

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_129128
Title of the Manuscript:	Semi-traditional production of fry of a fish species with a high market value, <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> (Burchell, 1822), in a fish farming cooperative, COPAPE- Brazzaville (Congo)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper is significant for the scientific community as it compares two fish hatchery techniques: artificial and semi-natural. While articles on this topic are rarely published in journals, this study is valuable because it provides readers with a comparative analysis, enabling them to evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of both methods. Although artificial hatchery techniques have demonstrated effectiveness in certain fish farming contexts, semi-natural hatchery techniques remain highly relevant, particularly for fish farmers in developing countries, due to their low costs. In contrast, artificial hatchery techniques are more complex and involve significantly higher expenses.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is less communicative, I suggest the following title: Production of high-economic-value fish seeds, <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> (Burchell, 1822), using semi-natural methods in aquaculture cooperatives of COPAPE, Brazzaville, Congo	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of this article is quite comprehensive, but its weaknesses lie in the poor use of English grammar and the inefficient and ineffective structure of the writing. Improve the grammar and structure to make it clear and easy to read.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Scientifically, the writing of this manuscript is correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	All the references are outdated. Out of 17 references, only one is from 2021. The rest are publications from 1974 to 2015. THEY MUST BE REPLACED WITH THE LATEST REFERENCES FROM REPUTABLE JOURNALS.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	In general, the sentence structure and the quality of English in the article still do not meet the standards of scientific communication. This manuscript will be suitable for publication if the sentence structure is made systematic, the word usage is efficient (without excessive repetition), and the English is completely revised	
Optional/General comments	I recommend that this article be processed with numerous improvements.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ridar Hendri
Department, University & Country	University of Riau, Indonesia