

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_129043
Title of the Manuscript:	Emerging Threat from Arsenic in Anthropocene Epoch, West Bengal, India.
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Relatively favorable	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Relatively favorable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	You need to statement the most results in abstract section.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Relatively favorable	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	You need to same format of references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Relatively favorable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	1-Add recommendations and future directions for further study in the conclusion section. 2-Add limitations this study in the conclusion section. 3-You need to same format of references. 4-You need to discussion section stronger. 5-The manuscript should be checked once for any grammatical as well as typological errors like somewhere spaces and comma 6- You need to axis title and unit axis for figure 9. 7-Which right mg/L or mg/l? 8-You need to statement the most results in abstract section. 9-This caption is not correct: Arsenic-affected Blocks/ habitation in WB, India (2019-2022) (source: JJM-IMIS) 10-Table 1 need to edit. 11- You need to a table which compare results of this study and other similar studies. 12- You need to endnote for references. 13- The "Statistical analysis" section should be added to the manuscript and use Statistical test.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdolmajid Fadaei
Department, University & Country	Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Iran