

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_129059
Title of the Manuscript:	THERAPEUTIC POTENTIAL OF <i>Gongronema latifolium</i> AND <i>Ocimum gratissimum</i> ON LIVER AND KIDNEY FUNCTIONS OF ALLOXAN-INDUCED DIABETIC RATS EXPOSED TO CARBON TETRACHLORIDE
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it explores the therapeutic potential of <i>Gongronema latifolium</i> and <i>Ocimum gratissimum</i> in mitigating liver and kidney damage in diabetic models, particularly those exposed to carbon tetrachloride (CCl ₄). By demonstrating the hepato-nephroprotective effects of these plants, it contributes to the field of phytotherapy and the development of plant-based interventions for managing diabetes and its complications. The findings emphasize the advantage of poly-herbal therapy and provide a basis for further translational research, potentially bridging traditional medicine and modern healthcare.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, " <i>Therapeutic Potential of Gongronema latifolium and Ocimum gratissimum on Liver and Kidney Functions of Alloxan-Induced Diabetic Rats Exposed to Carbon Tetrachloride</i> ," is informative but lengthy. A more concise alternative could be: " <i>Hepato-Nephroprotective Effects of Gongronema latifolium and Ocimum gratissimum in Diabetic Rats Exposed to Carbon Tetrachloride</i> ."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and outlines the study's objectives, methodology, results, and conclusions. However, it can benefit from minor adjustments for clarity and conciseness: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mention specific biochemical markers analyzed in the study, such as ALT, AST, and creatinine, for precision. Highlight the key comparative findings between single and combined extract treatments. Conclude with a more impactful statement on the potential clinical applications of the findings. 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears scientifically robust, with detailed methodology, well-structured results, and appropriate statistical analysis. However, a few clarifications could enhance the scientific rigor: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly state the rationale for the dosages used for extracts and CCl₄. Discuss the limitations of the study, such as sample size or potential variability in plant extract composition. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references cited are adequate and relevant, but some are outdated. Incorporating more recent studies on the antioxidative and hepato-nephroprotective roles of phytochemicals could strengthen the manuscript. Suggested additions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recent reviews on poly-herbal therapies and diabetes management. Studies on the mechanistic pathways of oxidative stress and liver/kidney protection. 	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is generally clear but requires minor editing to improve fluency and precision. There are instances of repetitive phrasing and grammatical issues that need correction to meet scholarly standards.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Ikram
Department, University & Country	University of Sargodha, Pakistan