

Front Line Demonstration on Management of Fruit Rot in Chilli

ABSTRACT

The Krishi Vigyan Kendra (KVK) in Bhadradi Kothagudem conducted Front Line Demonstrations (FLD) on management of fruit rot in chilli during 2019-20, 2020-21, and 2021-22. These demonstrations compared the effectiveness of technology-demonstrated with traditional farmer practices. The results showed that the technology-demonstrated plots had higher cost-benefit ratios (BC Ratio) of 2.49:1, 2.53:1, and 2.32:1 compared to the BC ratios of 1.96:1, 1.91:1, and 1.90:1 in the farmers' practice plots during the corresponding years. Additionally, farmers practiced plots typically sprayed fungicide mixtures after noticing disease.

Key words: Chilli, seed treatment, fungicides.

Introduction

Chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) is one of the most important spice crops in India, primarily cultivated for its fruit. It is a crucial ingredient in Indian cuisine, valued for its pungency, spice, taste, aroma, and flavor. Chilli fruits are an excellent source of Vitamins C, A, and E. Despite the rising demand, the yield is insufficient to meet domestic needs. The main reasons for low yield in chillies include limited adoption of high-yielding varieties and hybrids, heavy pest and disease infestations, and a lack of adherence to scientific agricultural practices (Indira et al., 2001). Chilli is susceptible to over 40 fungal species, with *Colletotrichum capsici* being one of the most damaging. This pathogen causes leaf spot or dieback during various growth stages and fruit rot or anthracnose during the fruiting stage, leading to lower yields and reduced marketability. While infected fruits are not harmful to humans or animals, severely affected fruits, with blemishes, are typically deemed unfit for consumption due to their unpleasant color and taste (Akhilesh et al., 2020). Although research institutes have developed improved technologies that are financially viable, adoption of these technologies remains low, highlighting the need for better dissemination (Kiresur et al., 2001). Biotic, abiotic, and socio-economic constraints hinder the full potential of chilli production. To address these challenges, location-specific integrated disease management strategies are required, which are economically viable, socially acceptable, and environmentally sustainable. Unfortunately, farmers often lack the knowledge and support necessary to implement effective disease management practices. In Bhadradi Kothagudem district, a major chilli-growing region, farmers face significant disease pressures but lack an understanding of their impact and suitable management strategies. Therefore, Front Line Demonstrations (FLDs) were organized to illustrate the potential damage and effective management approaches for these agricultural challenges.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Commented [SV1]: Include methodology in abstract

Commented [SV2]: Should be in italics

Commented [SV3]: Add reference

Commented [SV4]: italics

Commented [SV5]: Italics

Commented [SV6]: Italics

The present study was undertaken at ten different farmers fields of Bhadradi Kothagudem district of Telangana with two treatments *viz.*, technology demonstration and check (farmers practice) (Table 1). The experiment was conducted consecutively for three years *i.e.* during 2019-20, 2020-21, and 2021-22 within its operational area.

Table. 1 Details of treatments followed in farmers fields

Treatment 1 (Technology demonstration)	Treatment 2 (Farmers Practice)
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seed treatment with <i>Trichoderma viride</i> @10g/kg. 2. Rotation of the chemicals copper oxychloride @3g or Propiconazole @1ml or Difenconazole @0.5ml or Azoxystrobin @1ml or Tebuconazole + Trifloxystrobin @ 0.8 g/l. (The first spraying should be given just before flowering and the second at the time of fruit formation. Third spraying may be given a fortnight after second spraying) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Spraying carbendazim + mancozeb @2.5 gm/l after noticing the sucking pest

The methodology for the Front Line Demonstrations (FLD) followed guidelines outlined by Choudhary (1999), including experimental design, site selection, farmer selection, demonstration layout, and farmer participation. Agronomic practices were rigorously applied, with cultivated in plots sized at 0.4 hectares across ten locations for each treatment. Affected plants were observed in each plot by recording total number of plants as well as diseased plants. The data was collected at fortnightly intervals on incidence. Percent disease incidence was calculated by following formula.

Commented [SV7]: Add reference for Percent Disease incidence?

$$\text{Disease Incidence} = \frac{\text{Number of diseased fruits}}{\text{Total number of fruits assessed}} \times 100$$

Yield data were collected from both technology-assessed plots and farmers' practice plots. Using parameters such as extension gap, technology gap, yield gap, and technology index, as outlined by Rajashekhar et al. (2022), Samui et al. (2000), and Lakshmi Narayanamma et al. (2023), the economic impact of treatments was assessed through benefit-cost ratio calculations.

Commented [SV8]: italics

Commented [SV9]: Italics

Commented [SV10]: Italics

Extension gap (Kg/ha) = Demonstrations yield – Yield under existing farmer’s practice

Technology gap (Kg/ha) = Potential Yield – Demo Yield

Additional return = Demonstration return – farmer’s practice return

$$\text{Yield gap (\%)} = \frac{\text{Extension gap}}{\text{Yield under farmers practice}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Technology gap (\%)} = \frac{\text{Technology gap}}{\text{Yield under farmers practice}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Technology index (\%)} = \frac{\text{Potential yield} - \text{Demonstration yield}}{\text{Potential yield}} \times 100$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the current findings and subsequent data analysis over three consecutive years, it was observed that disease incidences were lower in the technology-demonstrated plots compared to farmers' practice plots. This reduction can be attributed to regular monitoring of disease incidence and the judicious use of integrated disease management strategies, resulting in a reduced disease load. Disease incidence was higher in farmers' practice plots, with percentages of 19.4, 18.0, and 12.0 during 2019, 2020, and 2021, respectively, while technology-demonstrated plots had lower incidences of 12.6, 12.0, and 9.1 during the corresponding years.

Regarding yields, technology-demonstrated plots recorded higher yields (4867, 5202, and 4935 Kg/ha) compared to farmers' practice plots (4329, 4822, and 4385 Kg/ha) during 2019, 2020, and 2021, respectively. This translated into higher net returns for technology-demonstrated plots (Rs 1,77,910/-, Rs 1,73,630/-, and Rs 1,82,595/ha) compared to farmers' practice plots (Rs 1,28,920/-, Rs 1,48,430/-, and Rs 1,62,245/-) during the same periods. Overall, the benefit-cost ratio was higher in the treatment plots compared to the control plots throughout the experimentation period, indicating the effectiveness of the technology in reducing disease incidences, increasing yields, and improving economic returns.

Commented [SV11]: Remove blank space

Table 2. Per cent fruit rot incidence at different locations

	Per cent incidence / locations										Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Farmers Practice	15.4	21.3	17.2	21.2	20.1	18.5	21.3	22.3	24.2	12.3	19.4
Demo	10.2	12.2	13.2	10.5	16.2	12.3	13.5	11.5	16.2	10.5	12.6

Farmers Practice	19.5	12.3	22.3	15.6	12.3	20.5	19.2	18.2	19.5	20.5	18.0
Demo	12.5	10.5	12.8	10.9	8.9	11.3	15.6	12.5	13.9	10.9	12.0
Farmers Practice	15.3	14.2	11	12	14.2	12.3	10.2	9.6	10.5	11.2	12.0
Demo	8.5	9.6	10.5	11.2	9.2	8.5	8.5	7.2	9.3	8.8	9.1

Table 3. Economic impact of experiment

Commented [SV12]: Alignment?

Year	Yield (Kg/ha)		Net returns (Rs. /ha)		B:C Ratio	
	Demo	Farmers Practice	Demo	Farmers Practice	Demo	Farmers Practice
2019-20	4867	4329	1,77,910	1,28,920	2.47:1	1.91:1
2020-21	5202	4822	1,73,630	1,48,430	2.53:1	1.91:1
2021-22	4935	4385	1,82,595	1,62,245	2.32:1	1.90:1

Table 4. Grain yield and gap analysis

Year	Yield gap (%)	Extension gap (Q/ha)	Technology gap (Q/ha)	Technology index (%)	Additional returns (Rs.)
2019-20	11.00	538	633	11.50	48,990
2020-21	10.89	380	298	5.40	25,200
2021-22	12.06	550	565	10.27	20,350

Commented [SV13]: Missing the table reference in Text Paragraphs??

The findings align with prior studies, showing higher incidences of disease incidence in farmers' practice plots compared to those implementing the technology resulting in a lower benefit-cost ratio (BC Ratio) for the farmer. Similar results were reported by several earlier workers. Fungicides are most commonly used to reduce the economic losses caused by diseases. Their ease of application and effectiveness has made them the most common mean to combat

Commented [SV14]: Space

many fungal diseases (Dias, 2012). These results are in conformity with the findings of Hiremath et al. (2009) and Mokidue et al. (2011) and fungicides application increases the yield was further confirmed by Bag, et al 2016 and Bhuvanewari, et al 2012.

Commented [SV15]: Italics

Commented [SV16]: italics

Commented [SV17]: italics

Commented [SV18]: italics

Commented [SV19]: maintain same type of citation for all ..

Conclusion

Based on the findings of this study, it can be inferred that location-specific management have become crucial due to the dynamic virus patterns across seasons and agro-ecosystems. The noticeable yield disparity between farmers' practices and technology-demonstrated plots underscores the urgent necessity for robust extension services to educate farmers on adopting improved technologies. Furthermore, the lower yield levels observed in local practices indicate room for improvement through the adoption of recommended strategies.

The Front Line Demonstration (FLD) intervention has proven highly effective in enhancing net returns among farmers. The positive outcomes observed in technology-demonstrated plots warrant wider implementation across the Bhadradi Kothagudem district. These results can be leveraged to encourage farmers to adopt these practices, thereby reducing unnecessary and unwarranted usage of insecticides and fungicides.

REFERENCES

Akhilesh P, Ashish K, R. K. Tiwari and Shiv R, R. 2020. Management of Die back and Fruit Rot Disease of Chilli (*Capsicum annum L.*). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science*. 9(03): 1235-1242.

Commented [SV20]: Please follow journal guidelines for references cited.

Bag MK, Yadav M, Mukherjee AK. Bioefficacy of strobilurin based fungicides against rice sheath blight disease. *Transcriptomics*. 2016; 4:128.

Bhuvanewari V, Raju KS. Efficacy of new combination fungicide against rice sheath blight caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* (Kuhn). *Journal of Rice Research*. 2012; (5)1-2.

Commented [SV21]: italics

Bhuvanewari B N. *Krishi Vigyan Kendra-A guide for KVK managers*. Publication, Division of Agricultural Extension, ICAR. 1999. pp 73-78.

Commented [SV22]: Not in text

Dias MC. Phytotoxicity: An Overview of the Physiological Responses of Plants Exposed to Fungicides. *Journal of Botany*, 2012, 1- 4

Hiremath, S.M. and Nagaraj, M.V. (2010). Evaluation of front line demonstrations trials on onion in Haveri district of Karnataka. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 22(2):1092-1093.

Commented [SV23]:

Indira, P., Gopalkrishnan, T.R. and Peter, P.V. 2001. Spices in India. Paper presented In: Silver Jubilee Seminar on Spices, Indian Inst. Spice Res., Calicut, October8-9, pp. 143-152

Lakshmi Narayanamma V, Ratnakar V, Vishwatej R, Ram Prasad M, Shiva B, Veeranna G and Uma Reddy R. Demonstration on management strategies of stem weevil *Pempherulus affinis* (Faust) and its economic impact in cotton. The Pharma Innovation Journal; 2023; 12(6): 317-320.

Kiresur, V.R., Ramanna Rao, S.V. and Hedge, D.M. 2001. Improved technologies in oilseeds production and assessment of their economic potentials in India. Agriculture Economics Research Review. 14: 95-108.

Mokidue, I., Mohanty, A.K. and Sanjay, K. (2011). Correlating growth, yield and adoption of urd bean technologies. *Indian J. Extn. Edu.*, **11**(2): 20-24.

Rajashekhar M, Prabhakar Reddy T, Chandrashekara KM, Rajashekar B, Jagan Mohan Reddy M, Ramakrishna K et al. Evaluation of integrated pest management module for pink bollworm, *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saunders) and its economic analysis under farmer's field conditions. International Journal of Pest Management. 2022; 68(3):1-9..

Samui SK, Maitra S, Roy DK, Mondal AK, Saha D. Evaluation of front-line demonstration on groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) in Sundarbans. Journal of the Indian Society of Coastal Agricultural Research 2000;18(2):180-183.