

Original Research Article

Comparison of patients' perceptions of safety and efficacy of herbal and conventional type 2 diabetes treatments in Nairobi, Kenya.

ABSTRACT

Background: Use of herbal medicines is common among patients with type 2 diabetes in Kenya. Studies on patient-reported efficacy and safety of these medicines are lacking.

Aims: To determine and compare satisfaction with safety and efficacy of medicines among patients with type 2 diabetes on herbal and conventional glucose-lowering agents.

Study design: A descriptive cross-sectional study design was used.

Place and Duration of Study: Outpatient diabetes clinics at Kenyatta National Hospital and New Life Herbal Clinic in Nairobi, Kenya. The study was carried out between March 2019 and December 2021.

Methodology: We recruited 80 patients with type 2 diabetes on conventional glucose lowering agents at Kenyatta National Hospital and 37 patients on herbal antidiabetic therapies at New Life Herbal Clinic. A general questionnaire was used to collect data on sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the participants. Treatment Satisfaction with Medicines Questionnaire was used to assess patient satisfaction with medicines. The Chi-square test was performed to compare the proportions of responses in the two groups. Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the domain scores at the two study sites. Linear regression analysis was used to identify the determinants of satisfaction with side effects and efficacy of antidiabetic treatments.

Results: The median score on the side effects domain in patients on herbal medicines was 100 [70.83-100] compared to 20.83[0-66.67] among those treated at Kenyatta National Hospital ($p<0.001$). Patients treated with conventional medicines had higher scores on the efficacy of medicines domain (100 [83.33-100] compared to 75 [70.83-100] at the herbal clinic ($p=0.006$). Treatment at the herbal clinic was associated with significant reductions in satisfaction with side effects of medications (-2.987 scores (95% CI= -3.598, -2.37), $p<0.001$). Other significant determinants of satisfaction with side effects were distance from healthcare facility (-0.569 scores (95% CI=-1.028- -0.110), $p=0.015$), experiencing tingling sensations (-1.019 scores (95% CI=-1.789, -0.250, $p=0.009$), infrequent HbA1c monitoring (0.907 scores (95% CI=0.372, 1.442), $p<0.001$) and presence of numbness (2.074 scores (95% CI=0.982, 3.165), $p<0.001$). Significant reductions in satisfaction with efficacy of medications scores was associated with presence of comorbidities (-2.559 scores (95% CI=-3.382- -1.736), $p<0.001$), treatment with *Prunus africana* (-1.433 scores ,95% CI=-2.246- -0.620, $p<0.001$), concurrent use of herbal and conventional glucose-lowering agents (-0.418 scores, (95% CI=-0.783- -0.052), $p=0.025$) and use of celery ((-0.878 scores, (95% CI=-1.589- -0.168), $p=0.015$).

Conclusion: The study findings highlight significant differences in patients' perception of safety and efficacy of herbal and conventional type 2 diabetes treatments. Treatment-related, health accessibility and individual factors influence patients' satisfaction with medications.

Keywords: Conventional, herbal, type 2 diabetes, satisfaction, side effects, efficacy, medications

1. INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is a growing health concern worldwide. The international Diabetes Federation (IDF) estimates that the disease affects approximately 537 million adults (10.5%) globally (Sun et al., 2022). The worldwide prevalence is projected to rise to 12.2% by 2045 with the greatest increases occurring in the low- and middle-income countries (Sun et al., 2022). In Kenya, diabetes affects 3% (821,000) adults. Factors fueling the increasing incidence of diabetes in Kenya include obesity, unhealthy diets, physical inactivity, tobacco, and alcohol use (Manyara et al., 2024).

Type 2 diabetes accounts for 90-95% of diabetes cases (Ong et al., 2023). Chronic hyperglycemia in diabetes causes vascular damage leading to macrovascular and microvascular complications (Paul et al., 2020). Optimal glycemic control to achieve and maintain a glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) level of 7% and below has been associated with reduced onset and progression of diabetes-related complications. This is achieved through a combination of lifestyle modifications and pharmacotherapy (Samson et al., 2023). However, despite the availability of evidence-based treatment for type 2 diabetes, majority of patients do not achieve adequate glycemic control (Otieno et al., 2021).

The complexity of diabetes treatments, costs and side effects of medications often lead to reduced quality of life and satisfaction with treatment. Consequently, patients turn to complementary and alternative medicines (CAM) for management of their blood sugars. The global prevalence of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) use among individuals with type 2 diabetes is estimated to be 51% (Alzahrani et al., 2021). Herbal medicines are highly popular among diabetic patients. In Saudi Arabia, 68% of patients with type 2 diabetes reported frequent use of herbal remedies. Approximately 71.4% of these patients self-medicated with herbs without consulting a healthcare provider (Alqathama et al., 2020). In Sri Lanka, 75% of patients with type 2 diabetes preferred self-prescribed herbal treatments for management of their blood sugars over conventional oral glucose-lowering agents (Edussuriya et al., 2021). A study in Ethiopia found that 58.5% of type 2 diabetics used herbal medicines (Kifle et al., 2021a). Findings from local studies indicate that approximately 12.4% (Mwangi & Gitonga, 2014) and 40% (Elsa et al., 2017) of diabetic patients in Kenya use herbal glucose-lowering agents. This high prevalence aligns with similar trends observed in other African countries (Niba et al., 2023).

The use of herbal medicines is driven by factors such as the belief that herbal medicines are safe, are more effective than conventional medicines, and can cure the disease. Herbal medicines are also easier to access since they do not require a prescription and are also more culturally acceptable (Elsa et al., 2017). Although several herbs have been reported to have glucose-lowering effects, there are no local guidelines for their use. Despite the widespread use of herbal medicines by type 2 DM patients in Kenya, studies on patients' perception on their safety and efficacy are lacking. This study estimated and compared satisfaction with undesirable side effects and efficacy of medications among patients treated for type 2 DM with herbal and conventional drugs in Nairobi City County in Kenya. Data from this study will enable healthcare providers to develop evidence-based interventions to improve type 2 diabetes outcomes.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The procedure for collection of sociodemographic and clinical data for this study has been described previously (Karara et al., 2022).

Study design, site and population

A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted between March 2019 and December 2021. This comparative study was carried out in the outpatient diabetes clinics at New Life Herbal Clinic (NLHC) and Kenyatta National Hospital (KNH) which is the largest teaching and referral hospital in Eastern Africa. The study population were adult outpatients diagnosed with type 2 diabetes who had been on treatment at the study sites for at least 6 months.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Patients were eligible for recruitment into the study if they were above 18 years of age, had a documented diagnosis of type 2 diabetes and had been on follow-up for at the study sites for least 6 months and provided informed consent. Pregnant women and patients with incomplete records were excluded from the study.

Sample size

The Flight & Julious (2016) equation was used to calculate the sample size (Flight & Julious, 2016). Due to low numbers at the herbal clinic, an allocation ratio of 2 patients at KNH for every 1 patient at the herbal clinic was used. The level of significance was set at 5% and the power of the study was 80%. Using an effect size was 0.7, a standard deviation of 1 and 10% non-response rate, the calculated sample size was 73 patients on conventional therapies and 37 patients on herbal treatment for type 2 diabetes.

2.4 Sampling and recruitment of participants

Patients with type 2 diabetes at Kenyatta National Hospital and New Life Herbal Clinic were recruited consecutively during their follow-up appointments. Patient files were used to identify patients who met the inclusion criteria for the study. Eligible patients who were willing to participate in the study were asked to sign the informed consent form.

Data collection on satisfaction with medications

The treatment satisfaction with medicines questionnaire (SATMED-Q) was used to collect data on satisfaction with medications. The questionnaire is composed of 17 items investigating 6 dimensions: undesirable side effects (3 questions), treatment effectiveness (3 questions), and convenience of use (3 questions), impact on daily activities (3 questions), medical care (2 questions) and global satisfaction (3 questions) (Ruiz, et al., 2008). Each item was scored on a 5-point Likert scale of 0-4 (0-Not at all, 1-A little bit, 2-Somewhat, 3-Quite a bit, 4-Very much). The tool has excellent internal consistency with Cronbach's alpha coefficients of approximately 0.9.

Statistical analysis

As per the SATMED-Q's author's instructions, the scores on the undesirable side effects were reversed. The direct scores of the items in the questionnaire were then summed up to yield a total composite score ranging between 0 and 68. The score was converted to a percentage using the following formula provided by the author:

$$Y' = [(Y_{obs} - Y_{min}) / (Y_{max} - Y_{min})] * 100 = Y_{obs} * 1.471.$$

Where:

Y_{max} = 68 (maximum total score);

Y_{min} = 0 (minimum total score);

Y_{obs} = total score obtained by the patient;

Y' = transformed score.

Chi-square test was used to test for differences in responses to the items in each domain. Total domain satisfaction scores for the two groups were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. Linear regression analysis was performed to identify the determinants of satisfaction with side effects and efficacy of medications.

1. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characteristics of study participants

The sociodemographic and clinical characteristics have been described previously (karara et al., 2022). This study recruited 80 patients with type 2 diabetes at KNH and 37 patients on herbal glucose-lowering therapies at NLHC (Table 1). More females (52, 65%) participated in the study at KNH compared to the herbal clinic (13, 35.1%). Patients at the herbal clinic were younger (55.95 ± 13.99 years) compared to those at KNH (62.31 ± 13.91 years). Patients at KNH had a longer duration of type 2 diabetes (10[4-18]) than those at the herbal clinic (3[1-7]). Concurrent treatment with herbal and conventional glucose-lowering agents was reported in 17 (21.3%) of the participants at KNH.

Patterns of responses on undesirable side effects domain

The satisfaction with undesirable side effects domain comprised three items evaluating the interference of side effects of medicines on physical, leisure and daily activities. A comparison of patients' rating of their perceptions on the individual items in this domain at the two study sites are presented in Table 2. Significantly higher number of participants on conventional antidiabetic treatments at Kenyatta National Hospital indicated that their medicines interfered to a great extent (a score of 4) with their physical ($p < 0.001$), leisure ($p < 0.001$) and daily ($p < 0.001$) activities compared to those at the herbal clinic.

Table 1: Characteristics of participants at KNH and NLHC

Characteristic	Category	Conventional therapies (n=80) n (%)	Herbal therapies (n=37) n(%)
Gender	Female	52 (65)	13 (35.1)
Age (years) (mean±SD)		62.31±13.91	55.95±13.99
Married	Yes	61 (76.3)	31 (83.8)
Highest education level	No formal education Primary Secondary Tertiary(college/university)	16 (20) 28 (35) 30 (37.5) 6 (7.5)	1 (2.7) 17 (45.9) 13(35.1) 6 (16.2)
Body mass index (BMI)	<18.5 (underweight) 18.6-24.9 (normal) >25(overweight/obese)	1 (1.3) 24 (30.0) 55 (68.7)	1 (2.7) 11 (29.7) 25 (67.6)
Alcohol history	Yes	25 (31.3)	28 (75.7)
Smoking history	Yes	11 (13.8)	21 (56.8)
Years with DM (median (IQR))		10(4-18)	3 (1-7)
Own glucometer	Yes	52 (65)	2 (5.4)
Previous (last 6 months) HbA1c	Yes	36 (45.0)	0 (0.0)
Complications	Microvascular Macrovascular	55 (68.8) 27 (33.8)	23 (62.2) 2 (5.4)
No. of comorbidities	None 1 >1	0 (0.0) 15 (18.8) 65 (82.1)	3 (8.1) 10 (27) 24 (64.9)

Table 2: Pattern of responses to the items in satisfaction with undesirable side effects domain

Domain item	Likert scale*	KNH (n=80) n(%)	NLHC (n=37) n(%)	P-value
Interference with physical activities	0	6(7.5)	21(56.8)	<0.001
	1	20(25)	8(21.6)	
	2	12(15)	6(16.2)	
	3	3(3.8)	2(5.4)	
	4	39(48.8)	0(0)	
Interference with leisure activities	0	8(10)	24(64.9)	<0.001
	1	7(8.8)	5(13.5)	
	2	17(21.2)	6(16.2)	
	3	10(12.5)	2(5.4)	
	4	38(47.5)	0(0)	
Interference with daily activities	0	5(6.2)	25(67.6)	<0.001
	1	15(18.8)	4(10.8)	
	2	11(13.8)	6(16.2)	
	3	4(5)	2(5.4)	

	4	45(56.2)	0(0)	
--	---	----------	------	--

*:0-Not at all, 1-A little bit 2-Somewhat 3-Quite a bit 4-Very much

Representative graph showing the patterns of responses for this domain are shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Pattern of responses to Item S1 on undesirable side effects domain

Participants' responses on efficacy of medicines domain

The satisfaction with efficacy of medicines domain assessed the patients perception regarding the effects of the medicines on their symptoms, satisfaction with onset of effect and the perceived improvement since starting the treatment. As shown in Table 2, a significantly higher number of patients had higher ratings (a score of 4) for all the items in this domain compared to those on herbal treatments.

Table 3: Proportion of responses on items in efficacy of medicines domain

Domain item	Likert scale	KNH (n=80) n(%)	NLHC (n=37) (%)	P-value
Effect on symptoms	1	1(1.2)	2(5.4)	0.003
	2	0(0.0)	3(8.1)	
	3	10(12.5)	15(40.5)	
	4	69(86.2)	17(45.9)	
Onset of effect	1	1(1.2)	2(5.4)	<0.001
	2	0(0.0)	6(16.2)	
	3	7(8.8)	14(37.8)	
	4	72(90.0)	15(40.5)	
Perceived	1	0(0.0)	2(5.4)	<0.001

improvement	2	1(1.2)	5(13.5)	
	3	4(5.0)	13(35.1)	
	4	75(93.8)	17(45.9)	

COMPARISON OF TOTAL DOMAIN SCORES ACROSS THE STUDY SITES

Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare satisfaction scores of patients treated at KNH and NLHC (Table 4). Patients at the herbal clinic had significantly higher total scores in their perception of undesirable side effects compared to those on conventional glucose-lowering agents ($p < 0.001$). Conversely, the patients' rating of the efficacy of antidiabetic medicines was significantly higher at Kenyatta National Hospital than at the herbal clinic ($p = 0.006$).

Table 4: Comparison of total domain scores across the study sites

SATMED-Q Domain	Study site		P-value
	KNH (N=80) Median (IQR)	NLHC (n=37) Median (IQR)	
Undesirable side effects	20.83 (0-66.67)	100 (70.83-100)	<0.001
Efficacy	100 (83.33-100)	75 (70.83-100)	0.006

DETERMINANTS OF SATISFACTION WITH UNDESIRABLE SIDE EFFECTS OF MEDICINES

Treatment with herbal drugs was a significant determinant of patients' satisfaction with the undesirable side effects of their medicines in both bivariable and multivariable regression analysis (Table 4). Patients treated at the herbal clinic had a -2.987 decrease in satisfaction scores related to side effects of medications (95% CI = -3.598, -2.37, $p < 0.001$). Participants living within 30-40km from the healthcare facility had a 0.57 reduction with satisfaction scores in this domain (95% CI = -1.028, -0.110, $p = 0.015$). Patients lacking a HbA1c test within the previous 6 months had a 0.907 increase in satisfaction related to undesirable side effects of medications (95% CI = 0.372, 1.442, $p < 0.001$). Interestingly, patients experienced a 2.074 increase in their satisfaction scores on the side effects domain (95% CI = 0.982, 3.165, $p < 0.001$). However, patients experiencing tingling sensations were found to have a 1.019 decrease in the scores related to medication side effects (95% CI = -1.789, -0.250, $p = 0.009$).

Table 5: Determinants of satisfaction with undesirable side effects of medicines

Variables	β -coeff. (crude)	95% CI	p-value	β -coeff. (adj.)	95% CI	p-value
Study site-NLHC	-2.084	-2.625, -1.542	<0.001	-2.987	-3.598, -2.376	<0.001
Residence- Urban formal area	0.969	0.461, 1.478	<0.001	-	-	-
Residence- Urban Informal	0.011	-0.549, 0.571	0.968	-	-	-

No physical activity	-0.307	-1.266, 0.652	0.530	-	-	-
Stopped smoking	-0.631	-1.207, -0.055	0.032	-	-	-
Lives over 50 km from the facility	-0.079	-0.987, 0.830	0.866	-	-	-
Lives over 30 to 40 km from the facility	-0.380	-0.895, 0.135	0.148	-0.569	-1.028, -0.110	0.015
HbA1c 6months (not available)	-0.143	-0.666, 0.381	0.593	0.907	0.372, 1.442	<0.001
Arrhythmias	0.978	-0.049, 2.005	0.062	-	-	-
Abdominal Pain	-1.006	-1.990, -0.023	0.045	-	-	-
Dizziness	-0.848	-1.563, -0.133	0.020	-	-	-
Numbness	-0.338	-1.062, 0.386	0.360	2.074	0.982, 3.165	<0.001
Tingling	0.098	-0.919, 1.115	0.851	-1.019	-1.789, -0.250	0.009

Determinants of satisfaction with efficacy of medicines

Comorbidities and treatment-related factors were the significant factors influencing satisfaction with the efficacy of medicines (Table 6). Patients with comorbidities had a 2.559 decrease in satisfaction with the efficacy of their medications domain scores (95% CI=-3.382, -1.736, $p<0.001$). Other factors adversely affecting satisfaction in this domain were treatment with *Prunus africana* (-1.433 units, 95% CI=-2.246, -0.620, $p<0.001$), concurrent treatment with both herbal and conventional glucose-lowering agents (-0.418 units, 95% CI=-0.783, -0.052, $p=0.025$) and treatment with celery (-0.878 units, 95% CI=-1.589, -0.168, $p=0.015$).

Table 6 Results and statistical interpretation

Characteristic	Crude β -coeff.	95% CI	p-value	Adj. β -coeff.	95% CI	p-value
	Presence of co-morbidities	-2.133	-3.147, -1.118	<0.001	-2.559	-3.382, -1.736
<i>Prunus Africana</i>	-2.421	-3.278, -1.563	<0.001	-1.433	-2.246, -0.620	<0.001
On herbal and conventional medicines	-0.424	-0.814, -0.034	0.033	-0.418	-0.783, -0.052	0.025
<i>Apium graveolens</i>	-0.779	-1.589, 0.031	0.060	-0.878	-1.589, -0.168	0.015

DISCUSSION

Treatment at the herbal clinic was associated with significantly lower satisfaction in the undesirable side effects of antidiabetic medications. These findings are consistent with broader research indicating that the side effects of herbal medications can be substantial and varied, potentially leading to reduced patient satisfaction. For instance, studies have documented a range of side effects associated with herbal treatments, such as gastrointestinal disturbances, allergic reactions, and interactions with conventional drugs, all of which can significantly impact the patients' daily lives (Choi et al., 2024).

The association between treatment with *Apium graveolens* and *Prunus africana* and lower satisfaction with medication efficacy is not well-documented in scientific literature. Consequently, further studies are required to examine the factors contributing to this observation.

Geographical factors influencing satisfaction were distance from the health facility and residing in urban informal areas. Distance to health facilities is a measure of access to health services that impacts satisfaction in patients with type 2 diabetes. In Saudi Arabia, proximity to a diabetes clinic and good transport facilities were associated with patient satisfaction with diabetes care services (Itumalla et al., 2021). Underprivileged and vulnerable populations, such as those residing in informal settlements, often encounter substantial obstacles in accessing adequate healthcare, including long travel distances and poor infrastructure (Bihin et al., 2022)

Lack of HbA1c monitoring was associated with satisfaction in the undesirable side effects domain. The frequency of blood glucose monitoring has been correlated with better medication adherence and glycemic control (Rochmah et al., 2024).

Thus, patients lacking HbA1c monitoring may be non-adherent to medications and therefore relatively free from their adverse effects. This may have contributed to the positive association between inadequate HbA1c monitoring and satisfaction in the side effects domain of satisfaction.

The presence of co-morbidities decreased the likelihood of satisfaction with efficacy of their medicines. This finding is supported by previous studies in which comorbidities have been identified as a key factor contributing to low satisfaction in patients with chronic diseases (Sendekie et al., 2023, Gill et al., 2022).

Side effects of drugs had a varied influence on the domains of satisfaction with medications. Numbness and tingling sensations which are symptoms of peripheral neuropathy were important determinants of satisfaction in the domain of undesirable side effects. Presence of microvascular complications compromises satisfaction in patients with type 2 diabetes (Sendekie et al., 2023). Due to these varied observations, further studies may be required to explain why these symptoms had opposing effects on the undesirable side effects domains of satisfaction with medications.

4. CONCLUSION

Treatment-related, accessibility to healthcare and clinical-related factors were significant determinants of patient-reported safety and efficacy of medicines used for type 2 diabetes.

CONSENT

All authors declare that written informed consent was obtained from the patients who participated in this study. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editorial office/Chief Editor/Editorial Board members of this journal.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

All authors hereby declare that this study was approved by the KNH/UoN Ethics and Research Committee (Approval No. KNH-ERC R/91) and has therefore been performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki." The researcher provided comprehensive information on the study to the patients after which they were asked for voluntary consent to participate in the study.

REFERENCES

- Flight, L., & Julious, S. A. (2016). Practical guide to sample size calculations: non-inferiority and equivalence trials. *Pharmaceutical Statistics*, 15(1), 80–89. <https://doi.org/10.1002/PST.1716>
- Karara, M. W., Okalebo, F. A., Ndirangu, P. K., & Opanga, S. A. (2022). Comparative Glycemic Control in Adult Patients with Type 2 Diabetes on Herbal and Conventional Glucose-Lowering Agents in Nairobi County, Kenya. *Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research*, 25–34. <https://doi.org/10.9734/JOCAMR/2022/V19I2385>
- Ong, K. L., Stafford, L. K., McLaughlin, S. A., Boyko, E. J., Vollset, S. E., Smith, A. E., Dalton, B. E., Duprey, J., Cruz, J. A., Hagins, H., Lindstedt, P. A., Aali, A., Abate, Y. H., Abate, M. D., Abbasian, M., Abbasi-Kangevari, Z., Abbasi-Kangevari, M., ElHafeez, S. A., Abd-Rabu, R., ... Vos, T. (2023). Global, regional, and national burden of diabetes from 1990 to 2021, with projections of prevalence to 2050: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. *The Lancet*, 402(10397), 203–234. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(23\)01301-6/ATTACHMENT/7EFD851A-C4E7-4B42-A845-06319D9E6EFB/MMC1.PDF](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(23)01301-6/ATTACHMENT/7EFD851A-C4E7-4B42-A845-06319D9E6EFB/MMC1.PDF)
- Otieno, F. C., Mikhail, T., Acharya, K., Muga, J., Ngugi, N., & Njenga, E. (2021). Suboptimal glycemic control and prevalence of diabetes-related complications in Kenyan population with diabetes: cohort analysis of the seventh wave of the International Diabetes Management Practices Study (IDMPS). *Endocrine and Metabolic Science*, 3(March), 100093. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.endmts.2021.100093>
- Paul, S., Ali, A., & Katare, R. (2020). Molecular complexities underlying the vascular complications of diabetes mellitus – A comprehensive review. *Journal of Diabetes and Its Complications*, 34(8), 107613. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JDIACOMP.2020.107613>
- Samson, S. L., Vellanki, P., Blonde, L., Christofides, E. A., Galindo, R. J., Hirsch, I. B., Isaacs, S. D., Izuora, K. E., Low Wang, C. C., Twining, C. L., Umpierrez, G. E., & Valencia, W. M. (2023). American Association of Clinical Endocrinology Consensus Statement: Comprehensive Type 2 Diabetes Management Algorithm – 2023 Update. *Endocrine Practice*, 29(5), 305–340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eprac.2023.02.001>

Sun, H., Saeedi, P., Karuranga, S., Pinkepank, M., Ogurtsova, K., Duncan, B. B., Stein, C., Basit, A., Chan, J. C. N., Mbanya, J. C., Pavkov, M. E., Ramachandaran, A., Wild, S. H., James, S., Herman, W. H., Zhang, P., Bommer, C., Kuo, S., Boyko, E. J., & Magliano, D. J. (2022). IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for 2021 and projections for 2045. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, 183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DIABRES.2021.109119>

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 2: TREATMENT SATISFACTION WITH MEDICINES QUESTIONNAIRE[®] (SATMED-Q[®] Questionnaire)

We want to ask you about **your satisfaction with the medicine** you are taking. You may be taking medicines to treat more than one medical condition. If so, please answer the questionnaire for **ONLY ONE** of the conditions and the one of the medicine you have taken for the condition.

Medical condition for which you are taking the medicine

For each question, **put a cross through** the number that best reflects your opinion. There are no right or wrong answers. If you are not sure of any of the answers, mark the one you consider most appropriate.

- Have you experienced any **side effects** caused by the medicine?

⓪ No, none

① Yes, at least one.

- This section is about the **undesirable side effects** of the medicine.

	Not at all	A little bit	Some-what	Quite a bit	a Very much
1. The side effects of the medicine interfere with my physical activity (e.g. lifting, walking, jogging, etc.).	⓪	①	②	③	④
2. The side effects of the medicine interfere with my leisure and free time activities (e.g. gardening, reading, dancing, visiting friends, etc.)	⓪	①	②	③	④
3. The side effects of the medicine interfere with my daily activities (e.g. shopping, working, housekeeping, etc.).	⓪	①	②	③	④

- This section is about the **efficacy of the medicine**, i.e., its effectiveness in treating your condition and reducing its symptoms.

	Not at all	A little bit	Some-what	Quite a bit	a Very much
4. The medicine I am taking reduces my symptoms.	⓪	①	②	③	④
5. I am satisfied with the time it takes for the medicine to start to have an effect.	⓪	①	②	③	④
6. I feel better now than I did before starting the treatment.	⓪	①	②	③	④

- This section is about the **convenience and ease of use** of the medicine.

	Not at all	A little bit	Some-what	Quite a bit	a Very much
7. I find my medicine convenient to take.	⓪	①	②	③	④
8. I find it easy to use/take the medicine in its present form (taste, size, etc).	⓪	①	②	③	④
9. The timetable for taking the medicine suits me.	⓪	①	②	③	④

- This section is about the **impact of the medicine** on your everyday life.

	Not at all	A little bit	Some-what	Quite a bit	a Very much
--	------------	--------------	-----------	-------------	-------------

10. Thanks to the medicine I am taking I can undertake my leisure and free time activities.	①	②	③	④
11. Thanks to my medicine I can more easily look after my personal hygiene (e.g. shaving, brushing my hair, bathing, etc.)	①	②	③	④
12. Thanks to my medicine I can perform my everyday chores better.	①	②	③	④

- This section is about the **medical follow-up/review** of your condition

	Not at all	A little bit	Some-what	Quite a bit	a Very much
13. My doctor has informed me in detail about my medical condition.	①	②	③	④	
14. My doctor has informed me about the right way to treat my medical condition.	①	②	③	④	

- Finally, some questions on your **overall opinion** of the medicine and your health

	Not at all	A little bit	Some-what	Quite a bit	a Very much
15. I intend to continue using this treatment.	①	②	③	④	
16. I feel happy with my treatment.	①	②	③	④	
17. In general, I feel satisfied with the treatment.	①	②	③	④	

Interpretation and Analysis of missing data	A missing score should be substituted by the worst possible score (items 1 to 3: missing=4; items 4 to 17: missing=0). If more than 1 answer is missing in a given dimension the questionnaire should be discarded.
Interpretation of multiple answers for one item	What to do when more than one answer is ticked by the patient ? - If two contiguous response categories are selected for one item, the worst score should be selected as valid. - When two non-contiguous response categories are selected, the response should be considered as missing.

REFERENCE(S):

Ruiz MA, Pardo A, Rejas J, Soto J, Villasante F, Aranguren JL. Development and validation of the "Treatment Satisfaction with Medicines Questionnaire" (SATMED-Q). Value Health. 2008 Sep-Oct;11(5):913-26