

# Seasonal incidence of Insect pests of Chickpea in relation to abiotic factors

## ABSTRACT

The field experiment was conducted at Research Farm, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Bundelkhand University, Jhansi during the *Rabi* season 2023-2024 to study the seasonal incidence of insect pests of chickpea. The results revealed that the occurrence of the larval population of *Helicoverpa armigera* starts in the 51<sup>st</sup> SMW. The peak level was attained in the 3<sup>rd</sup> SMW. The correlation studies revealed the negative with temperature and relative humidity while the positive correlation was found with rainfall between larval population and abiotic factors. The multiple regression explained the effect of abiotic factors on the larval population.

**Keywords:** *Helicoverpa armigera* L., Correlation, Multiple regression, Pest occurrence

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Pulses are the dried edible seeds of cultivated plants belonging to the Fabaceae family and food legumes have been grown by farmers to provide nutritive valuable foods for Indian people (Nene, 2006) and also grown worldwide. In India, pulses are known as “poor man’s meat and rich man’s vegetable”. The importance of vegetable protein has been well-recognized throughout the world. To benefit from the malic acid, citric acid, mineral content, and fiber in the leaves all of which have therapeutic value are eaten both raw and cooked. Its grain is a good supply of vitamins, minerals (calcium, phosphorus, iron), fat (4–10%), protein (18–22%), and carbs (52–70%). The crop is commonly farmed in Bangladesh, Australia, India, Turkey, Pakistan, Iran, Mexico, and Turkey (Yadav *et al.*, 2024). The total amount produced in India climbed from 97.67 lakh tonnes in 2020–21 to 103.46 lakh tonnes in 2022–23. In 2020–2021, the 9.99 million hectares of chickpea-planted land grew dramatically, hitting its highest level in the preceding decade. Likewise, chickpea output (11.91 million tonnes) surpassed the previous 50-year record with productivity of 11.92 q/ha. In 2020–2021, 9.99 million hectares of chickpeas were planted, up from 6.45 million ha. Although pulses are grown all across the nation, the largest shares are produced in M.P. (24%), U.P. (16%), MH (14%), A.P. (10%), Karnataka (7%), and Rajasthan (6%). Approximately 77% of the overall pulse output comes from these states, with the other 23% coming from Gujarat, Chhattisgarh, Bihar, Orissa, and other states. However, chickpea production is not fully achieved a abiotic stress and mid-different biotic stress (Chaturvedi *et al.*, 2018). The pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner), is a significant insect species that negatively impacts the economy of this crop (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). This extremely polyphagous insect preys on more than 182 plant species, including commercially important and extensively farmed cotton, maize, tobacco, pigeon pea, chickpea, and tomato crops. Reports indicate that the pod borer reduced chickpea yields by 10–60% in typical meteorological conditions and 50–100% in favorable ones, particularly in areas where periods of rain and cloud cover are common during the growing season.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Commented [H1]: Write reference

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

According to reports, the pod borer reduces chickpea productivity by 30 to 80 percent in typical meteorological conditions. A single *Helicoverpa armigera* caterpillar may eat 30–40 pods before reaching maturity (Pal et al. 2016). The abiotic factors play a major role in regulation of population of *H. armigera* (Hameed et al., 2015).

Formatted: Font: Italic

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at Research Farm, Department of Entomology, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Bundelkhand University, Jhansi, U. P. during the Rabi season of 2024. To determine the seasonal incidence of insect pests of chickpea in relation to abiotic factors, the larval population was recorded randomly selected 10 plants of chickpea at three random places at weekly intervals, from germination to harvesting. The population was correlated with abiotic factors. After distinguishing between the several species, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub.) larvae were counted after being shaken down on a large paper sheet from each observation. Weekly observations between 8 and 11 AM were documented.

Formatted: Font: Italic

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data on the larval population of *Helicoverpa armigera* L. during the Rabi season in 2023-2024 have been presented in Table 1 and Figure 1. It is evident from Table 1, that the larval activity continued throughout the crop season.

Formatted: Font: Italic

The occurrence of gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (H.) in the 2023-24 Rabi season commenced from the 51<sup>st</sup> standard week (December third week) with an average of 0.96 larvae per plant. The larval population increased and gradually reached a peak level of 2.97 no. of larvae/plant at the 3<sup>rd</sup> standard week (January third week). However, the lowest mean larval population is 1.02 larvae per plant at the 10th SMW (March second week). The abiotic factor played a key role in the build-up of the larval population. The present findings was similar to Singh et al., (2018) they reported that the infestation of gram pod borer on chickpea initiated during fourth week of December. These findings were also similar to Spoorthi et al.,(2017) was noticed that the initial incidence of population at third week of December. Jadhav et al., (2021) also reported that the gram pod borer incidence was started at 51<sup>st</sup> SMW and attained the peak level at 3<sup>rd</sup> SMW.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

The correlation studies between larval population and weather parameters are given in Table 2. The result revealed that the correlation of larval population of gram pod borer was found to be negative with maximum ( $r = -0.48$ ), and minimum ( $r = -0.41$ ) temperatures, and morning and evening relative humidity ( $r = -0.19$ ,  $r = -0.32$ ) while a positive correlation was found between rainfall ( $r = 0.10$ ) and larval population. The present findings are similar to Spoorthi et al., (2017) who described the correlation as negative with relative humidity, and positive with rainfall. Tripathi et al., (2024) also reported that a negative correlation was found between larval population and morning and evening relative humidity.

Formatted: Font: Italic

To evaluate its impact on the larval population of gram pod borer, the multiple regression equation was utilized, which included meteorological characteristics. The model's consideration of weather parameters accounted for 39% of the variation in the larval population, according to the derived  $R_2$  value of 0.39. This implies that environmental conditions have a moderate impact on pod borer incidence. Furthermore, the research shows that the larval population would decline by 0.09, 0.05, and 0.01 individuals, respectively, if the maximum temperature dropped by 1°C, the evening relative humidity dropped by 1%, and 1 mm of rainfall fell. These results highlight how weather factors, including temperature, humidity, and rainfall, may affect the population dynamics of gram pod borer *H. armigera*.

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Font: Italic

**Table 1: Seasonal incidence of Pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* L. in Chickpea during Rabi season 2023-2024.**

SMW	PERIOD	TEMPERATURE (°C)		RELATIVE HUMIDITY (%)		RAINFALL (mm)	Larval population of <i>H. armigera</i> ♀.
		MAX.	MIN.	MOR.	EVE.		
49	03/12/2023-09/12/2023	24.0	14.7	81.0	41.0	0.00	0.00
50	10/12/2023-16/12/2023	26.8	9.5	83.0	58.0	11.35	0.00
51	17/12/2023-23/12/2023	25.0	8.0	73.0	61.0	10.00	0.96
52	24/12/2023-30/12/2023	26.4	9.2	91.0	72.0	17.60	1.21
01	31/12/2023-06/01/2024	15.7	10.8	92.0	71.0	0.00	1.53
02	07/01/2024-13/01/2024	21.1	8.2	74.0	39.0	0.00	1.76
03	14/01/2024-20/01/2024	14.2	5.4	83.0	41.0	21.50	2.97
04	21/01/2024-27/01/2024	20.8	6.2	76.4	43.0	0.00	2.13
05	28/01/2024-03/02/2024	23.8	10.5	69.7	39.0	0.00	2.33
06	04/02/2024-10/02/2024	21.7	9.1	61.0	34.0	11.35	2.14
07	11/02/2024-17/02/2024	25.7	10.4	65.9	35.6	0.00	1.33
08	18/02/2024-24/02/2024	27.4	13.0	79.9	42.0	0.00	2.34
09	26/02/2024-04/03/2024	26.4	13.8	70.0	56.0	0.00	1.63
10	05/03/2024-11/03/2024	26.4	11.2	72.4	38.0	0.50	1.02




Figure 1: Seasonal incidence of Pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* L. in Chickpea during Rabi season 2023-2024.

Table 2: Correlation and regression analysis studies between larval population and weather parameters.

Larval population	Temperature (°C)		Relative Humidity (%)		Rainfall (mm)
	Max. Temp.	Min. Temp.	Mor. Rh.	Eve. Rh.	
Gram pod borer	-0.48	-0.41	-0.19	-0.32	0.10
Multiple Regression	Y= 6.23-0.09X <sub>1</sub> -0.05X <sub>2</sub> -0.01X <sub>3</sub> -0.01X <sub>4</sub> +0.00076X <sub>5</sub>				0.390

#### 4. CONCLUSION

According to the study, abiotic factors had a substantial impact on the *Helicoverpa armigera* larval population during the Rabi season of 2023–2024. Temperature and humidity were found to have a negative link with the larval population, whereas rainfall was positively correlated. January (3<sup>rd</sup> SMW) saw the highest larval activity, and 39% of population variation was explained by environmental factors. These results highlight how crucial weather monitoring is to successful pest management plans.

The present study revealed that the incidence of *Helicoverpa armigera* in the Bundelkhand region is occurrence from 51<sup>st</sup> SMW and attained peak larval population at 3<sup>rd</sup> SMW is influenced by various abiotic factors. The larval population gradually decreased at 10<sup>th</sup> SMW. Weather parameters and larval population together were responsible for 39% (R<sup>2</sup>=0.39) during Rabi season 2023-2024.

#### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that No generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

Nene, Y. L. (2006). Indian pulses through the millennia. *Asian Agri-History*, 10(3):179-202.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Superscript

Commented [H2]: Arrange all the references alphabetically Also, change the references as per journal format

- Pal R, Singh R, Malik YP, Kumar A. (2016). Seasonal Incidence of gram pod borer *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on prominent variety of sown different dates on chickpea. *South Asian Journal of Food Technology and Environment*. **2**(2): 399-407.
- Yadav *et al.* (2024). Studies on Seasonal Incidence of Gram Pod Borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on Chickpea Crop. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, **14**(3): 349-354.
- Tripathi V., Kumar A., Singh S., Tiwari R. K., and Tripathi S. K. (2024). Seasonal Incidence of Major insect pest of Chickpea and their natural enemies in Vindhya Region of Madhya Pradesh. *Environment and Ecology*, **42**(3): 1083-1088.
- Chaturvedi S K, Jha S K, Singh N P, Gaur P M, Varshney R K. (2018). Technological and policy intervention for increasing chickpea production in India. *Pulse India* **8**: 7-12.
- Hameed A, Sohail M, Ahmad S S, Karar H. (2015). Forecasting and modelling of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub.) in relation to weather parameter in Multan, Punjab Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology* **47**(1): 15-20.
- Singh VV, Agarwal N, Sathish BN, Kumar S, Kumar S, Pal K. (2018). Studies on insect diversity in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* Linnaeus) ecosystem. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **6**(5):693-697.
- Spoorthi GS, Singh R, Sachan SK, Singh DV, Sharma R, Kumar S. (2017). Monitoring and seasonal incidence of gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) in relation to abiotic factor in chickpea. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, SPI: 490-494.
- Jadhav KU, Chavan AP, More SA, Kulkarni SR, and Karande RA. (2021). To study the seasonal incidence of gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. **10**(6): 186-189.