

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_129885
Title of the Manuscript:	Understanding the Extension and Advisory Service delivery dynamics at the Municipal level through the Social Network Analysis Lens: A Comparative Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	this manuscript holds significant value for the scientific community as it addresses the critical yet underexplored area of extension and advisory service delivery in the context of Nepal's federal restructuring. By analysing the perception and diffusion flow patterns of public extension services at the local level, it provides insights into how stakeholders navigate the evolving service landscape.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	he title is clear and informative, but it is somewhat lengthy and could be refined for better readability and impact. A concise and focused title often attracts more attention while retaining the essence of the study. Suggested: "Social Network Analysis of Extension and Advisory Services at the Municipal Level: A Comparative Study"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	he abstract provides a good foundation by outlining the study's aims, design, methodology, and focus areas. However, it could benefit from some adjustments to enhance its clarity, comprehensiveness, and impact.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Sure, the manuscript is scientifically correct. that elaborate on each section with more detail, particularly on methodology, results, and discussion Social Network Analysis; Likert Scale, Perception, Extension, and advisory services, Diffusion	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references sufficient, recent, and covered various aspects related to	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article demonstrates suitable language and English quality for scholarly communications in the field of Social Network Analysis; Likert Scale, Perception, Extension, and advisory services, Diffusion	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Radwa M Ata
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt