

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_128700</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Design of high precision dynamic star emulator by two-beam combination method</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Scientific and Engineering</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The proposed work is about the star sensor used in spacecraft for Stability, detection accuracy and other indicators to determine the pose of the entire spacecraft.</li><li>This paper studies the dynamic star emulator projection optical system based on DMD</li><li>The specific work which follows the method for calculating the initial structure of the lens and it is verified with the dynamic star emulator.</li></ul>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Yes, well suited</li></ul>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The author should improvise the abstract and mentioned the achieved accuracy and also with the other parameters.</li></ul>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Yes, the author uses the proper simulated software and simulated results are perfectly done.</li></ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Yes , all the references are sufficient.</li></ul>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Yes,</li></ul>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	

#### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	G. Nagalalli
Department, University & Country	Mahendra Engineering College, India