

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_128739
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of rice cultivation practices and nitrogen management strategies on growth and yield attributes in rice under rice-cowpea cropping system
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As paddy is one of the major cereal crop in india, research on nutrient management strategies to increase the productivity of paddy is very important for food security. Paddy followed by cowpea also helps in enriching the soil with nitrogen. Therefore, the effect of nitrogen management strategies on yield and growth of paddy is a relevant experiment for scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is well-written and gives a comprehensive idea about the research	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are correct	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the manuscript is well-written	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research is well-conducted and relevant	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nandini Roy
Department, University & Country	Adamas University, India