

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_129343
Title of the Manuscript:	Dieulafoy duodenal ulceration: A rare cause of massive digestive hemorrhage
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is a valuable contribution to the scientific community as it highlights the clinical presentation, diagnosis, and management of a rare and life-threatening cause of gastrointestinal bleeding—Dieulafoy ulceration in the duodenum. The detailed case report underscores the importance of early recognition and prompt intervention in cases of massive hemorrhage, especially in patients with complex medical histories such as anticoagulant therapy. By documenting the successful use of hemostatic clips, the manuscript reinforces their efficacy and safety as a first-line treatment modality, providing a practical guide for gastroenterologists. Furthermore, it emphasizes the need for vigilant follow-up to prevent recurrence, thereby enriching the existing literature on this rare entity.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Very suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language should be improved	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saja Hussain Dilfy
Department, University & Country	College of Education for Pure Science, University of Wasit, Iraq