

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_128008
Title of the Manuscript:	Emotional Intelligence and Artificial Intelligence Integration Strategies for Leadership Excellence
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	By synthesizing current research and providing strategic insights, the paper addresses a critical emerging domain at the confluence of human behavioral science and technological innovation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title is suitable and accurately reflects the manuscript's content and scope. It communicates the research's focus on integration strategies and leadership development.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>The abstract is generally comprehensive and provides a clear overview of the research's purpose, methodology, and key findings. However, I suggest:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adding a brief mention of the key methodological approach (semi-structured interviews) • Explicitly stating the sample size or participant characteristics • Highlighting 1-2 specific key findings more precisely 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<p>The manuscript is scientifically sound, presenting a structured and rigorous approach to exploring the EI and AI integration. The research demonstrates: Comprehensive literature review, Clear research goals, Systematic exploration of concepts, Consideration of ethical implications</p> <p>However, methodology needs to be improvised. The research relies primarily on a qualitative approach with semi-structured interviews, but lacks:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific details about sample size • Demographic characteristics of interview participants • Detailed methodology for participant selection • Clear description of interview protocol • Lack of statistical validation <p>The study provides a theoretical framework but lacks:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Empirical evidence to substantiate claims • Concrete case studies or real-world implementation examples • Detailed metrics for measuring EI and AI integration success • Specific organizational context or industry-specific insights <p>While comprehensive, the research could improve by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Developing a more robust theoretical model • Providing clearer operational definitions of EI and AI integration • Establishing more precise conceptual boundaries • Identifying specific mechanisms of EI and AI interaction 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are: Mostly recent (spanning 1990-2024), From reputable sources, Diverse in disciplinary backgrounds	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript's language is generally scholarly and clear. However, there are minor grammatical and structural improvements possible, such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Refining some sentence structures, Ensuring consistent tense usage	
Optional/General comments	Develop a more rigorous research methodology with clear participant selection criteria, Include detailed case studies from multiple industries, Create a comprehensive framework for measuring EI and AI integration success, Expand on ethical considerations and potential challenges, Provide more specific, actionable recommendations, Include a robust discussion of research limitations.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rhytheema Dulloo
Department, University & Country	School of Management, Hindustan Institute of Technology and Science, India