

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_128776
Title of the Manuscript:	Histopathological Study Of Subacute Administration Of Saccharum Officinarum Leaf Extract On Some Organs Of Rat
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The leaf extract of <i>Saccharum officinarum</i> exerts mild to moderate effect on the histologies of brain, heart, spleen and ovary of rats, but had no effect on the testis.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I will recommend if the abstract would be structured.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, it is.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is.	
Optional/General comments	Please accept the article as it is.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dhananjay Sharma
Department, University & Country	Amity University Haryana, India