

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_128892
Title of the Manuscript:	From Farm to Market: Unravelling the Volatility of Tomato Prices in India
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important to the scientific community because it shades light on challenges facing the handling of tomato in the country and thus a viable in depth suggestion can be offered to mitigate the challenges, this paper can also serves as a stepping stone in conducting and empirical study on cultivars/varieties of tomato that can be grown and can also provide a valid suggestions to look at the marketing system and how and what can be improved.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, its suitable because this is a review paper	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract covers the valid points raised in the main extended abstract	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, because citations made from manuscript were empirically based.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references were recent to some extent, however, there is some minor corrections on the format and formation of the references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the English is scholarly	
Optional/General comments	The paper is satisfactory	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bashir Barau
Department, University & Country	Federal College of Agricultural Produce Technology, Nigeria