

Original Research Article

Improving the Qualities of Low Fat Mayonnaise with Pumpkin Seed Flour (*Cucurbita maxima Duch*)

ABSTRACT

Aims: To obtain the finest percentage of Pumpkin Seed Flour (PSF) in terms of physicochemical properties of Low Fat Mayonnaise (LFM).
Study design: Using a Completely Randomized Design (CRD).
Place and Duration of Study: The research was done at the Animal Product Technology Laboratory, Egg Processing Division, Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Brawijaya, Malang. The research was done in July-August 2024.
Methodology: The used method is a laboratory experimental by using a CRD and the data were analyzed using ANOVA with 4 treatments and 6 replications. If different results were obtained among the treatments, then followed by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). The treatment of adding PSF to LFM was without addition (T0), 1% (T1), 3% (T2), and 5% (T3).
Results: The results of adding PSF retrieved an increase in the parameters of color a* (0.27-0.92), fiber content (0.61-1.15%), carbohydrates (40.70-43.99%), and protein (1.391-2.246%), while color L* (84.81-90.54), color b* (54.96-65.24), fat content (32.18-33.76%), and acidity (0.25-0.46%) decreased, showing a very significant effect (P<0.01).
Conclusion: It can be concluded that the best physicochemical properties of LFM are produced by adding 5% PSF.

Keywords: Low fat mayonnaise, pumpkin seed flour, physicochemical

1. INTRODUCTION

Mayonnaise is one of the emulsion products. The emulsion is a types of emulsions where oil is the dispersed phase and water is the dispersing phase (o/w). The high public demand for Low Fat Mayonnaise (LFM) has made mayonnaise to be produced -created in various forms variants. The LFM is one of the type variant of mayonnaise which is made healthier with lower fat content. This is to make mayonnaise healthier to consumed and reduce the risk of diseases associated with excessive fat consumption according to public demand. However, reducing the fat content when making LFM causes changes in the physicochemical characteristics that make the product less popular with consumers. Therefore, it is necessary to add a stabilizer during the process of making LFM.

Research has shown that shows that the addition of stabilizers from natural ingredients can help mayonnaise achieve the desired physicochemical characteristics. Some of them are mayonnaise with the natural stabilizers added in to mayonnaise include the form of katsuuri orange peel flour (Afifah et al., 2024), watermelon rind flour (Evanuarini et al., 2020), and banana peel flour (Evanuarini and Susilo, 2020) however, PSF is. One of the natural stabilizers that has not been added to mayonnaise is PSF. Although research existing

Commented [DA1]: The aim was not properly stated here. I think the researchers were trying to get the percentage of PUMPKIN SEED FLOUR THAT WILL be ideal for low fat Mayonanaise. The aim should b e properly stated.

Commented [DA2]: This can be reversed as "the method used is..."

Commented [DA3]: This is the design used which has been stated in the study design

Commented [DA4]: This can be written as...The results showed that adding PSF resulted in a significant (P<0.01). increase in parameters such as

Commented [DA5]: I added 'a'

Commented [DA6]: This is added because this is the first time of mentioning this in the body of the text. Although mentioned in the abstract but normally in the body it is supposed to be mentioned first before using as acronmns

Commented [DA7]: Delete this-

Commented [DA8]: delete

Commented [DA9]: This is added because, you cannot start a sentence with acroynm

Commented [DA10]: Delete. .although variant means he same as form, but in this context types/forms is more appropriate

Commented [DA11]: This is added because it has been done

Commented [DA12]: delete

~~Some shown~~ research ~~shown~~ that ~~has existed is adding~~ PSF ~~has been added~~ to biscuits products (Hussain et al., 2023), wheat bread (Chochkov et al., 2024), and cookies (Gebremariam et al., 2024). ~~Therebut none is available is no research~~ on PSF added to mayonnaise as a stabilizer.

~~Nutritional composition shows that Per~~ 100 g of PSF contains 10.71 g of carbohydrates, 559 Kcal of energy, 30.23 g of protein, 7.81 mg of zinc, and 49.04 g of fat (Iswahyudi et al., 2023). ~~This e nutritional~~ content of ~~these nutritional~~ can help LFM have a more stable emulsion, thus improving the physicochemical characteristics of LFM. Besides ~~this, that~~, the nutritional content of PSF can also increase the nutritional content of LFM ~~thus increasing so that the addition of PSF can increase~~ the nutritional value and ~~also~~ make LFM attractive to consume.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Material

The ingredients to make LFM are canola oil ~~also and~~ vinegar obtained from supermarkets, fresh egg yolks obtained from the Teaching Farm of the Faculty of Animal Science, Brawijaya University, PSF obtained from e-commerce, mustard, sugar, salt, and pepper obtained from supermarkets. The formulation of LFM ingredients ~~starts from were~~ 30% canola oil, 20% egg yolks, PSF (according to treatment), 40% water, 5% vinegar, 0.5% mustard, 3% sugar, 1% salt, and 0.5% pepper.

2.2 Methods

The used method is a laboratory experimental by using a CRD and the data were analyzed using ANOVA with 4 treatments and 6 replications. If different results were obtained among the treatments, then followed by DMRT.

2.3 Sample Preparation

The process of making LFM begins by mixing the ingredients ~~(except for canola oil, egg yolks, PSF, and water)~~ until homogeneous ~~mixture is achieved. except for canola oil, egg yolks, PSF, and water.~~ Ingredients that have not been mixed are ~~later~~ added little by little alternately until they run out. ~~Four types of emulsions are prepared and they are:~~ The treatment of adding PSF to LFM is as follows:

T0 : without adding PSF

T1 : adding 1% PSF of the total formulation

T2 : adding 3% PSF to the total formulation

T3 : adding 5% PSF to the total formulation

2.4 Physicochemical Analysis of the Sample

2.4.1 L*a*b* Color

L*a*b* color analysis was performed by using color reader (AOAC, 2005). Testing was performed by coating the petri cup uses clear plastic. The color reader is attached to the surface of the mayonnaise sample. The color reader is turned on. Measurements are taken by pressing the start button. The values of L*, a*, and b* are obtained, repeated 3 times for each treatment

Notes :

L* = 0-100 (the higher the score, the brighter it is)

a* = -127 to 128 (the lower the score the more close to green, the higher the score the more close to red)

b* = -127 to 128 (the lower the score the more close to blue, the higher the score the more close to yellow)

Commented [DA13]: experimental design and statistical analysis are mixed up here. I think the authors should separate this.
Again, this should come after the sample preparation.

2.4.2 **Fiber Content**

Fiber content testing was carried out using the gravimetric method (AOAC, 2005). The mayonnaise sample was weighed, dried and put into a 500 ml measuring flask, 50 ml of 1.25% H₂SO₄ solution was added and extraction ed was carried out using a soxhlet. ~~Dried and put into a 500 ml measuring cup.~~ Add 50 ml of 1.25% H₂SO₄ solution. Simmered for 30 minutes using a cooler. Add 50 ml of 3.25% NaOH and continue to boil for 40 minutes. Filtered with a Buchner funnel containing dried and known-weighted ashless filter paper. Washed the sediment on the filter paper with 96% ethanol, hot water, and hot 1.25% H₂SO₄. Put into a cup of known weight and dried at 105°C. Cooled and weighed until constant weight. Calculated using the following formula:

$$(\%) \text{ Fiber Content} = \frac{W_{\text{fiber}} (\text{g})}{W_{\text{sample}} (\text{g})} \times 100\%$$

2.4.3 **Carbohydrate Content**

Testing of carbohydrate levels using the Luff Schoorl method (AOAC, 2005). The test was carried out using a Luff Schoorl solution containing (CuSO₄), potassium sodium tartrate, and sodium carbonate. Furthermore, titration was carried out using sodium thiosulfate solution (Na₂S₂O₃) until the solution became clear. After that, it was calculated using the following formula:

$$(\%) \text{ Carbohydrate Content} = \frac{(V_{\text{blank}} - V_{\text{sample}}) \times \text{Thiosulfate Factor} \times 1000}{W_{\text{sample}} (\text{g})}$$

Notes :

V blank = volume Na₂S₂O₃ which is used for blank titration
V sampel = volume Na₂S₂O₃ which is used for sample titration
Thiosulfat Factor = calculated based on concentration and Luff Schoorl solution

Commented [DA14]: I think 'where'

2.4.4 **Protein Content**

Kjeldahl method was performed to analyzed the protein content (AOAC, 2005). The testing process was carried out through 3 stages, namely destruction, distillation, and titration. After that, it was counted using the next formula:

$$(\%) \text{ N} = \frac{(a - b) (N_{\text{NaOH}}) (Ba_{\text{N}})}{w_{\text{sample}} \times 1000} \times 100\%$$

$$\text{Total protein} = \% \text{ N} \times \text{fk N} (6.25)$$

Notes :

A = amount of sample titrations
B = amount of blank titrations
N = normality of NaOH
Ba N = atomic weight of hydrogen (14.008)
% N = nitrogen content

Commented [DA15]: See comment above

2.4.5 **Fat Content**

Fat content testing was carried out using the Babcock method (AOAC, 2005). The test conducted by inserting a 5 ml LFM sample into a centrifuge tube and then inserted into a spinner. Rotated for 10 minutes at 200 rpm, after which the sample was inserted into a measuring cup. Hot distilled water with a temperature of 60°C was added to scale 25 and left for 15 minutes. The fat volume is measured using a vernier caliper and calculated using the following formula:

$$(\%) \text{ Fat Content} = \frac{V_{\text{scale}}}{V_{\text{sample}}} \times 100\%$$

2.4.6 Acidity

Acidity testing is carried out using the acid-base titration method (AOAC, 2005). The test begins by put the titrant into the burette. Put the analyte into the Erlenmeyer flask. Add a few drops of acid-base indicator (methyl orange) to the analyte. The titrant is dripped little by little into the analyte. Stop titration when the color of the analyte changes. Calculated using the following formula:

$$M_a \times V_a \times a = M_b \times V_b \times b$$

Information :

M_a = molarity of acid solution (M)
 V_a = volume of acid solution (ml)
 a = valence of acid solution
 M_b = molarity of base solution (M)
 V_b = volume of base solution (ml)
 b = valence of base solution

Commented [DA16]: See comment above

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 L*a*b* Color

L*a*b* color is a test carried out to detect color changes that occur in the product due to the addition of formulation. The results of the average L*a*b* color values of LFM by the addition of PSF can be found in Table 1. ~~Based on Table 1, the result as displayed showed that the higher larger~~ the PSF percentage added, the lowest the L* and b* color values and the higher the a* color value of LFM. This is because the added PSF contains chlorophyll which produces a dominant green and carotenoid in the form of lutein which contribute to yellow and green colors which causes the brightness level ~~of the formulated mayonnaise~~ to decrease. ~~The level of a* value shows a positive value which means it is slightly towards red, and the level of b* value which also shows a positive value which means the product tends to have a yellow color. The L*a*b* color value produced in this study is higher than previous studies where mayonnaise with the use of different fruit flours produced L* color values in the range of 80.38-85.80, a* color values within the range (-2.37) -0.59, and b* color values within the range of 9.43-19.45 (Vieira et al., 2023).~~

Commented [DA17]: This sentence is too long. Find a way to break it into smaller sentences.

3.2 Fiber Content

Fiber content is a test conducted to determine the amount of total dietary fiber in a ~~product~~ ~~(source)~~. The results of the ~~average~~ fiber content of LFM by the addition of PSF ~~is shown on can be found in~~ Table 1. ~~Based on Table 1, showed that~~ the larger the PSF percentage added, the higher the fiber content of LFM. This can occur due to the ~~distribution-contribution~~ of fibers from PSF into LFM, causing an increase in the overall fiber content of LFM. In addition, fibers such as pectin and polysaccharides interact with water and form gels that can increase fiber content in LFM. This value is comparable to previous studies which stated that the fiber content in mayonnaise with pumpkin flour addition in the range of 0.00-0.90% (Nidhal et al., 2022).

Commented [DA18]: The source of the statement can be provided to further improve the technicality of the study

3.3 Carbohydrate Content

Carbohydrates are nutrients that can be the main source of energy for the human body. The results of the average carbohydrate content of LFM by the addition of PSF can be found in Table 1. ~~Based on Table 1~~ ~~The Table showed that~~, the larger the PSF percentage added, the

higher the carbohydrate content of LFM. This is because per 100 g of PSF there are 10.71 g of carbohydrate nutrients so that the more PSF is added, the higher the carbohydrate content will be (Iswahyudi et al., 2023). The carbohydrate content is much higher when compared to previous studies. Reduced fat mayonnaise with apple peel flour addition ~~of~~ as a stabilizer produces mayonnaise with a carbohydrate content of 2.63-5.81% (Evanuarini and Susilo, 2024).

3.4 Protein Content

Protein content is a chemical analysis carried out to determine the protein content of a product. The results of the average protein content of LFM by the addition of PSF ~~can be found in is displayed on~~ Table 1. ~~Based on Table 1, From the table, it can be deduced that~~ the larger the PSF percentage added, the higher the protein content of LFM. This is because PSF contains 30.23 g of protein per 100 g of PSF ~~and its incorporation into the mayonnaise will also indrease the protein content of the mayonnaise~~. The ~~is~~ value ~~obtained in this study~~ is smaller when compared ~~to with~~ the value of previous studies. Mayonnaise with the addition of soybean oil produces a protein content value of 4.55% (Rihansjah, 2023).

3.5 Fat Content

Fat content is an important analysis for mayonnaise because it is made from oil. The average result of LFM fat content with PSF addition ~~is displayed on can be found in~~ Table 1, ~~which showed that~~. ~~Based on Table 1,~~ the larger the PSF percentage added, the lower ~~et~~ the fat content of LFM. This can happen because the nutritional composition ~~content~~ of PSF is composed of non-fat solid components, thereby reducing the proportion of fat in the product and becoming lower when more PSF is added. Reduced fat mayonnaise with watermelon rind flour addition as a stabilizer produces a fat content value ranging from 51.90-71.94% with the highest value being the control mayonnaise (Evanuarini et al., 2020). Another study stated that LFM with tomato peel nanopowder addition as a natural antioxidant produces a fat content value ranging from 34.05-70.68% with the highest value being the control mayonnaise (Evanuarini et al., 2023).

3.6 Acidity

Acidity ~~of in the a~~ product can extend the shelf life because it can cause microbial ~~damage to microbes~~ that contaminates the product. The results of the average acidity value of LFM by the addition of PSF ~~as displayed on can be found in~~ Table 1 ~~showed that~~. ~~Based on Table 1,~~ the ~~higher larger~~ the PSF percentage added, the lower ~~et~~ the acidity value of LFM. This is because PSF has a pH value that tends to be close to neutral which can have an effect on reducing the concentration of acid in a product (source). The acidity value ~~of LFM obtained in this study~~ is smaller when compared to previous studies. Reduced fat mayonnaise with watermelon rind flour addition as a stabilizer produces an acidity value ranging from 0.63-0.94% (Evanuarini et al., 2020). Another study stated that mayonnaise with apple peel flour addition as a stabilizer produces an acidity value ranging from 0.66-0.90% (Evanuarini and Susilo, 2024).

Table 1. Physicochemical Qualities ~~y~~ of LFM with PSF Addition

Variable	Treatments			
	T0 ± SD	T1 ± SD	T2 ± SD	T3 ± SD
Lightness (L*)	90.54 ± 0.56 ^c	88.36 ± 0.64 ^b	87.42 ± 0.53 ^b	84.81 ± 0.36 ^a
Redness (a*)	0.27 ± 0.11 ^a	0.37 ± 0.08 ^a	0.67 ± 0.12 ^b	0.92 ± 0.12 ^c
Yelowness (b*)	65.24 ± 0.63 ^d	60.31 ± 0.45 ^c	59.06 ± 0.65 ^b	54.96 ± 0.72 ^a
Fiber Content (%)	0.61 ± 0.05 ^a	0.85 ± 0.03 ^b	1.07 ± 0.02 ^c	1.15 ± 0.02 ^d
Carbohydrate (%)	40.70 ± 0.45 ^a	41.80 ± 0.19 ^b	42.22 ± 0.29 ^a	43.99 ± 0.28 ^a
Protein Content (%)	1.39 ± 0.07 ^a	1.77 ± 0.09 ^b	1.97 ± 0.05 ^c	2.24 ± 0.07 ^d

Commented [DA19]: I think Composition is appropriate here

Commented [DA20]: It's the acidity of the product not that acid is in the product..reason for the change of preposition

Commented [DA21]: It is not the microbial damage that causes contamination, thus the rephrase of statement

Commented [DA22]: This is an affirmative statement which need to be referenced. The reason for the source

Commented [DA23]: It is not a single quality

Commented [DA24]: I think inclusion will be appropriate use here

The title of the table is not explicit enough..and one of the rule of title is that the title of the table should explain what the table is, again it is always good to avoid acronyms on the title of a table..

I suggest the title of the Table should read: Physicochemical qualities of Low Fat Mayonnaise with different inclusion levels of pumpkin seed flour

Commented [DA25]: There should be a foot note to state what T0-T3 means

Fat Content (%)	33.76 ± 0.05 ^d	32.82 ± 0.11 ^c	32.48 ± 0.06 ^b	32.18 ± 0.03 ^a
Acidity (%)	0.46 ± 0.05 ^a	0.39 ± 0.02 ^a	0.31 ± 0.01 ^b	0.25 ± 0.02 ^c

Note : a, b, c, d Different superscripts in the same column indicate a highly significant effect (P<0.01)

Commented [DA26]: Assessing the table, the comparison of the LFM properties is across the row and not the column

4. CONCLUSION

In this research, it was concluded that the best L*a*b* color value, fiber content, carbohydrate contents, protein content, and fat contents, and acidity were found in LFM with 5% the addition of PSF addition/inclusion with an addition of 5%.

Commented [DA27]: This is repetition

Commented [DA28]: See comment above

Commented [DA29]: See comment above

REFERENCES

1. Afifah, N., Kartikasari, L.R. & Nuhriawangsa, A.M.P. (2024). The Antioxidant Activity and Sensory Quality of Mayonnaise Supplemented with Calamansi Peel Flour (*Citrofortunella microcarpa*). In *BIO Web of Conferences* (Vol. 123, p. 01026). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/202412301026>
2. Evanuarini, H., Amertaningtyas, D., Utama, D.T. & Safitri, A.R. (2020). The Use of Watermelon Rind Flour as Stabilizer for Reduced Fat Mayonnaise. *JITEK*. 15, 172-182. <http://dx.doi.org/10.21776/ub.jitek.2020.015.03.5>
3. Evanuarini, H. and Susilo, A. (2020). The quality of low fat mayonnaise using banana peel flour as stabilizer. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 478, No. 1, p. 012091). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/478/1/012091>
4. Hussain, A., Kausar, T., Aslam, J., Quddoos, M.Y., Ali, A., Kauser, S., et al. (2023). Physical and Rheological Studies of Biscuits Developed with Different Replacement Levels of Pumpkin (*Cucurbita maxima*) Peel, Flesh, and Seed Powders. *Journal of Food Quality*, 2023(1), p.4362094. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/4362094>
5. Chochkov, R., Zlateva, D., Stefanova, D. & Ivanova, P. (2024). Effect of Pumpkin Seed Flour, Chestnut Flour, and Rosehip Flour on Wheat Bread Staling Rate. *Ukrainian Food Journal* (Vol. 13, No. 1, p.76).
6. Gebremariam, F.W., Melaku, E.T., Sundramurthy, V.P. & Woldemariam, H.W. (2024). Development of Functional Cookies form Wheat-Pumpkin Seed based Composite Flour. *Heliyon*, 10(2).
7. Iswahyudi, I., Arindani, S.M., & Muhdar, I.N. (2023). Pemanfaatan Tepung Biji Labu Kuning dalam Pembuatan Pie Susu sebagai Alternatif Camilan Sumber Zink. 15 : 48-53. <https://doi.org/10.17969/jtjpi.v15i1.24595>
8. AOAC. Official Method of Analysis. 18th Edition. (2005). *Association of Official Analytical Chemistry International*. USA : AOAC Press. Maryland.
9. Vieira, M.R., Simões, S., Carrera-Sánchez, C., & Raymundo., A. (2023). Development of a Clean Label Mayonnaise using Fruit Flour. *Foods*, 12(11). <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/foods12112111>
10. Nidhal, N.A., Evanuarini, H., & Thohari I. (2022). Potential of Pumpkin Flour as a Stabilizer in Reduced-Fat Mayonnaise. *JITEK*. 17, 19-26. [10.21776/ub.jitek.2022.017.01.3](http://dx.doi.org/10.21776/ub.jitek.2022.017.01.3)
11. Evanuarini, H. & Susilo, A. (2024). Effect of Apple Peel Flour Addition on Physicochemical Characteristics and Fatty Acid Profile of Redyced Fat Mayonnaise. *MNJ*. 30, 193-202. <https://doi.org/10.31246/mjn-2023-0020>

12. Rihansjah, N. (2023). Pengaruh Substitusi Minyak Kedelai Terhadap Daya Terima Mayonnaise yang Dihasilkan. 46, 151-158. <https://doi.org/10.36457/gizindo.v46i2.740>
13. Evanuarini, H., Susilo, A. & Amertaningtyas, D. (2023). The use of Tomato Peel Nanopowder as a Natural Antioxidant in Low-Fat Mayonnaise. In *B/O Web of Conferences* (Vol. 81, p. 00004). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/20238100004>

UNDER PEER REVIEW