

## **Effect of rice cultivation practices and nitrogen management strategies on growth and yield attributes in rice under rice-cowpea cropping system**

### **Abstract**

A field experiment was conducted during kharif 2022-23 and 2023-24 at College of Agriculture V.C, Farm, Madya, India. The experiment consisted of three rice cultivation practices as vertical factors and five nitrogen management strategies as horizontal were laid out in strip plot design with three replications. Results revealed that among rice cultivation practices transplanted rice led to higher number of green leaves hill<sup>-1</sup> (76.05), leaf area index (4.25), SPAD (Soil Plant Analysis Development) values (40.68), panicle length (19.96 cm), lower no. of chaffy grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (19.29) and test weight (23.78g). However, among nitrogen management strategies, application of 100% RDN + foliar spray of 0.4% nano urea at tillering and PI stage were found significantly higher number of green leaves hill<sup>-1</sup> (87.34), leaf area index (4.80), SPAD values (44.70), panicle length (20.73 cm), lower no. of chaffy grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (15.28) and test weight (24.35 g). Among interactions, transplanted rice with application of 100% RDN + foliar spray of 0.4% nano urea at tillering and PI stage were found significantly superior for number of green leaves hill<sup>-1</sup> (91.56), leaf area index (5.04), SPAD values (47.61), panicle length (21.82 cm) and lower no. of chaffy grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (15.06). Transplanted rice combined with 100% RDN and foliar application of 0.4% nano urea at tillering and PI stages demonstrated superior growth and yield attributes, indicating its effectiveness in enhancing productivity.

**Keywords:** Direct seeded rice (DSR); Wet-DSR; Nitrogen management; transplanted rice; nano urea: growth attributes

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The world's population is predicted to surpass 9.7 billion by 2050, necessitating a 60 per cent increase in food production (Anon., 2019). The analysis revealed that billions of people rely on a small number of crops for the majority of their food and non-food needs, despite an increase in diversity of crops over the past 60 years. The most contributing food crops are maize, rice, and wheat, which together account for 19.5%, 16.5% and 15.0%, respectively of the world's total caloric consumption (Pariona, 2019). With 197 g per day and 71.9 kg per year, rice has the highest net availability per person of all the cereals in 2020-21. (Anon., 2021). Rice is the most essential crop for millions of farmers who grow it on 164.19 million hectares worldwide, as well as for the countless landless people who work on these

fields for a living. India is not just the world's second-biggest producer of rice, accounting for over 20% of global production; it is also one of the major users, with over half of the people relying on rice for survival. The United Nations General Assembly proclaimed 2004 as the International Year of Rice during its 57<sup>th</sup> session, highlighting the significance of raising awareness of the role of rice in decreasing poverty and undernourishment and noting that one in three people on the earth are fed by this grain. Rice provides about 700 calories/day/person for about 3.0 billion people living mostly in developing countries (Sangeetha and Baskar, 2015).

The success of rice production in Asia will determine the future stability of the world's food supply. In addition to using between 24 and 30% of the world's freshwater, rice consumes between 34 and 43% of the world's irrigation water (Surendran *et al.*, 2021). Puddling alone uses 30% of the crop's water requirement for wetland rice production (Chauhan and Opena, 2012). According to predictions, Asia's 17 to 22 million hectares of irrigated rice land would experience water scarcity by 2025 (Tuong and Bouman, 2002), prompting widespread use of water-saving techniques. While the total employment in agriculture dropped in India from 63.32% in 1991 to 42.6% in 2019 as a result of rapid economic growth in non-agricultural sectors and rising labour wages, manual rice transplanting requires 25 to 50 man-days ha<sup>-1</sup> (Singh and Sharma, 2012; Zhang *et al.*, 2011). Nearly 12% of anthropogenic methane and 55% of agriculturally produced greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions worldwide are caused by wetland rice farming (Anon., 2013). Transplanting machines and its maintenance are expensive, so poor farmers cannot afford them. Non-availability of herbicides, requirement of compulsory land leveling and more quantity of seeds (8 to 10 kg acre<sup>-1</sup>) makes direct seeded rice disadvantageous over other methods. While, aerobic rice is not appropriate for higher rainfall areas where water can't be controlled and also requires relatively extra weed management.

Crop establishment procedures can be changed to provide solutions to all of the aforementioned issues (Alam *et al.*, 2016; Chakraborty *et al.*, 2017; Biradar *et al.*, 2020). Sustainable technologies are highly essential to enhance rice yield, which may include cost minimization by saving resources and adoption of low cost or non-monetary inputs. Hence, crop husbandry practices such as establishment methods and judicious application of fertilizer are of prime importance in rice production (Haque *et al.*, 2016; Bell *et al.*, 2017; Patidar *et al.*, 2023). Usually, rice is cultivated as a traditional transplanting, wet and dry-

direct seeded crop all over the world. Dry direct-seeded rice is a crop establishment method wherein seeds are sown directly into the soil aside from supporting farmers to address the higher cost of rice production and reduced water requirement for no rice seedling is being transplanted into standing water. It is usually followed in rain fed uplands. In wet-seeding, the pre-germinated seeds are broadcasting into recently water removed, well-puddled seedbed or into pre-stagnation of water in the fields. It is very commonly followed in irrigated areas (Kumar and Ladha, 2011; Rao *et al.*, 2007; Sannagoudar *et al.*, 2023).

Among the advancement in science, nanotechnology is emerging out as the greatest imperative tools in recent agriculture and predictable to become a driving economic force in the near future (Lal, 2008; Roco, 2011; Sannagoudar *et al.*, 2020). Nanotechnology is the art and science of operating matter at nano scale ( $1 \times 10^{-9}$  m) to create new and exclusive materials and products. Nanotechnology has the ability to release nutrients according to crop demand, the ability to manage the release of chemical fertilizers and the ability to govern plant growth and increase yield targets that promotes productivity of the crop while ensuring environmental safety. Nano fertilizers are appropriate alternatives to conventional fertilizers for gradual and controlled supply of nutrients in the soil (Kottegoda *et al.*, 2011; Shang *et al.*, 2019; Rajanna *et al.*, 2024). Nano particles possess special properties like smaller size, higher specific surface area, surface energy and solubility. Owing to the unique properties, nano particles have capability to enhance the uptake of water and nutrients. These unique properties can be exploited beneficially for improving nutrient use efficiency (Naderi and Danesh-Sharaki, 2013; Rajanna *et al.*, 2023).

Nano urea fertilizers are alternative to conventional fertilizers and can be used as a foliar spray to supplement soil nitrogen. This nano urea usage can reduce the requirement of nitrogen and thereby conventional urea in rice production. Industries are claiming efficacy of 500ml of nano urea is equivalent to one bag of urea (45kg). This is an ecofriendly, can minimize soil & water quality deterioration also helps in addressing the concerns of global warming and may be in future it is cheaper than conventional urea, So use of nano urea can reduce input cost to farmers, leads to increase income. Further it improves crop productivity, soil health and nutritional quality of produce as due to direct beneficial effect. This investigation aims to assess the effects of various rice cultivation practices and nitrogen management strategies on growth and yield attributes of rice. The study seeks to contribute valuable insights into improving rice productivity and ensuring food security in India for sustainable rice ecosystem.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at college of Agriculture V.C, Farm, Madya, India, during the *kharif* season of 2022-23 and 2023-24 to assess “Effect of rice cultivation practices and nitrogen management strategies on growth and yield attributes in rice under rice-cowpea cropping system”. The soil of the experimental plot was sandy clay loam in texture, medium in fertility status of organic carbon (0.51%) and nitrogen (308.41 kg available N ha<sup>-1</sup>), phosphorous (33.54 kg available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and potassium (204.95 kg available K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) and neutral to slightly alkaline condition in nature (pH = 7.89). The experiment consisted of three rice cultivation practices in vertical factors i.e., M<sub>1</sub> (Transplanted rice) ,M<sub>2</sub> (Wet-Direct seeded rice (Drum seeding)) and M<sub>3</sub> (Dry-Direct seeded rice (Seed drill)) and five nitrogen management strategies in horizontal factors i.e., N<sub>1</sub>: Control (Without nitrogen), N<sub>2</sub>: 75% RDN, N<sub>3</sub>: 100% RDN, N<sub>4</sub>:75% RDN + foliar spray of 0.4% nano urea at tillering and PI stage , N<sub>5</sub>: 100% RDN + foliar spray of 0.4% nano urea at tillering and PI stage , laid out in strip plot design with three replications with plot size was 4.8 m × 4.8 m (23.04 m<sup>2</sup>). The rice variety ‘MTU 1001’ was taken in the present experiment. A uniform recommended dose of 50 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 50 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied for rice crop through single super phosphate (SSP) and murate of potash (MOP), respectively. The nitrogen applied as per the treatments mentioned in the study through urea and nano urea. Half dose of nitrogen along with the full dose of phosphorus and potash was applied as basal at the time of sowing, rest half dose of N was top dressed in two equal splits, with one part added at the tillering stage and the other at the panicle initiation stage of rice. The experimental data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) to evaluate various parameters. Treatment effects were assessed with the ‘F’ test (variance ratio), and critical differences (C.D.) at the 5% significance level were calculated to compare treatment means.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Effect on Growth Parameters of rice

Growth attributes *viz.*, number of green leaves hill<sup>-1</sup>, leaf area index and SPAD values of rice recorded at 90 days after sowing (DAS) differed significantly due to rice cultivation practices and nitrogen management strategies (Table 1).

Among the rice cultivation practices, transplanted rice (M<sub>1</sub>) recorded significantly higher number of green leaves hill<sup>-1</sup>, leaf area index and SPAD values at 90 DAS (76.05, 4.25

and 40.68, respectively) was compared to wet-DSR ( $M_2$ ) (73.22, 4.04 and 38.65, respectively) and dry-DSR ( $M_3$ ) of rice cultivation (69.47, 3.87 and 37.28, respectively). It is due to the reason that plant to plant and row distance were maintained leading to less competition. Among the plants, deep penetration of roots causing higher nutrient uptake and plant growth resulted in higher plant height, photosynthetic active leaves, with pronounced effect on leaf area index and SPAD values (Sannagoudar and Kalyana Murthy, 2018). Similar results were also reported by Mahajan *et al.* (2004), Stoop and Kassam (2005), Hardev *et al.* (2014) and Kumhar *et al.* (2016).

Among nitrogen management strategies, application of 100% RDN + foliar spray of 0.4% nano urea at tillering and PI stage ( $N_5$ ) resulted significantly higher number of green leaves hill<sup>-1</sup>, leaf area index and SPAD values of rice was recorded at 90 DAS (87.34, 4.80 and 44.70, respectively) over other treatments. Lower number of green leaves hill<sup>-1</sup>, leaf area index and SPAD values was recorded in control (without-N) ( $N_1$ ) (53.07, 3.01 and 30.09, respectively). This might be due to increased seedling vigor by application of nano urea with conventional nitrogen fertilizers accelerated the activity of many enzymes and chlorophyll content there by increased auxin metabolism in the plant system leadstogreatercelldivision and cell elongation, which resulted in production of active green leaves for effective photosynthesis (Kumar *et al.*, 2015 and Nithya *et al.*, 2018). Higher LAI to minimizing particle size increased fertilizer's specific surface area and particle count per unit area, which led to significant increase in leaf area index Benzon *et al.* (2015).

Among the interactions, transplanted rice with application of 100% RDN + foliar spray of 0.4% nano urea at tillering and PI stage ( $M_1N_5$ ) recorded significantly superior number of green leaves hill<sup>-1</sup>, leaf area index and SPAD values of rice was recorded 90 DAS (91.56, 5.04 and 47.61, respectively) on par with wet-DSR with application of 100% RDN + foliar spray of 0.4% nano urea at tillering and PI stage ( $M_2N_5$ ) for number of green leaves hill<sup>-1</sup>(87.73) and found superior over other treatments. This might have happened due to fact that foliar application of nano urea enhanced absorption and transport of nitrogen which led to significant improvement in number of leaves hill<sup>-1</sup> in transplanted rice. Algym *et al.* (2020) reported adequate supply of nitrogen through nano urea boosted the production of chlorophyll, which augment the rate of photosynthesis and caused the plant to expand which, resulted in greater production of leaves. The development of leaves along with functional roots is a prerequisite for rice seeds to shift from heterotrophic to autotrophic

status. The establishment of rice seedlings and their subsequent growth depends on above-ground morphological characteristics that define seedling vigour along with growth of new roots (Hoshikawa and Ishi, 1974; Biradar et al., 2023; Halli et al., 2021) and the amount of irreparable damage incurred by the roots during transplanting (Ros *et al.*, 2003; Sachin et al., 2023). These above and below-ground characteristics of rice plants, before and after transplanting, vary with seedling age (Himeda, 1994; Sannagoudar et al., 2021a), growing environment (Kordon, 1974) and seeding rate (Sasaki, 2004). Seedling vigour is an important contributor to be associated with plant viability, height, thickness of stems and uniformity (Matsuo and Hoshikawa, 1993).

### **3.2 Effect on yield attributes of rice**

The data pertaining to yield attributes *viz.*, panicle length, number of chaffy grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> and test weight as influenced by rice cultivation practices and nitrogen management strategies is depicted in Table 2. Transplanted rice resulted in significantly superior panicle length (19.96 cm), test weight (23.78g) and lower number of chaffy grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (19.29) compared to wet-DSR and dry-DSR. The results are in line with Kumhar *et al.* (2016). Among the different nitrogen management strategies, application of 100% RDN + foliar spray of 0.4% nano urea at tillering and PI stage (N<sub>5</sub>) resulted in significantly higher panicle length (20.73 cm), test weight (24.35g) and lower number of chaffy seeds panicle<sup>-1</sup> (15.28) compared to other treatments. While, lower panicle length (17.17 cm), test weight (21.69g) and higher number of chaffy grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (31.64) was observed in control (without-N) (N<sub>1</sub>). The availability of nitrogen in transplanted rice by synthesis of amino acid and chlorophyll and better carbohydrates transformation resulted in increased panicle length (Sannagoudar *et al.*, 2021b). Similar results were reported by Eleyan *et al.* (2018).

Among interactions effect, transplanted rice with application of 100% RDN + foliar spray of 0.4% nano urea at tillering and PI stage (M<sub>1</sub>N<sub>5</sub>) found significantly panicle length (19.96 cm) and lower number of chaffy grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (12.73) compared to other treatments. However, it was on par with wet-DSR with application of 100% RDN + foliar spray of 0.4% nano urea at tillering and PI stage (M<sub>2</sub>N<sub>5</sub>) and transplanted rice with application of 75% RDN + foliar spray of 0.4% nano urea at tillering and PI stage (M<sub>1</sub>N<sub>4</sub>). Significantly lower per cent chaffiness was found in conventional fertilizers with foliar application of nano urea in transplanted rice. This is mainly due to the better development of source in form of dry matter

accumulation and enhanced uptake, translocation of sugars and higher carbohydrate accumulation in sink (grain), which might have contributed to less chaffy grains, higher panicle length and test weight (Abdoli *et al.*, 2014 and Kumar *et al.*, 2015).

**Table 1:Effect of rice cultivation practices and nitrogen management strategies on growth attributes at 90DASin rice under rice-cowpea cropping system**

Treatment	Number of green leaves hill <sup>-1</sup>			LAI			SPAD values		
<b>Methods of establishment (M)</b>									
	<b>2022</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>Pooled</b>	<b>2022</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>Pooled</b>	<b>2022</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>Pooled</b>
M <sub>1</sub>	73.85	78.25	76.05	4.09	4.41	4.25	37.08	44.28	40.68
M <sub>2</sub>	70.92	75.52	73.22	3.86	4.22	4.04	35.45	41.85	38.65
M <sub>3</sub>	67.17	71.77	69.47	3.69	4.05	3.87	34.58	39.98	37.28
<b>S.Em.±</b>	0.58	0.61	0.60	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.28	0.33	0.31
<b>CD(p=0.05)</b>	2.26	2.40	2.33	0.12	0.13	0.13	1.09	1.30	1.20
<b>Graded level of nitrogen with nano urea (N)</b>									
N <sub>1</sub>	50.57	55.57	53.07	2.88	3.14	3.01	27.75	32.42	30.09
N <sub>2</sub>	66.02	69.68	67.85	3.42	3.72	3.57	35.39	40.06	37.73
N <sub>3</sub>	72.03	77.03	74.53	4.12	4.52	4.32	36.98	42.98	39.98
N <sub>4</sub>	79.28	84.28	81.78	4.39	4.76	4.58	38.34	45.34	41.84
N <sub>5</sub>	85.34	89.34	87.34	4.60	5.00	4.80	40.03	49.37	44.70
<b>S.Em.±</b>	0.50	0.55	0.53	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.26	0.30	0.28
<b>CD(p=0.05)</b>	1.64	1.78	1.71	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.84	0.97	0.91
<b>Interactions (MXN)</b>									
M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	52.25	58.25	55.25	2.97	3.27	3.12	27.25	33.25	30.25
M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	67.33	71.33	69.33	3.68	3.98	3.83	37.33	42.33	39.83
M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	75.88	79.88	77.88	4.28	4.68	4.48	38.49	45.49	41.99
M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	84.21	88.21	86.21	4.65	4.95	4.80	40.21	47.21	43.71
M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>5</sub>	89.56	93.56	91.56	4.89	5.19	5.04	42.11	53.11	47.61
M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	51.12	56.12	53.62	2.83	3.13	2.98	27.89	32.89	30.39
M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	65.38	68.38	66.88	3.44	3.74	3.59	34.89	39.89	37.39
M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	71.49	77.49	74.49	4.15	4.55	4.35	37.10	42.10	39.60
M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	80.39	86.39	83.39	4.35	4.75	4.55	37.95	44.95	41.45
M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>5</sub>	86.23	89.23	87.73	4.52	4.92	4.72	39.40	49.40	44.40
M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	48.34	52.34	50.34	2.83	3.03	2.93	28.12	31.12	29.62
M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	65.34	69.34	67.34	3.14	3.44	3.29	33.96	37.96	35.96
M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	68.73	73.73	71.23	3.92	4.32	4.12	35.35	41.35	38.35
M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	73.23	78.23	75.73	4.17	4.57	4.37	36.87	43.87	40.37
M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>5</sub>	80.23	85.23	82.73	4.38	4.88	4.63	38.59	45.59	42.09
<b>S.Em.±</b>	1.38	1.46	1.42	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.70	0.82	0.76
<b>CD(p=0.05)</b>	4.15	4.38	4.27	0.22	0.24	0.23	2.09	2.46	2.28

**\*Vertical factors:** Rice cultivation practices (M)      **Horizontal factors:** Nitrogen management strategies (N)

**M<sub>1</sub>:** Transplanted rice  
**M<sub>2</sub>:** Wet-Direct seeded rice (Drum seeding)  
**M<sub>3</sub>:** Dry-Direct seeded rice (Seed drill)

**N<sub>1</sub>:** Control (Without nitrogen)  
**N<sub>2</sub>:**75% RDN  
**N<sub>3</sub>:**100% RDN  
**N<sub>4</sub>:**75% RDN + foliar spray of 0.4% nano urea at tillering and PI stage  
**N<sub>5</sub>:**100% RDN+ foliar spray of 0.4% nano urea at tillering and PI stage

**Table 2: Effect of rice cultivation practices and nitrogen management strategies on yield attributes at harvest in rice under rice-cowpea cropping system**

Treatment	Panicle length (cm)			Total number of chaffy grains panicle <sup>-1</sup>			Test weight (g)		
<b>Methods of establishment (M)</b>									
	<b>2022</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>Pooled</b>	<b>2022</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>Pooled</b>	<b>2022</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>Pooled</b>
M <sub>1</sub>	19.33	20.58	19.96	21.19	17.39	19.29	23.57	23.98	23.78
M <sub>2</sub>	18.32	19.49	18.91	25.21	20.81	23.01	23.36	23.66	23.51
M <sub>3</sub>	17.90	19.23	18.57	27.91	20.46	24.19	23.04	23.40	23.22
<b>S.Em.±</b>	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.21	0.18	0.20	0.18	0.18	0.18
<b>CD(p=0.05)</b>	0.54	0.57	0.56	0.82	0.72	0.77	NS	NS	NS
<b>Graded level of nitrogen with nano urea (N)</b>									
N <sub>1</sub>	16.52	17.81	17.17	35.01	28.26	31.64	21.52	21.86	21.69
N <sub>2</sub>	17.72	18.45	18.09	27.12	23.03	25.08	23.15	23.39	23.27
N <sub>3</sub>	19.26	19.95	19.61	23.81	18.73	21.27	23.83	24.15	23.99
N <sub>4</sub>	19.29	20.95	20.12	20.08	15.00	17.54	24.00	24.43	24.22
N <sub>5</sub>	19.79	21.67	20.73	17.82	12.74	15.28	24.12	24.57	24.35
<b>S.Em.±</b>	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.22	0.19	0.21	0.17	0.17	0.17
<b>CD(p=0.05)</b>	0.43	0.47	0.45	0.72	0.61	0.67	0.56	0.56	0.56
<b>Interactions (MXN)</b>									
M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	16.44	17.75	17.095	31.92	26.92	29.42	21.89	22.29	22.09
M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	18.26	19.00	18.63	22.93	20.93	21.93	23.26	23.38	23.32
M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	20.68	21.25	20.97	18.29	16.29	17.29	24.10	24.39	24.25
M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	20.39	22.15	21.27	17.56	12.56	15.06	24.28	24.88	24.58
M <sub>1</sub> N <sub>5</sub>	20.89	22.75	21.82	15.23	10.23	12.73	24.34	24.94	24.64
M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	16.48	18.00	17.24	34.27	28.27	31.27	21.48	21.68	21.58
M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	17.62	18.00	17.81	29.23	25.23	27.23	23.17	23.47	23.32
M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	18.97	19.70	19.34	26.23	21.23	23.73	23.91	24.19	24.05
M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	19.00	20.23	19.62	19.10	16.10	17.60	24.08	24.38	24.23
M <sub>2</sub> N <sub>5</sub>	19.51	21.51	20.51	17.23	13.23	15.23	24.16	24.59	24.38
M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	16.63	17.69	17.16	38.84	29.59	34.22	21.18	21.60	21.39
M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	17.28	18.36	17.82	29.19	22.94	26.07	23.01	23.31	23.16
M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	18.14	18.89	18.52	26.92	18.67	22.80	23.48	23.88	23.68
M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	18.48	20.46	19.47	23.59	16.34	19.97	23.65	24.04	23.85
M <sub>3</sub> N <sub>5</sub>	18.96	20.74	19.85	21.01	14.76	17.89	23.86	24.19	24.03
<b>S.Em.±</b>	0.35	0.38	0.37	0.41	0.34	0.38	0.44	0.44	0.44
<b>CD(p=0.05)</b>	1.04	1.13	1.09	1.22	1.01	1.12	NS	NS	NS

\***Vertical factors:** Rice cultivation practices (M)      **Horizontal factors:** Nitrogen management strategies (N)

M<sub>1</sub>: Transplanted rice  
M<sub>2</sub>: Wet-Direct seeded rice (Drum seeding)  
M<sub>3</sub>: Dry-Direct seeded rice (Seed drill)

N<sub>1</sub>: Control (Without nitrogen)  
N<sub>2</sub>: 75% RDN  
N<sub>3</sub>: 100% RDN  
N<sub>4</sub>: 75% RDN + foliar spray of 0.4% nano urea at tillering and PI stage  
N<sub>5</sub>: 100% RDN + foliar spray of 0.4% nano urea at tillering and PI stage

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The study demonstrated that the transplanted rice with application of 100% recommended dose of nitrogen + 2 foliar spray of 0.4% nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage is recommended for maximizing the growth attributes viz., number of green leaves hill<sup>-1</sup>, leaf area index, SPAD values and yield attributes viz., panicle length, test weight and lower no. of chaffy grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>. Therefore, 100% recommended dose of nitrogen + 2 foliar spray of 0.4% nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage in transplanted rice presents a viable option for enhancing rice growth and yield contributing to more efficient and sustainable agricultural practices in present changing climate.

#### Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Author(s) hereby declares that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

#### 5. REFERENCES

- Abdoli, M., Esfandiari, E., Mousavi, S. B. & Sadeghzadeh. B., 2014, Effects of foliar application of zinc sulphate at different phenological stages on yield formation and grain zinc content of bread wheat (cv. Kohdasht). *Azarian Journal of Agriculture*, 10(1),11-16.
- Alam, M. K., Salahin, N., Islam, S., Begum, R. A., Hasanuzzaman, M., Islam, M. S. & Rahman, M. M., 2016, Patterns of change in soil organic matter, physical properties and crop productivity under tillage practices and cropping systems in Bangladesh. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 155, 216-238.
- Algym, A. J. K., Laha, J. K., & Al-asady, M. H. S., 2020, Effect of the method and level of adding NPK nanoparticles and mineral fertilizers on the growth and yield of yellow corn and the content of mineral nutrient of some plant parts. *Plant Archives*, 20(1), 38-43.
- Anonymous, 2013, IPCC. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on

Climate Change; Stocker, T.F., Qin,D., Plattner, G.K., Tignor, M., Allen,S.K., Boschung,J., Nauels,A., Xia,Y., Bex,V., Midgley, P.M., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK; NewYork, NY, USA, p.1535.

Anonymous, 2021, Directorate of Economics & Statistics, Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare.

Bell, R. W., Haque, M.E., Islam,M.A., Alam,M.K. & Jahiruddin, M., 2017, Long-term impact of conservation agriculture in rice-based cropping systems; World congress on conservation agriculture: Rosario, Argentina.

Benzon, H. R. L., Rubenecia, M. R. U., Ultra, J. R. &Lee, S. C., 2015, Nano-fertilizer affects the growth, development and chemical properties of rice. *International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research*, **7** (1): 105-117.

Biradar B, Jayadev HM, Halli HM, Sannagoudar MS (2023) Mapping of soil test-based spatial fertilizer recommendations for paddy and maize using GIS, GPS and STCR approaches in a micro-watershed of Karnataka, India. *Current Science*, 124(10):0011-3891.

Biradar, B., Jayadeva, H.M., Channakeshava, S., Geetha, K.N., Sannagoudar, M.S., Pavan, A.S. and Prakash, K.N., 2020. Assessment of soil fertility through GIS techniques and thematic mapping in micro-watershed of Hassan, Karnataka. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **9**(4), pp.3218-3228.

Chakraborty, D., Ladha, J. K., Rana, D. S., Jat, M. L., Gathala, M. K.,Yadav, S., Rao,A.N., Ramesha, M.S. & Raman, A., 2017, Aglobal analysis of alternative tillage and crop establishment practices for economically and environmentally efficient rice production. *Science Report*, **7**, 9342.

Chauhan, B. S. & Opena, J., 2012, Effect of tillage systems and herbicides on weedemergence, weed growth and grain yield in dry-seeded rice systems. *Field Crops Research*,**137**. 56-69.

Eleyan,S.E.D., Abodahab, A.A., Abdallah, A.M. & Rabeh, H.A., 2018, Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium nano fertilizers with different application times, methods

and rates on some growth parameters of Egyptian cotton (*Gossypium barbadense* L.). *Bioscience Research*, 15(2), 549-564.

Halli HM, Angadi S, Govindasamy P, Madar R, Sannagoudar MS, El-Sabrou AM, Alataway A, Dewidar AZ, Elansary H (2021) Integrated effect of deficit irrigation and sowing methods on weed dynamics and system productivity of maize–cowpea sequence on vertisols. *Agronomy*, 11(4), p.808.

Haque, M.E., Bell, R.W., Islam, M.A. & Rahman, M.A., 2016, Minimum tillage unpuddled transplanting: An alternative crop establishment strategy for rice in conservation agriculture cropping systems. *Field Crops Research*, 185, 31-39.

Hardev, R., Singh, J. P., Bohra, J. S., Singh, K. R. & Sutaliya, J. M. 2014, Effect of seedling age and plant spacing on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and economics of rice genotypes under system of rice intensification. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 59(2), 256-260.

Himeda, M., 1994, Cultivation technique of rice nursling seedlings. Review of research papers and its future implementation. *Journal of Agriculture and Horticulture Research.*, 69, 791-796.

Hoshikawa, K. & Ishi, R., 1974, Gas exchange characteristics of 'young' rice seedlings raised in box. In: *Journal Plant Production Science*, 43, 5-6.

Kordon, H. A., 1974, Patterns of shoot and root growth in rice seedlings germinating under water. *Journal of Applied Ecosystem*, 11, 685–690.

Kottegoda, N., Munaweera, I., Madusanka, N. & Karunaratne, V. 2011, A green slow-release fertilizer composition based on urea-modified hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles encapsulated wood. *Current Science*, 101, 73-78.

Kumar, R., Singh, R. N., Sengar, S. S. & Singh, A., 2015, Influence of crop residues under rice planting and nutrient management on soil microbial dynamics and productivity of chickpea in vertisols. *The Ecoscan: An International Journal of Environmental Science*, 8: 299-304.

- Kumar, R., Zaidi, S. F. A., Singh, G., Kumar, B. & Nishad, K. K., 2016, Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and phosphorus availability of aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in *Inceptisol* of eastern U. P. *Advance Research Journal Crop Improvement*, 7(1), 145-147.
- Kumar, V. & Ladha, J. K. 2011. Direct seeding of rice: Recent developments and future research needs. *Advances in Agronomy*, 111, 297–413.
- Kumhar, B. L., Chavan, V. G., Rajemahadik, V. A., Kanade, V. M., Dhopavkar, R. V., Ameta, H. K. & Tilekar, R. N., 2016, Effect of different rice establishment methods on growth, yield and different varieties during *kharif* season. *International Journal Plant Animal and Environmental Science*, 6(2), 127-131.
- Lal, R., 2008, Promise and limitations of soils to minimize climate change. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 63, 113-118.
- Mahajan, G., Sardana, V., Brar, A. S. & Gill, M. S., 2004, Grain yield comparison among rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties under direct seeding and transplanting. *Haryana Journal of Agronomy*, 20(1/2), 68-70.
- Matsuo, T. & Hoshikawa, K., 1993, Science of the rice plant: morphology. Food and Agriculture Policy Research Centre, Tokyo, 123–132.
- Naderi, M. R. & Danesh-sharaki, A., 2013, Nano-fertilizers and their role in sustainable agriculture. *International Journal Agriculture and Crop Science*, 5(9), 2229-2232.
- Nithya, B.N., Ramakrishna Naika, Naveen, D.V. & Sunil Kumar, T., 2018, Influence of nano zinc application on growth and yield parameters of mulberry. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Biosciences*, 6(2), 317-319.
- Patidar, P., Sannagoudar, M.S., Ghosh, A., Singh, A.K., Misra, S., Khandibagur, V., Ojha, D., Casini, R., Elansary, H.O. and Chandra, A., 2023. Tropical range grasses can sustain soil functions despite nutrient depletion in semiarid degraded land. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 7, p.1230156.
- Rajanna GA, Dass A, Singh VK, Choudhary AK, Paramesh V, Babu S, Upadhyay PK, Sannagoudar MS, Ajay BC, Reddy KV (2023) Energy and carbon budgeting in a soybean–wheat system in different tillage, irrigation and fertilizer management

- practices in South-Asian semi-arid agroecology. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 148, p.126877.
- Rajanna GA, Dass A, Venkatesh P, Choudhary AK, Upadhyay PK, Chandrashekar AB, Francaviglia R, Sannagoudar MS, Rangappa UM, Singh VK, Harish MN, Shyam CS (2024) Soil moisture dynamics, rooting traits, crop and water productivity of wheat under different tillage, irrigation and nutrition conditions. *Farming System*, 2(2), p.100087.
- Rao, A. N., Jhonson, D. E., Prasad, B. S., Ladha, J. K. and Mortimer, A. M., 2007. Weed management in direct seeded rice. *Advances in Agronomy*, 93, 153 – 245.
- Roco, M.C., 2011, The long view of nanotechnology development: the national nanotechnology initiative at 10 years. *Journal of Nano particle Research*, 13, 427-445.
- Ros, C., Bell, R. W. & White, P. F., 2003, Seedling vigour and the early growth of transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Journal of Plant and Soil*, 252, 325–337.
- Sachin KS, Dass A, Dhar S, Rajanna GA, Singh T, Sudhishri S, Sannagoudar MS, Choudhary AK, Kushwaha HL, Praveen BR, Prasad S (2023) Sensor-based precision nutrient and irrigation management enhances the physiological performance, water productivity, and yield of soybean under system of crop intensification. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 14, p.1282217.
- Sangeetha, C. & Baskar, P., 2015, Influence of different crop establishment methods on productivity of rice—a review. *Agricultural Reviews*, 36(2), 113-124.
- Sannagoudar MS, Murthy KK, Ghosh A, Singh AK, Gupta G, Halli HM, Kumar RV (2021a) Comparative efficacy of leguminous intercrops and weed management practices on nutrient uptake, productivity and profitability of maize based intercropping system. *Legume Res. Int. J*, 1(6), pp.10-18805.
- Sannagoudar MS, Murthy KK, Nagaraju, Rajanna GA, Ghosh A, Singh AK, Gupta G, Kumar RV (2021b) Influence of weed management practices in maize (*Zea mays*) based intercropping system. *The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 91(7):1067-1071.
- Sannagoudar MS, Patil RH, Kumar RV, Singh AK, Ghosh A, Halli HM (2020) Simulated impacts of rise in temperature on kharif sorghum genotypes in Northern Transitional Zone of Karnataka, India. *Cereal Research Communications*, 48, pp.113-120

- Sannagoudar MS, Patil RH, Rajanna GA, Ghosh A, Singh AK, Halli HM, Khandibagur V, Kumar S, Kumar RV (2023) Rising temperature coupled with reduced rainfall will adversely affect yield of kharif sorghum genotypes. *Current Science*, 124(8), p.921.
- Sannagoudar, M.S. & Kalyana Murthy, K.N., 2018, Growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by planting geometry and nutrient management in maize-based intercropping. *Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 52 (2): 278-284.
- Sasaki, R., 2004, Characteristics and seedling establishment of rice nursling seedlings. *Japan Agricultural Research quarterly*, 38(5), 7–13.
- Shang, Y., Hasan, M.K., Ahammed, G.J., Li, M., Yin, H., & Zhou, J., 2019, Applications of nanotechnology in plant growth and crop protection: a review. *Molecules*, 24, 2558-2580.
- Singh, S., & Sharma, A. K., 2012, Gender issues for drudgery reduction and sustainable small holder farming in rice production system. *Journal of Hill Agriculture*, 3, 99-102.
- Stoop, W. A. & Kassam, A. H., 2005, The SRI controversy: A response. *Field Crops Research*, 91: 357–360.
- Surendran, U., Raja, P., Jayakumar, M. & Subramoniam, S.R., 2021, Use of efficient water saving techniques for production of rice in India under climate change scenario: A critical review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, **309**, 127-272.
- Tuong, T.P., & Bouman, B. A. M., 2002, Rice production in water scarce environments in water productivity in agriculture: limits and opportunities for improvement. CABI Publishing: Wallingford, UK, pp. 13-42.
- Zhang, W., Yu, Y., Huang, Y., Li, T. & Wang, P., 2011, Modelling methane emissions from irrigated rice cultivation in China from 1960 to 2050. *Global Change Biology*, 17, 3511-3523.

