

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_129588
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Pattern of Neurological Disorders amongst Children attending the Neurology Clinic of a Private Paediatric Hospital in Southern Nigeria
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review  
Comments Approval  
Policy:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for

Reviewers:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The study will help in launching new regulations and policies. It can also create a significant impact on the QoL of the family members as well as the disabled population. Awareness programs has to be implemented among the community for reduce the prevalence in this scenario	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The aim can be expressed with greater precision. Redundancy in the conclusion can be minimized to avoid repetition of results.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, but grammar corrections can be done by rephrasing some sentences in the materials and methods and introduction part	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The aim can be expressed with greater precision, and the redundancy in the conclusion can be minimized to avoid repetition of results.</li> <li>• In the introduction, the second paragraph can be revised to better emphasize the intended message. The rationale for restricting the study to a single private neurology clinic can be reinforced for greater clarity and impact.</li> <li>• Table content should follow double spacing.</li> <li>• Year of publication has to be given in in-text citations</li> <li>• Table footnotes should be included.</li> <li>• Figure legends need to be written.</li> <li>• Descriptive text within the figures should be removed.</li> <li>• A space should be added after the numeral and before the bracket.</li> <li>• Grades should be written in words rather than numerals (e.g., First instead of 1st).</li> </ul>	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Abbreviations should be used if the full term appears only once in the manuscript.</li> </ul>	
--	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b><u>Reviewer's comment</u></b>	<b><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></b>
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	Aswathy A K
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	National Institute of Speech and Hearing (NISH), India