

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_128696
Title of the Manuscript:	Front Line Demonstration on Management of Fruit Rot in Chilli
Type of the Article	RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fruit rot in chilli is a major disease that can severely reduce yields and quality of the crop. By presenting front-line demonstrations (FLDs), the article contributes to the development and validation of effective management strategies. This is vital for increasing both productivity and sustainability in chilli cultivation. The article likely emphasizes an integrated approach to managing fruit rot, which may involve cultural practices, chemical control, biological control agents, and resistant varieties. This approach is more sustainable and environmentally friendly compared to the use of chemicals alone, and it aligns with current trends in sustainable agriculture. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	PLEASE ADD SOME MORE WORDS IN ABSTRACT.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	YES CORRECT.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	Vunnam Sandeep Varma
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	Achievers Agri Academy, India