

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_128700
Title of the Manuscript:	Design of high precision dynamic star emulator by two-beam combination method
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper studies the dynamic star emulator projection optical system based on DMD. The specific work is as follows: (1) A new method for calculating the initial structure of the lens is proposed. (2) The dynamic star emulator commonly used in the market is analyzed. (3) Projection optical system and its important parameters are analyzed. (4) Tolerance analysis of the designed projection optical system .	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES, it is comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	YES, it is correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, need to add latest Reference papers	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments	NIL	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ravipati Srikanth
Department, University & Country	Vignan's Nirula Institute of Technology & Science for Women, India