

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_129343
Title of the Manuscript:	Dieulafoy duodenal ulceration: A rare cause of massive digestive hemorrhage
Type of the Article	Case report

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<b>Importance of This Manuscript for the Scientific Community</b> This manuscript addresses the rare and critical condition of Dieulafoy duodenal ulceration, offering valuable insights into its diagnosis and management. It enriches the limited literature with a detailed case study, highlighting hemoclippping as an effective treatment method. The discussion on anticoagulant management provides practical guidance for clinicians handling complex comorbid cases. Overall, this work underscores the role of advanced endoscopic techniques in improving outcomes for rare gastrointestinal conditions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but could be refined for clarity and impact. Adding a brief note on the rarity and significance of Dieulafoy duodenal ulceration and emphasizing diagnostic challenges would enhance its relevance. Condensing redundant details and highlighting the long-term success of hemoclippping as a first-line treatment would make it more concise and informative.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically accurate, presenting a rare case of Dieulafoy duodenal ulceration with detailed clinical information and well-supported discussions. It effectively highlights hemoclippping as a safe treatment and uses evidence-based data. To enhance its rigor, ensure references are up-to-date, verify anticoagulant management aligns with guidelines, and provide clarity in figures and tables.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references in the manuscript are relevant and adequately support the discussion. However, some are outdated, and updating them with recent studies or guidelines from the last five years would enhance recency and credibility. Additional references on advancements in endoscopic techniques and anticoagulant management during GI bleeding could strengthen the manuscript further.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication, with clear and concise descriptions of the case, diagnosis, and treatment.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mukesh Kumar Shukla
Department, University & Country	Hygia Institute of Pharmacy, India