

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_128008
Title of the Manuscript:	Emotional Intelligence and Artificial Intelligence Integration Strategies for Leadership Excellence
Type of the Article	Paper Concept

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic of AI and EI is very suitable to be discussed because it is in line with current technological developments. AI can be a key tool in achieving organizational goals. However, it is also necessary to examine the level of awareness, knowledge and willingness of leaders to use AI and integrate it with EI wisely.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Interesting and appropriate article titles that meet the current needs.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It was found that the abstract was not in line with the writing content of the study especially in methodology component. The abstract needs to explain the methodology (methods, samples, instruments, data analysis if relevant), results and also implications of the study carried out.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Overall, the writing content is like writing a book and not a scholarly paper. I hardly find a problem statement in the writing. What exactly is the problem you want to tackle? Research objectives are clearly stated. However, it needs to be linked to the research question. Not clearly stated. The methodology statement is not clear. Specify in detail what sampling was used? How was the sample size determined? Sampling criteria? Number of questions in the semi-structured interviews used? How was the data analyzed? The discussion of the study findings is not clear. Is this a concept paper or a qualitative study that you want to do/have done?	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The writing only takes into account the views of 12 research scholars. The writing needs to consider more updated AI and EI views from current cases. Also, the reviewer refers to 'outdated' academic views of which 75% of the years (1990-2008) and only 3 citations (25%) of the years (2019-2020.) Perhaps not relevant today. Make sure the citation years are at least 70% current (2020-2024) to maintain relevancy.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Relevant and easy to understand.	
Optional/General comments	This article has the potential to serve as a reference for the integration of EI in overcoming challenges, especially for AI generation leaders. If it can be revised for areas that need improvement, especially in terms of clarity, ethical considerations, methodological accuracy, and referencing, the article will most likely be of higher quality to meet the expected standards for academia. Improvements to the comments/recommendations provided will make it easier for the author to create a focused and systematic review discussion.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Norliza Binti Samad
Department, University & Country	Aminuddin Baki Institute, Malaysia