

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_129885
Title of the Manuscript:	Understanding the Extension and Advisory Service delivery dynamics at the Municipal level through the Social Network Analysis Lens: A Comparative Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript makes an important contribution to the scientific community because it investigates the dynamics of extension and advisory service (EAS) delivery at the municipal level using a novel social network analysis method. It identifies key mediators and investigates stakeholders' roles in information dissemination, highlighting practical strategies for optimizing EAS networks. The findings are useful for policymakers and practitioners looking to improve the efficiency and inclusivity of agricultural service delivery systems, especially in decentralized governance contexts such as Nepal.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title accurately describes the study, but it could be improved as "Analyzing Local Extension and Advisory Service Dynamics in Nepal through Social Network Analysis: A Comparative Study"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article provides a good overview of the study	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Manuscript seems to be scientifically strong	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	A good number of references have been included. Many are recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kallanagouda Patil
Department, University & Country	SVKM's Narsee Monjee Institute of Management Studies (NMIMS) Deemed to be University, India