

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_129048
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Organic Inputs (Jeevamruth and Beejamruth) on Quality Parameters of Fenugreek
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Although the work is not appropriate to justify the research advancements going these days But could be an advisable information for farmers working t ground level	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is changed as depicted in abstract. Keep either one that is given on top or that is depicted in abstract	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is okay according to manuscript	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Just for grammatical parts it is scientifically ok Name the vegetables in botanical names not common names.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	NEED RE FORMATTING NOT ALIGNED	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I have highlighted the parts to be corrected	
Optional/General comments	The research is upto standard as only organic fertilizers have been employed and only four parameters have been studied Can be accepted as informative part for farmers using organic farming PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunita Khatak
Department, University & Country	Uiet, Kurukshetra University, India