

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_128056
Title of the Manuscript:	Research progress on the Schrödinger equation that can describe the Earth's revolution and its applications
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In this article, it is tried to show that, despite the general idea, the laws related to wave mechanics can be used in classical mechanics. The basic achievement of the article is the calculation of the Earth mass based on the quantization of angular momentum.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	After doing some minor corrections, yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	1- Reference 3 on page 9 should be corrected as: infinite" 2- Page 4, Section 2, Lines 4 and 5, apparently, the first and third sentences are the same. 3- In section 2, it should be clarified what is the meaning of "r" and "R". 4- The first sentence of the last paragraph of page 5 is repeated twice 5- The first sentence of the paragraph at the end of page 5 is meaningless; because it is mentioned before that we are in one dimension and curvature in one dimension does not make sense. On the other hand, in three dimensions this sentence must be clarified correctly and it is simply not possible to make three dimensional generalizations from one dimensional subjects. 6- I don't understand the meaning of the last sentence on page 6: "Using the three dimensional...." 7- On top of page 7, I did not understand the difference between formulas 4 and 8.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hamideh Rahmati
Department, University & Country	Qom university of Technology, Iran