

Original Research Article

Improving the Qualities of Low Fat Mayonnaise with Pumpkin Seed Flour (*Cucurbita maxima Duch*)

ABSTRACT

Aims: To obtain the finest percentage of Pumpkin Seed Flour (PSF) in Low Fat Mayonnaise (LFM).

Study design: Using a Completely Randomized Design (CRD).

Place and Duration of Study: The research was done at the Animal Product Technology Laboratory, Egg Processing Division, Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Brawijaya, Malang. The research was done in July-August 2024.

Methodology: The method used is a laboratory experimental and the data were analyzed using ANOVA with 4 treatments and 6 replications. If different results were obtained among the treatments, then followed by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). The treatment of adding PSF to LFM was without addition (T0), 1% (T1), 3% (T2), and 5% (T3).

Results: The results showed that adding PSF resulted in a significant ($P < 0.01$). Increase in the parameters of color a^* (0.27-0.92), fiber content (0.61-1.15%), carbohydrates (40.70-43.99%), and protein (1.391-2.246%), while color L^* (84.81-90.54), color b^* (54.96-65.24), fat content (32.18-33.76%), and acidity (0.25-0.46%) decreased.

Conclusion: It can be concluded that the best physicochemical properties of LFM are produced by adding 5% PSF.

Keywords: Low fat mayonnaise, pumpkin seed flour, physicochemical

1. INTRODUCTION

Mayonnaise is one of the emulsion products. The emulsion is a types of emulsions where oil is the dispersed phase and water is the dispersing phase (o/w). The high public demand for Low Fat Mayonnaise (LFM) has made mayonnaise to be produced in various forms. The LFM is one of the tytet of mayonnaise which is made healthier with lower fat content. This is to make mayonnaise healthier to consumed and reduce the risk of diseases associated with excessive fat consumption according to public demand. However, reducing the fat content when making LFM causes changes in the physicochemical characteristics that make the product less popular with consumers. Therefore, it is necessary to add a stabilizer during the process of making LFM.

Research has shown that that the addition of stabilizers from natural ingredients can help mayonnaise achieve the desired physicochemical characteristics. Some of the natural stabilizers added to mayonnaise include katsuri orange peel flour (Afifah et al., 2024), watermelon rind flour (Evanuarini et al., 2020), and banana peel flour (Evanuarini and Susilo, 2020). However, PSF is one of the natural stabilizers that has not been added to mayonnaise. Although research existing shown research shown that PSF has been added to

biscuits products (Hussain et al., 2023), wheat bread (Chochkov et al., 2024), and cookies (Gebremariam et al., 2024). But none is available on PSF added to mayonnaise as a stabilizer.

Nutritional composition shows that 100 g of PSF contains 10.71 g carbohydrates, 559 Kcal energy, 30.23 g protein, 7.81 mg zinc, and 49.04 g fat (Iswahyudi et al., 2023). This nutritional can help LFM have a more stable emulsion, thus improving the physicochemical characteristics of LFM. Besides this, the nutritional content of PSF can also increase the nutritional content of LFM thus increasing the nutritional value and make LFM attractive to consume.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Material

The ingredients to make LFM are canola oil and vinegar obtained from supermarkets, fresh egg yolks obtained from the Teaching Farm of the Faculty of Animal Science, Brawijaya University, PSF obtained from e-commerce, mustard, sugar, salt, and pepper obtained from supermarkets. The formulation of LFM ingredients were 30% canola oil, 20% egg yolks, PSF (according to treatment), 40% water, 5% vinegar, 0.5% mustard, 3% sugar, 1% salt, and 0.5% pepper.

2.2 Sample Preparation

The process of making LFM begins by mixing the ingredients (except for canola oil, egg yolks, PSF, and water) until homogeneous mixture is achieved. Ingredients that have not been mixed are later added little by little alternately until they run out. Four types of emulsions are prepared and the are :

T0 : without adding PSF

T1 : adding 1% PSF of the total formulation

T2 : adding 3% PSF to the total formulation

T3 : adding 5% PSF to the total formulation

2.3 Methods

The method used is a laboratory experimental and the data were analyzed using ANOVA with 4 treatments and 6 replications. If different results were obtained among the treatments, then followed by DMRT.

2.4 Physicochemical Analysis of the Sample

2.4.1 L*a*b* Color

L*a*b* color analysis was performed by using color reader (AOAC, 2005). Testing was performed by coating the petri cup uses clear plastic. The color reader is attached to the surface of the mayonnaise sample. The color reader is turned on. Measurements are taken by pressing the start button. The values of L*, a*, and b* are obtained, repeated 3 times for each treatment

Notes :

L* = 0-100 (the higher the score, the brighter it is)

a* = -127 to 128 (the lower the score the more close to green, the higher the score the more close to red)

b* = -127 to 128 (the lower the score the more close to blue, the higher the score the more close to yellow)

2.4.2 Fiber Content

Fiber content testing was carried out using the gravimetric method (AOAC, 2005). The mayonnaise sample was weighed, dried and put into a 500 ml measuring flask, 50 ml of 1.25% H₂SO₄ solution was added and extraction was carried out using a soxhlet. Simmered for 30 minutes using a cooler. Add 50 ml of 3.25% NaOH and continue to boil for 40 minutes. Filtered with a Buchner funnel containing dried and known-weighted ashless filter paper. Washed the sediment on the filter paper with 96% ethanol, hot water, and hot 1.25% H₂SO₄. Put into a cup of known weight and dried at 105°C. Cooled and weighed until constant weight. Calculated using the following formula:

$$(\%) \text{ Fiber Content} = \frac{W \text{ fiber (g)}}{W \text{ sample (g)}} \times 100\%$$

2.4.3 Carbohydrate Content

Testing of carbohydrate levels using the Luff Schoorl method (AOAC, 2005). The test was carried out using a Luff Schoorl solution containing (CuSO₄), potassium sodium tartrate, and sodium carbonate. Furthermore, titration was carried out using sodium thiosulfate solution (Na₂S₂O₃) until the solution became clear. After that, it was calculated using the following formula:

$$(\%) \text{ Carbohydrate Content} = \frac{(V \text{ blank} - V \text{ sample}) \times \text{Thiosulfate Factor} \times 1000}{W \text{ sample (g)}}$$

Where :

- V blank = volume Na₂S₂O₃ which is used for blank titration
- V sampel = volume Na₂S₂O₃ which is used for sample titration
- Thiosulfat Factor = calculated based on concentration and Luff Schoorl solution

2.4.4 Protein Content

Kjeldahl method was performed to analyzed the protein content (AOAC, 2005). The testing process was carried out through 3 stages, namely destruction, distillation, and titration. After that, it was counted using the next formula:

$$(\%) \text{ N} = \frac{(a - b) (N \text{ NaOH}) (Ba \text{ N})}{w \text{ sample} \times 1000} \times 100\%$$

$$\text{Total protein} = \% \text{ N} \times \text{fk N (6.25)}$$

Where :

- A = amount of sample titrations
- B = amount of blank titrations
- N = normality of NaOH
- Ba N = atomic weight of hydrogen (14.008)
- % N = nitrogen content

2.4.5 Fat Content

Fat content testing was carried out using the Babcock method (AOAC, 2005). The test conducted by inserting a 5 ml LFM sample into a centrifuge tube and then inserted into a spinner. Rotated for 10 minutes at 200 rpm, after which the sample was inserted into a measuring cup. Hot distilled water with a temperature of 60°C was added to scale 25 and left for 15 minutes. The fat volume is measured using a vernier caliper and calculated using the following formula:

$$(\%) \text{ Fat Content} = \frac{V_{\text{scale}}}{V_{\text{sample}}} \times 100\%$$

2.4.6 **Acidity**

Acidity testing is carried out using the acid-base titration method (AOAC, 2005). The test begins by put the titrant into the burette. Put the analyte into the Erlenmeyer flask. Add a few drops of acid-base indicator (methyl orange) to the analyte. The titrant is dripped little by little into the analyte. Stop titration when the color of the analyte changes. Calculated using the following formula:

$$M_a \times V_a \times a = M_b \times V_b \times b$$

Where :

M_a = molarity of acid solution (M)
 V_a = volume of acid solution (ml)
 a = valence of acid solution
 M_b = molarity of base solution (M)
 V_b = volume of base solution (ml)
 b = valence of base solution

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 **L*a*b* Color**

L*a*b* color is a test carried out to detect color changes that occur in the product due to the addition of formulation. The results of the average L*a*b* color values of LFM by the addition of PSF can be found in Table 1. The result as displayed showed that the higher the PSF percentage added, the lowest the L* and b* color values and the higher the a* color value of LFM. This is because the added PSF contains chlorophyll which produces a dominant green. Also carotenoid in the form of lutein which contribute to yellow and green colors which causes the brightness level of the formulated mayonnaise to decrease. The level of a* value shows a positive value which means it is slightly towards red, and the level of b* value which also shows a positive value which means the product tends to have a yellow color. The L*a*b* color value produced in this study is higher than previous studies where mayonnaise with the use of different fruit flours produced L* color values in the range of 80.38-85.80, a* color values within the range (-2.37) -0.59, and b* color values within the range of 9.43-19.45 (Vieira et al., 2023).

3.2 **Fiber Content**

Fiber content is a test conducted to determine the amount of total dietary fiber in a product (Gordon and Okuma, 2002). The results of the fiber content of LFM by the addition of PSF is shown on. Table 2 showed that the larger the PSF percentage added, the higher the fiber content of LFM. This can occur due to the contribution of fibers from PSF into LFM, causing an increase in the overall fiber content of LFM. In addition, fibers such as pectin and polysaccharides interact with water and form gels that can increase fiber content in LFM. This value is comparable to previous studies which stated that the fiber content in mayonnaise with pumpkin flour addition in the range of 0.00-0.90% (Nidhal et al., 2022).

3.3 **Carbohydrate Content**

Carbohydrates are nutrients that can be the main source of energy for the human body. The results of the average carbohydrate content of LFM by the addition of PSF can be found in Table 2. The table showed that the larger the PSF percentage added, the higher the carbohydrate content of LFM. This is because per 100 g of PSF there are 10.71 g of carbohydrate nutrients so that the more PSF is added, the higher the carbohydrate content

will be (Iswahyudi et al., 2023). The carbohydrate content is much higher when compared to previous studies. Reduced fat mayonnaise with apple peel flour addition of as a stabilizer produces mayonnaise with a carbohydrate content of 2.63-5.81% (Evanuarini and Susilo, 2024).

3.4 Protein Content

Protein content is a chemical analysis carried out to determine the protein content of a product. The results of the average protein content of LFM by the addition of PSF is displayed on Table 2. From the table it can be deduced that, the larger the PSF percentage added, the higher the protein content of LFM. This is because PSF contains 30.23 g of protein per 100 g of PSF and its incorporation into the mayonnaise will also increase the protein content of the mayonnaise. The value obtained in this study is smaller when compared with the value of previous studies. Mayonnaise with the addition of soybean oil produces a protein content value of 4.55% (Rihansjah, 2023).

3.5 Fat Content

Fat content is an important analysis for mayonnaise because it is made from oil. The average result of LFM fat content with PSF addition is displayed on Table 2, which showed that the larger the PSF percentage added, the lowest the fat content of LFM. This can happen because the nutritional composition of PSF is composed of non-fat solid components, thereby reducing the proportion of fat in the product and becoming lower when more PSF is added. Reduced fat mayonnaise with watermelon rind flour addition as a stabilizer produces a fat content value ranging from 51.90-71.94% with the highest value being the control mayonnaise (Evanuarini et al., 2020). Another study stated that LFM with tomato peel nanopowder addition as a natural antioxidant produces a fat content value ranging from 34.05-70.68% with the highest value being the control mayonnaise (Evanuarini et al., 2023).

3.6 Acidity

Acidity of a product can extend the shelf life because it can cause to microbes that contaminates the product. The results of the average acidity value of LFM by the addition of PSF as displayed on Table 2, showed that the higher the PSF percentage added, the lower the acidity value of LFM. This is because PSF has a pH value that tends to be close to neutral which can have an effect on reducing the concentration of acid in a product (Veronezi et al., 2015). The acidity value of LFM obtained in this study is smaller when compared to previous studies. Reduced fat mayonnaise with watermelon rind flour addition as a stabilizer produces an acidity value ranging from 0.63-0.94% (Evanuarini et al., 2020). Another study stated that mayonnaise with apple peel flour addition as a stabilizer produces an acidity value ranging from 0.66-0.90% (Evanuarini and Susilo, 2024).

Table 1. Physical Qualities of Low Fat Mayonnaise with Pumpkin Seed Flour Addition

Variable	Treatments			
	T0 ± SD	T1 ± SD	T2 ± SD	T3 ± SD
Lightness (L*)	90.54 ± 0.56 ^c	88.36 ± 0.64 ^b	87.42 ± 0.53 ^b	84.81 ± 0.36 ^a
Redness (a*)	0.27 ± 0.11 ^a	0.37 ± 0.08 ^a	0.67 ± 0.12 ^b	0.92 ± 0.12 ^c
Yellowness (b*)	65.24 ± 0.63 ^d	60.31 ± 0.45 ^c	59.06 ± 0.65 ^b	54.96 ± 0.72 ^a

T0 = control; T1 = low fat mayonnaise containing 1% pumpkin seed flour; T2 = low fat mayonnaise containing 3% pumpkin seed flour; T3 = low fat mayonnaise containing 5% pumpkin seed flour. ^{a, b, c, d} Different superscripts in the same row indicate a highly significant effect (P<0.01)

Table 2. Cemichal Qualities of Low Fat Mayonnaise with Pumpkin Seed Flour Addition

Variable (%)	Treatments			
	T0 ± SD	T1 ± SD	T2 ± SD	T3 ± SD
Fiber Content	0.61 ± 0.05 ^a	0.85 ± 0.03 ^b	1.07 ± 0.02 ^c	1.15 ± 0.02 ^d
Carbohydrate	40.70 ± 0.45 ^a	41.80 ± 0.19 ^b	42.22 ± 0.29 ^a	43.99 ± 0.28 ^a
Protein Content	1.39 ± 0.07 ^a	1.77 ± 0.09 ^b	1.97 ± 0.05 ^c	2.24 ± 0.07 ^d
Fat Content	33.76 ± 0.05 ^d	32.82 ± 0.11 ^c	32.48 ± 0.06 ^b	32.18 ± 0.03 ^a
Acidity	0.46 ± 0.05 ^a	0.39 ± 0.02 ^a	0.31 ± 0.01 ^b	0.25 ± 0.02 ^c

T0 = control; T1 = low fat mayonnaise containing 1% pumpkin seed flour; T2 = low fat mayonnaise containing 3% pumpkin seed flour; T3 = low fat mayonnaise containing 5% pumpkin seed flour. ^{a, b, c, d} Different superscripts in the same row indicate a highly significant effect (P<0.01)

4. CONCLUSION

In this research, it was concluded that the best L*a*b* color value, fiber, carbohydrate, protein, and fat contents, and acidity were found in LFM with 5% PSF addition.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Afifah, N., Kartikasari, L.R. & Nuhriawangsa, A.M.P. (2024). The Antioxidant Activity and Sensory Quality of Mayonnaise Supplemented with Calamansi Peel Flour (*Citrofortunella microcarpa*). In *BIO Web of Conferences* (Vol. 123, p. 01026). http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/20_2412301026
2. Evanuarini, H., Amertaningtyas, D., Utama, D.T. & Safitri, A.R. (2020). The Use of Watermelon Rind Flour as Stabilizer for Reduced Fat Mayonnaise. *JITEK*. 15, 172-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.217_76/ub.jitek.2020.015.03.5
3. Evanuarini, H. and Susilo, A. (2020). The quality of low fat mayonnaise using banana peel flour as stabilizer. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 478, No. 1, p. 012091). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/478/1/012091>
4. Hussain, A., Kausar, T., Aslam, J., Quddoos, M.Y., Ali, A., Kauser, S., et al. (2023). Physical and Rheological Studies of Biscuits Developed with Different Replacement Levels of Pumpkin (*Cucurbita maxima*) Peel, Flesh, and Seed Powders. *Journal of Food Quality*, 2023(1), p.4362094. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/4362094>
5. Chochkov, R., Zlateva, D., Stefanova, D. & Ivanova, P. (2024). Effect of Pumpkin Seed Flour, Chestnut Flour, and Rosehip Flour on Wheat Bread Staling Rate. *Ukrainian Food Journal* (Vol. 13, No. 1, p.76).

6. Gebremariam, F.W., Melaku, E.T., Sundramurthy, V.P. & Woldemariam, H.W. (2024). Development of Functional Cookies form Wheat-Pumpkin Seed based Composite Flour. *Heliyon*, 10(2).
7. Iswahyudi, I., Arindani, S.M., & Muhdar, I.N. (2023). Pemanfaatan Tepung Biji Labu Kuning dalam Pembuatan Pie Susu sebagai Alternatif Camilan Sumber Zink. 15 : 48-53. <https://doi.org/10.17969/jtipi.v15i1.24595>
8. AOAC. Official Method of Analysis. 18th Edition. (2005). *Association of Official Analytical Chemistry International*. USA : AOAC Press. Maryland.
9. Vieira, M.R., Simões, S., Carrera-Sánchez, C., & Raymundo., A. (2023). Development of a Clean Label Mayonnaise using Fruit Flour. *Foods*, 12(11). <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/foods12112111>
10. Gordon, D.T. & Okuma, K. (2002). Determining of Total Dietary Fiber in Selected Foods Containing Resistant Maltodextrin by Enzymatic-Gravimetric Method and Liquid Chromatography: Collaborative Study. *Journal of AOAC International*. 85(2) : 435-444.
11. Nidhal, N.A., Evanuarini, H., & Thohari I. (2022). Potential of Pumpkin Flour as a Stabilizer in Reduced-Fat Mayonnaise. *JITEK*. 17, 19-26. [10.217 76 /ub.jitek.2022.017.01.3](https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.jitek.2022.017.01.3)
12. Evanuarini, H. & Susilo, A. (2024). Effect of Apple Peel Flour Addition on Physicochemical Characteristics and Fatty Acid Profile of Redyced Fat Mayonnaise. *MNJ*. 30, 193-202. <https://doi.org/10.31246/mjn-2023-0020>
13. Rihansjah, N. (2023). Pengaruh Substitusi Minyak Kedelai Terhadap Daya Terima Mayonnaise yang Dihasilkan. 46, 151-158. <https://doi.org/10.36457/gizindo.v46i2.740>
14. Evanuarini, H., Susilo, A. & Amertaningtyas, D. (2023). The use of Tomato Peel Nanopowder as a Natural Antioxidant in Low-Fat Mayonnaise. In *BIO Web of Conferences* (Vol. 81, p. 00004). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/20238100004>
15. Veronezi, C.M. & Jorge, N. (2015). Chemical Characterization of The Lipid Fractions of Pumpkin Seeds. *Nutrition & Food Science*. 45(1) : 164-173. <https://doi.org/10.1108/NFS-01-2014-0003>