

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_128902
Title of the Manuscript:	Lived experiences of urban unmarried adults in online romantic relationships: Their meaning making of intimacy, passion and commitment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript contributes significantly to the scientific community by providing novel insights into the complexities of online romantic relationships. Its findings have important implications for understanding intimacy, passion, and commitment in digital contexts. The study's results inform the development of evidence-based interventions and strategies for promoting healthy online relationships. Ultimately, this research advances the understanding of human connection in the digital age.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The Author should note that, the major words needs to be capitalized, while minor words like prepositions and conjunction should be in lowercase. This can make the title more readable. Thus, recast the title as "Lived Experiences of Urban Unmarried Adults in Online Romantic Relationships: Their Meaning-Making of Intimacy, Passion and Commitment"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract should be logically presented as follows, state the recommended title; number of objectives and questions that guided the study; population; sample and sampling technique; instrumentation; choice of statistical tools, findings conclusion and recommendation.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Upon rigorous review, it is evident that the manuscript demonstrates methodological and theoretical soundness and therefore deemed scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The author's writing style facilitates effortless comprehension, making the content suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abubakar Sabo
Department, University & Country	Federal University Dutsin-Ma, Nigeria