

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_128137
Title of the Manuscript:	Pest complex of Brinjal crop (Solanum melangena L.): Pest management with botanicals comparing Insecticide (Imidacloprid- 17.8 SL)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	For the scientific community overall its a significant study, not only to identify the insect pest of Brinjal crop (Solanum melangena L.) but also ways to control or manage them to get better production. Also use of extract in comparison to chemical/insecticide to lead towards green control for pest management in future	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is appropriate with reference to presented study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is directly being started with place of work or methodology, it must have 1-2 lines of background study of present work It is suggested that findings mentioned in Abstract can better represented in the form of some numeric values No analysis has been depicted in the abstract It is suggested to add conclusive lines about present work at the end of abstract	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In Introduction section, it is suggested to develop a link and need of present work. Aims of study should be clearly mentioned at the end of introduction With reference to <u>science adviser</u>, he European Commission last year proposed extending the ban of three neonicotinoids—imidacloprid is among one of them (So slightly overview the study with this reference). It has been observed as a result that it has been considered by the author as best treatment among others. Methodology is too brief, It is suggested to add references in methodology from where the extraction protocols has been consulted, No statistical application seems there to analyze the results Among results, table numbers should be added in text for reference to consult the tables. Scientific/Technical names of insects added in result must be italic or underlined Conclusion composed of importance of research field but It is suggested to add academic, research related and socio economic benefits of present study 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is suggested to add latest references to support present research work. Also, with the help of latest reference remove the conflict regarding insecticide imidacloprid	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Few formatting, Spelling, grammatical and sentence mistakes are there in the manuscript. Proofread them properly.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sajida Mushtaq
Department, University & Country	Government College Women University Sialkot, Pakistan