorporating these elements would make it more compelling and impactful, ensuring that it resonates with a diverse range of readers from academia, government, and the private sector.</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>The manuscript appears scientifically accurate, showcasing a well-structured approach that adheres to qualitative research standards. The authors have demonstrated commendable efforts in meticulously designing the study, employing detailed methodology, robust data collection techniques, and thorough analysis processes. By integrating methods such as interviews, observations, and documentation, the research ensures a comprehensive understanding of the issues surrounding e-Monep policy implementation.</p> <p>The systematic data analysis—through reduction, presentation, and conclusion drawing—reflects a commitment to maintaining scientific rigor and credibility. Furthermore, the authors' use of triangulation and repeated observations to validate the data showcases their dedication to producing reliable and trustworthy findings.</p> <p>Their thoughtful selection of snowball and purposive sampling techniques indicates an understanding of the nuances of qualitative research, enabling the inclusion of diverse and relevant informants. This methodological precision not only enhances the reliability of the findings but also demonstrates the authors' expertise in addressing complex governance challenges.</p> <p>Overall, the authors are to be commended for their diligence and scholarly integrity, which are evident throughout the study. Their work contributes significantly to the body of knowledge in policy implementation and public administration, while also providing practical insights that can guide improvements in monitoring and evaluation systems. Such a well-executed study underscores the authors' valuable contribution to advancing research in this critical field.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references provided in the manuscript are adequate, as they cover a range of sources that address various aspects of monitoring and evaluation systems, public administration, and policy implementation. These citations lend credibility to the study and provide a foundational understanding of the theoretical and practical frameworks relevant to the topic. The inclusion of sources that discuss monitoring systems, organizational challenges, and qualitative research methodologies reflects the authors' effort to ground their research in established academic literature.</p> <p>However, the reference list could be improved by incorporating more recent and diverse sources to strengthen the manuscript's academic foundation. Many references, while relevant, are dated, which may limit the manuscript's engagement with the latest advancements in monitoring and evaluation systems or policy implementation frameworks. Adding newer studies, particularly from the past five years, would ensure that the research reflects contemporary debates and innovations in the field. For example, recent advancements in digital governance, big data integration, or AI-enhanced monitoring systems could provide fresh insights that align with the study's focus on e-Monep.</p> <p>Additionally, the diversity of the references could be enhanced by including more international</p>	

Review Form 3

	<p>perspectives or comparative studies. This would provide a broader context for the findings and allow for a richer discussion on how e-Monep systems might align with or differ from global best practices. References from different regions or sectors could also highlight the scalability and adaptability of the findings, making the manuscript more appealing to a global audience.</p> <p>Lastly, the inclusion of multidisciplinary sources that integrate technology, policy studies, and public administration could further enrich the study. For instance, drawing on works from the fields of IT systems in governance or behavioral studies on policy implementers could deepen the manuscript's analytical scope.</p> <p>Overall, while the current references are sufficient, these enhancements would elevate the manuscript's scholarly impact, ensuring it resonates with both academic and practical audiences. The authors are encouraged to build on their solid foundation by incorporating these additional elements to make their work even more robust and comprehensive.</p>	
--	--	--

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of the manuscript is generally suitable for scholarly communication, effectively conveying the study's objectives, methodology, findings, and implications. The authors have utilized a formal tone and structured presentation, aligning well with academic standards. However, minor grammatical refinements and stylistic adjustments could further enhance the manuscript's clarity and readability, making it more impactful for its intended audience.</p> <p>Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Some sentences are overly complex, potentially making it difficult for readers to grasp the main idea. Simplifying such sentences by breaking them into shorter, more concise statements would improve readability. For instance:<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Original: "The results of the report will be submitted to the leadership and become a reference in planning the activity program in the coming year."- Improved: "The report results are submitted to the leadership and used as a reference for planning next year's activities."2. There are occasional issues with subject-verb agreement, article usage, and prepositions. For example:<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Original: "This is indicated by the implementation of routine socialization activities at the beginning of the year."- Improved: "This is evidenced by the routine socialization activities conducted at the beginning of each year."3. Certain phrases or ideas are repeated without adding new value, which could make the manuscript appear redundant. Rephrasing or removing repetitive content would streamline the narrative.<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Example: The phrase "e-Monep operators" is frequently repeated in close proximity. Consider varying the language by using synonyms like "system users" or "input personnel."4. While the manuscript addresses a specialized audience, some terms could benefit from brief definitions or context to ensure broader accessibility. For instance, explaining "triangulation" or "credibility test" in simpler terms could help readers unfamiliar with qualitative research methods.5. Some paragraphs lack a logical transition between ideas, making the text feel fragmented. For example:<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Original: "The implementation of monitoring and evaluation is useful in providing information about the achievement of goals, the use of appropriate methods, and providing feedback."- Improved with Transition: "Effective monitoring and evaluation not only provide information about goal achievement but also ensure the application of suitable methods and offer constructive feedback for continuous improvement." <p>Examples of Improvement:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Use active voice where possible to make sentences more direct and engaging:<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Original: "The data analysis techniques are carried out by reducing data, presenting data, and drawing conclusions."- Improved: "Researchers reduced, presented, and concluded the data using established analysis techniques."2. Eliminate filler words to maintain a concise style:<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Original: "The implementation of e-Monep is considered important in the implementation of development activities."- Improved: "The e-Monep system plays a vital role in supporting development activities."3. Align tense usage consistently throughout the manuscript, especially when referring to the study's methodology and results. <p>Final Recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Conduct a thorough proofreading session, focusing on grammar, punctuation, and sentence flow.- Utilize language editing tools or seek professional editorial assistance to refine the manuscript further.- Consider peer feedback to identify and resolve areas where clarity might be compromised.	
---	--	--

Review Form 3

	By addressing these points, the manuscript's language will not only meet but exceed scholarly communication standards, ensuring that its insights are effectively conveyed to its audience.	
Optional/General comments	<p>The study's findings regarding organizational discipline and resource allocation provide actionable insights that are highly relevant for policy implementation studies. The research highlights critical areas where improvements in discipline, competence, and resource management can significantly enhance the effectiveness of electronic monitoring systems like e-Monev. This focus makes the manuscript particularly suitable for the journal, as it aligns well with the broader objectives of advancing scholarly understanding in governance and public administration.</p> <p>The manuscript offers a valuable contribution to the field by addressing a gap in the literature related to the implementation challenges of electronic monitoring systems in regional governance. Its exploration of dimensions such as organizational structure, interpretation, and application provides a comprehensive framework for understanding how such systems can be optimized. This level of detail is critical not only for advancing theoretical discourse but also for guiding practitioners in the implementation of similar systems in other regions or contexts.</p> <p>The authors' efforts are commendable, as they have meticulously conducted the research with robust qualitative methods, including interviews, documentation, and observation. The thoughtful application of data validity techniques, such as triangulation and extended research time, further underscores the study's rigor and reliability. Their focus on actionable outcomes, such as improving operator competence and addressing infrastructure limitations, demonstrates a commitment to practical solutions that can drive real-world improvements in governance systems.</p> <p>Moreover, the manuscript opens avenues for further academic and practical exploration by emphasizing the importance of a structured, technology-driven approach to monitoring and evaluation. It invites scholars to build on its findings by conducting comparative studies, exploring scalability, or investigating the integration of advanced technologies like AI or big data analytics in monitoring systems.</p> <p>In conclusion, the manuscript is well-suited for the journal, contributing significantly to the field of policy implementation and regional governance. The authors deserve recognition for their diligent efforts in addressing a complex issue with a nuanced and insightful approach. Their work not only enriches academic discourse but also offers tangible benefits for policymakers and administrators striving to enhance governance efficiency and accountability.</p>	

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suhaimi Mhd Sarif
Department, University & Country	International Islamic University Malaysia, Malaysia