

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_129352
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF TREATMENTS ON AFRICAN LOCUST BEAN SEEDS ON THE QUALITY OF YELLOW MAIZE BASED OGI.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript studied the development of a product, yellow maize-based ogi, that was incorporated with locus bean seed and treated by various processing methods. The developed product has the potential to improve the nutritional properties of the ogi, making the results beneficial to those in the field. In general, the manuscript was simple to follow, and the discussion has some scientific basis.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is a little bit unclear. Suggested title: "Quality of yellow maize-based ogi incorporated with African locust bean seeds subjected to various processing methods"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs some English editing.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The introduction is not clear enough. There is not a satisfactory flow from one paragraph to the other. Thus, it is difficult to grasp what the main purpose of this research is. The intention to incorporate or fortify the maize ogi with locust bean should have been clear.- Need English editing; there is an incomplete sentence in the introduction (second last paragraph).- Blend formulation: What is the basis for the difference in the ratio between maize and locust bean seed made by various processes?- There is no methodology for how Ogi was prepared or cooked. Not everyone is familiar with the dish.- Under the functional properties' discussion, please explain how low bulk density might be advantageous.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marina Abdul Manaf
Department, University & Country	Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia