

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_128832
Title of the Manuscript:	Unveiling Gender Dynamics in Career Decision-Making: Insights from students of University of Technology and Applied Sciences, (UTAS) Sultanate of Oman
Type of the Article	Research article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Very recent related studies pertaining to career decision making need to be explored. Literature review should include the research studies carried out in the years 2023 and 2024 also. The need for the study needs to be synthesized from the related literature.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. The title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, the abstract is not comprehensive. In the abstract include the sample size, sampling technique and a brief note on the research tools used for data collection.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	As the study adopted stratified random sampling, it is necessary to mention the different strata considered for the selection of sample. The research gap needs to be justified properly.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are errors in reference section. Check the year and usage of parentheses properly. Some of the parentheses are left as open.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article is found with many grammatical errors. It is suggested to rectify all grammatical mistakes.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article needs to be strengthened more with the necessary information mentioned above.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	R.Bagdha Vatchala Perumal
Department, University & Country	The Gandhigram Rural Institute (Deemed to be University), India